Molecular mediators of hepatic steatosis and liver injury
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Obesity and its associated comorbidities are among the most prevalent and challenging conditions confronting the medical profession in the 21st century. A major metabolic consequence of obesity is insulin resistance, which is strongly associated with the deposition of triglycerides in the liver. Hepatic steatosis can either be a benign, noninflammatory condition that appears to have no adverse sequelae or can be associated with steatohepatitis: a condition that can result in end-stage liver disease, accounting for up to 14% of liver transplants in the US. Here we highlight recent advances in our understanding of the molecular events contributing to hepatic steatosis and nonalcoholic steatohepatitis.

Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is a clinicopathological term that encompasses a disease spectrum ranging from simple triglyceride accumulation in hepatocytes (hepatic steatosis) to hepatic steatosis with inflammation (steatohepatitis), fibrosis, and cirrhosis (1). NAFLD is the most frequent cause of abnormal liver function tests (LFTs) in the US (2, 3), affecting approximately 30 million Americans. Excess hepatic triglyceride accumulation is associated with various drugs, nutritional factors, and multiple genetic defects in energy metabolism. However, the most common disorder associated with hepatic steatosis is insulin resistance (3). As such, it has been proposed that NAFLD be included as a component of the metabolic syndrome (4).

Day et al. (5) initially proposed a “two-hit” model to explain the progression of NAFLD. The “first hit” constitutes the deposition of triglycerides in the cytoplasm of the hepatocyte. The disease does not progress unless additional cellular events occur (the “second hit”) that promote inflammation, cell death, and fibrosis, which are the histologic hallmarks of nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH). Recent studies in animal models of NAFLD have provided new insights into the molecular and physiologic alterations that constitute the first and second hits in the progression of NAFLD to end-stage liver disease and will be the focus of this review.

Epidemiology

The prevalence of NAFLD in the general population is estimated to be between 14% and 24% (6–8). NAFLD used to be almost exclusively a disease of adults. However, the estimated prevalence of the disorder has increased markedly in all segments of the population and now extends to children. The rising prevalence of obesity and type 2 diabetes in the population is likely responsible for the burgeoning number of individuals with hepatic steatosis (9, 10).

The progression of NAFLD to cirrhosis may differ significantly among ethnic groups. Hispanics with NAFLD appear to progress to NASH and cirrhosis more frequently than either blacks or whites. In contrast to Hispanics, blacks may be at reduced risk for the development of NASH and end-stage liver disease (11, 12).

Development of hepatic steatosis

As summarized in Figure 1, a series of molecular and physiologic alterations occur in the setting of insulin resistance that results in the accumulation of triglycerides in liver. The conventional explanation for hepatic triglyceride accumulation is that obesity and insulin resistance result in increased release of FFAs from adipocytes. Increased adipocyte mass and increased hydrolysis of triglycerides through increased hormone-sensitive lipase activity contribute to elevated plasma levels of FFAs (reviewed in ref. 13). The rate of hepatic FFA uptake is unregulated and therefore directly proportional to plasma FFA concentrations (14).

FFAs taken up by the liver are metabolized by one of two pathways: oxidation to generate ATP or esterification to produce triglycerides, which are either incorporated into VLDL particles for export or stored within the hepatocyte. As discussed below, defects in one or both of these pathways can lead to hepatic steatosis.

Molecular mediators of lipogenesis and their role in hepatic steatosis

A central metabolic function of the liver is to maintain plasma glucose levels regardless of the nutritional state of the animal. In the setting of energy excess, glucose is converted to fatty acids via the conversion of glucose to pyruvate, which enters the Krebs cycle in the mitochondria (Figure 1). Citrate formed in the Krebs cycle is shuttled to the cytosol where it is converted to acetyl-CoA by ATP citrate lyase. Acetyl-CoA carboxylase 1 (ACAC1) then converts acetyl-CoA to malonyl-CoA, which is used by fatty acid synthase to form palmitic acid (C16:0). Palmitic acid is then either desaturated by stearoyl-CoA desaturase (SCD) to palmitoleic acid, or further elongated by the long chain fatty acyl elongase to form stearic acid (C18:0), which also can be desaturated to form oleic acid (C18:1) (15). These fatty acids are used to synthesize triglycerides — the primary source of energy storage and transport. Humans (16) and mice (17) with hepatic steatosis accumulate excess oleic acid, the end-product of de novo fatty acid synthesis. This suggests that fatty acid synthetic rates are increased in the insulin-resistant liver.

