The human, societal, and scientific legacy of cholera
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The recent history of research on cholera illustrates the importance of establishing research and care facilities equipped with advanced technologies at locations where specific health problems exist. It is in such settings, where scientific research is often considered difficult due to poverty and the lack of essential infrastructure, that investigators from many countries are able to make important advances. On this, the 25th anniversary of the founding of the International Centre for