De novo synthesis of fatty acids in liver is regulated independently by insulin and glucose (18, 19). Insulin’s ability to activate lipogenesis is transcriptionally mediated by the membrane-bound transcription factor peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor (PPAR) γ. Glucose stimulates lipogenesis independently of insulin through the activation of glucokinase (20). The liver expresses peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor (PPAR) δ and PPAR γ, which can up-regulate the expression of key lipogenic enzymes such as fatty acid synthase, acetyl-CoA carboxylase 1, and sterol regulatory element binding protein (SREBP) (21–23). Insulin’s ability to stimulate lipogenesis can be blocked by the PPAR γ antagonist GW501516, suggesting that PPAR γ is a critical mediator of insulin’s effects on lipogenesis (24). Glucagon blocks insulin’s effects on lipogenesis and stimulates hepatic glucose output by inhibiting lipogenesis and stimulating glycogenolysis in the liver (25). Glucagon is also a strong stimulus for FFAs, which inhibit lipogenesis and promote glycogenolysis (26).
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factor, sterol regulatory element–binding protein-1c (SREBP-1c) (20, 21). SREBP-1c is one of three SREBP isoforms that belong to the basic helix-loop-helix-leucine zipper (bHLH-Zip) family of transcription factors (22). In the nucleus, SREBP-1c transcriptionally activates all genes required for lipogenesis (15, 23). Importantly, the overexpression of SREBP-1c in transgenic mice results in the development of a fatty liver due to increased lipogenesis (24). We (25), and others (26, 27) have demonstrated that increased rates of hepatic fatty acid synthesis contribute to the development of fatty livers in rodent models of insulin-resistant diabetes and obesity.

Hyperinsulinemia and elevated hepatic glucose production are hallmarks of insulin resistance (28). It might be anticipated that SREBP-1c would not be activated in states of insulin resistance. Surprisingly, even in the presence of profound insulin resistance, insulin stimulates hepatic SREBP-1c transcription, resulting in increased rates of de novo fatty acid biosynthesis (25). The contribution of SREBP-1c makes to triglyceride accumulation in insulin-resistant livers has been explored in ob/ob mice. Ob/ob mice are severely obese and insulin resistant due to a mutation in the leptin gene and, as a consequence, these mice have hepatic steatosis (29). Inactivation of the Srebp-1 gene in the livers of ob/ob mice results in an approximately 50% reduction in hepatic triglycerides (30). Thus, SREBP-1 plays a significant role in the development of hepatic steatosis in this animal model of insulin resistance.

SREBP-1c also activates ACC2 (23), an isoform of ACC that produces malonyl-CoA at the mitochondrial membrane (31). Increases in malonyl-CoA result in decreased oxidation of fatty acids due to inhibition of carnitine palmitoyl transferase-1 (CPT-1), which shuttles fatty acids into mitochondria (32). The critical role of ACC2 in hepatic fatty acid metabolism was revealed in mice that harbored the genetic deletion of the Acc2 gene. The Acc2 knockout mice were resistant to obesity, owing to increased activity of CPT-1, resulting in an increased rate of fatty acid oxidation (33, 34). Adenoviral-mediated expression of malonyl-CoA decarboxylase, an enzyme that degrades malonyl-CoA, also results in increased fatty acid β oxidation and reduced hepatic triglyceride stores (35).

Carbohydrate (glucose)-mediated stimulation of lipogenesis is transcriptionally mediated by a second bHLH-Zip transcription factor, designated carbohydrate response element binding protein (ChREBP) (36). Glucose activates ChREBP by regulating the entry of ChREBP from the cytosol into the nucleus and by activating the binding of the transcription factor to DNA (37). Glucose stimulates ChREBP to bind to an E-box motif in the promoter of liver-type pyruvate kinase (L-PK), a key regulatory enzyme in glycolysis. L-PK catalyzes the conversion of phosphoenolpyruvate to pyruvate, which enters the Krebs cycle to generate citrate, the principal source of acetyl-CoA used for fatty acid synthesis. Recently, ChREBP knockout mice have been developed and characterized (38). As predicted from in vitro studies, the expression of L-PK was reduced by approximately 90% in livers of ChREBP knockout mice. An unexpected finding was that the mRNA levels of all fatty acid synthesis enzymes also were reduced by approximately 50% (38). This suggests that ChREBP can independently stimulate the transcription of all lipogenic genes. Thus, activation of L-PK stimulates both glycolysis and lipogenesis, thereby facilitating the conversion of glucose to fatty acids under conditions of energy excess. Whether inactivation of ChREBP will attenuate the development of fatty livers in insulin-resistant states is currently under investigation; however, it seems likely that excessive stimulation of lipogenesis by ChREBP stimulation would be important only after the development of hyperglycemia.

A third transcription factor that participates in the development of hepatic steatosis in rodents is PPAR-γ. PPAR-γ is a member of the nuclear hormone receptor superfamily that is required for normal adipocyte differentiation (39). Normally, PPAR-γ is expressed at very low levels in the liver; however, in animal models with insulin resistance and fatty livers, the expression of PPAR-γ is markedly increased (40, 41). Previous studies have demonstrated that SREBP-1c can transcriptionally activate PPAR-γ, and it has been
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Increased CPT-1 activity, resulting in increased fatty acid oxidation.

It is also not known whether PPAR-γ expression is increased in human livers with steatosis.

AMP-activated protein kinase and hepatic steatosis

AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK) is a heterotrimeric protein that serves as a sensor of cellular energy levels (46). AMPK is activated by increased cellular AMP levels, a marker of decreased cellular energy stores. Activated AMPK stimulates ATP-producing catabolic pathways, such as fatty acid β oxidation, and inhibits ATP-consuming processes, such as lipogenesis, directly by phosphorylating regulatory proteins and indirectly by affecting expression levels of genes in these pathways (46).

The fatty acid composition of liver can also influence the amount of triglyceride that accumulates by altering AMPK activity. The genetic deletion of SCD-1, an enzyme responsible for the synthesis of monounsaturated fatty acids, protects against the development of fatty livers and insulin resistance in mice (47, 48). In the absence of SCD-1, AMPK is activated (49), resulting in phosphorylation and inhibition of both ACC (50) and ChREBP (51) as well as a reduction in the expression levels of SREBP-1c (52).

The antidiabetic drug metformin also activates hepatic AMPK (52). Treatment of ob/ob mice with metformin markedly reduced hepatic steatosis (53), and its administration to humans with NASH improved LFT numbers and decreased liver size (54). A second class of antidiabetic drugs, the thiazolidinediones, are principally recognized as drugs that activate PPAR-γ; however, recent data suggest that they also can activate AMPK (55, 56). Pilot studies in humans using pioglitazone (57, 58) and rosiglitazone (59) have demonstrated the efficacy of these agents in reducing hepatic fat, presumably as a consequence of the molecular events summarized in Figure 2.

Taken together, these studies suggest that increased hepatic lipogenesis is an important metabolic abnormality underlying the pathogenesis of hepatic steatosis in insulin-resistant livers. Increased lipogenesis may actually cause dual metabolic alterations that lead to increased hepatic triglyceride content. The first alteration is direct — through the increased synthesis of triglycerides. The second is indirect — through increased production of malonyl-CoA, which inhibits CPT-1 and fatty acid entry into the mitochondria, thus reducing β oxidation and enhancing fatty acid and triglyceride accumulation (Figure 1).

It is important to note that the concept that endogenous fatty acid synthesis contributes significantly to hepatic steatosis is based on data from the studies of mice. Stable-isotope studies in humans suggest that de novo hepatic fatty acid synthesis contributes only modestly to the amount of triglycerides synthesized in liver (60). Although there is evidence that de novo hepatic fatty acid synthesis is increased in humans with insulin resistance (61), the overall importance of this pathway in the development of hepatic steatosis remains to be determined.

**Disease progression: steatosis to NASH**

According to the two-hit hypothesis, hepatic steatosis is a prerequisite for subsequent events that lead to liver injury (5). Despite the high prevalence of NAFLD and its potential for serious sequelae, the underlying etiological factors that determine disease progression to cirrhosis remain poorly understood. Studies to clearly define the molecular and physiologic changes that mediate the presumed transition from hepatic steatosis to NASH have been limited by several factors. First, no animal models incorporate all features of human steatohepatitis. Second, the available non-invasive techniques to study hepatic metabolism in humans are limited. Third, liver biopsies are required to identify individuals with NASH, precluding large population-based studies. Therefore, our current understanding of the mechanisms by which hepatic steatosis progresses to NASH is based almost exclusively on correlative data from animal models. How well these animal models reflect the human pathophysiology of NASH is not known.

NASH is histologically similar to alcohol-induced steatohepatitis, a disease that can progress to cirrhosis and liver failure. Many of the factors implicated in the development of alcoholic steatohepatitis are also associated with NASH. These factors can be grouped into two broad categories: factors causing an increase in oxidative stress and factors promoting expression of proinflammatory cytokines. Although there is considerable evidence implicating cytokines in the development of NASH, the focus here will be on the potential role of lipid-induced cellular injury in the development of NASH.
Mitochondrial dysfunction

Mitochondrial $\beta$ oxidation is the dominant oxidative pathway for the disposition of fatty acids under normal physiologic conditions but can also be a major source of ROS (70). Several lines of evidence suggest that mitochondrial function is impaired in patients with NASH. Ultrastructural mitochondrial abnormalities have been documented in patients with NASH (71). Similar mitochondrial lesions are found in liver biopsy specimens from patients treated with 4,4'-diethylaminoethoxyhexestrol, a drug that inhibits mitochondrial respiratory chain (MRC) activity and mitochondrial $\beta$ oxidation (72). Prolonged treatment with this agent is associated with hepatic steatosis and steatohepatitis that is histologically indistinguishable from NAFLD in humans (72). The ultrastructural mitochondrial defects in patients with NAFLD may be indicative of defective oxidative-phosphorylation, inasmuch as these patients also have reduced MRC activity (73) and impaired ATP synthesis after a fructose challenge (74). MRC dysfunction can directly lead to the production of ROS. If electron flow is interrupted at any point in the respiratory chain, the preceding respiratory intermediates can transfer electrons to molecular oxygen to produce superoxide anions and hydrogen peroxide (65, 66).

As the oxidative capacity of the mitochondria becomes impaired, cytosolic fatty acids accumulate. Alternative pathways in the peroxisomes ($\beta$ oxidation) and in microsomes ($\omega$ oxidation) are activated, resulting in the formation of additional ROS (72, 75, 76). In the initial step of peroxisomal $\beta$ oxidation, hydrogen peroxide is formed by the action of acyl-CoA oxidase, which donates electrons to molecular oxygen (63). Additionally, they induce inflammation through the production of proinflammatory cytokines, leading to neutrophil chemotaxis. Within the extracellular space, HNE and MDA are themselves potent chemoattractants for neutrophils. Finally, ROS and products of lipid peroxidation can lead to fibrosis by activating hepatic stellate cells, which synthesize collagen and perpetuate the inflammatory response.

Oxidative stress

Oxidative stress results from an imbalance between pro-oxidant and antioxidant chemical species that leads to oxidative damage of cellular macromolecules (62). The predominant pro-oxidant chemicals in fatty livers are singlet oxygen molecules, superoxide anions, hydrogen peroxide, and hydroxyl radicals: molecules collectively referred to as reactive oxygen species (ROS). As depicted in Figure 3, the oxidation of fatty acids is an important source of ROS in fatty livers (63–66). Some of the consequences of increased ROS include depletion of ATP and nicotinamide dinucleotide, DNA damage, alterations in protein stability, the destruction of membranes via lipid peroxidation, and the release of proinflammatory cytokines (62, 67). Increased production of ROS in the presence of excess FFAs has been validated in animal models of NASH (66, 68). Human livers with NASH have increased levels of by-products of lipid peroxidation, providing further evidence of an increase in oxidative stress in this condition (69).

Figure 3

Mechanisms of lipid-induced cellular injury in NAFLD. ROS are formed through oxidative processes within the cell. In the mitochondria, impaired MRC activity leads to the formation of superoxide anions and hydrogen peroxide. The accumulation of fatty acids in the cytosol increases fatty acid oxidation in peroxisomes and the ER. The initial reaction in peroxisomal $\beta$ oxidation is catalyzed by acyl-CoA oxidase (AOX) that forms hydrogen peroxide through the donation of electrons to molecular oxygen. Microsomal $\omega$ oxidation is catalyzed by cytochrome P450 enzymes CYP2E1, CYP4A10, and CYP4A14, which form ROS through flavoprotein-mediated donation of electrons to molecular oxygen. PUFAs are extremely susceptible to lipid peroxidation by ROS. By-products of PUFA peroxidation are aldehydes, such as HNE and MDA. These aldehydes are themselves cytotoxic and can freely diffuse into the extracellular space to affect distant cells. ROS and aldehydes induce oxidative stress and cell death via ATP and NAD depletion, DNA and protein damage, and glutathione depletion. Additionally, they induce inflammation through the production of proinflammatory cytokines, leading to neutrophil chemotaxis. Within the extracellular space, HNE and MDA are themselves potent chemoattractants for neutrophils. Finally, ROS and products of lipid peroxidation can lead to fibrosis by activating hepatic stellate cells, which synthesize collagen and perpetuate the inflammatory response.
site of origin to reach distant intracellular and extracellular targets, thereby amplifying the effects of oxidative stress. The formation of HNE and MDA occurs only through the peroxidation of PUFAs (79), which are preferentially oxidized owing to decreased carbon-hydrogen bond strength in methylene groups between unsaturated carbon pairs (80). As the number of double bonds in PUFAs increase, their rate of peroxidation increases exponentially (81). Mitochondria have a substantial concentration of phospholipids containing docosahexaenoic, which may be essential for functional assembly of the MRC (82). Peroxidation of these mitochondrial membrane components could lead to further diminution of MRC activity and increased cellular oxidative stress. Additionally, the peroxidation of PUFAs has also been shown to enhance postendoplasmic reticulum presecretory proteolysis of ApoB, thereby attenuating VLDL secretion (83). The reduction in VLDL secretion may further contribute to triglyceride accumulation in the liver.

In addition to the deleterious effects of lipid peroxidation on organellar function, aldehydes formed through peroxidation of PUFAs also are detrimental to cellular homeostasis. They impair nucleotide and protein synthesis, deplete the natural antioxidant glutathione, increase production of the proinflammatory cytokine TNF-α, promote influx of inflammatory cells into the liver, and activate stellate cells, leading to collagen deposition, fibrosis, and the perpetuation of the inflammatory response (reviewed in refs. 79, 84). These effects have the potential to directly induce hepatocyte death and necrosis, inflammation, and liver fibrosis: all of the histologic hallmarks of NASH.

Conclusions

Over the past 5 years, substantial progress has been made in identifying the molecular and physiologic changes that cause hepatic steatosis. The transcription factors that control hepatic lipid metabolism have been identified. The elucidation of these physiologic alterations that lead to hepatic steatosis in mice now permit directed studies in humans using newer techniques such as mass isotopomer distribution analysis to measure fluxes through involved metabolic pathways. The major unresolved question is the nature of the relationship between hepatic steatosis and NASH. Although there is abundant evidence to suggest that increased liver triglycerides lead to increased oxidative stress in the hepatocytes of animals and humans (16, 63, 66, 68, 69), an unequivocal cause-and-effect relationship between hepatic triglyceride accumulation, oxidative stress, and the progression of hepatic steatosis to NASH remains to be established in humans. Longitudinal studies also are needed to define the true natural history of NAFLD and to delineate the key components of NAFLD progression. Thus the combined efforts of clinician-investigators and basic scientists will be required to advance our understanding of the progression of this disease. Elucidation of the molecular mechanisms underlying the development of NASH will facilitate the development of specific interventions aimed at preventing the progression of NAFLD.
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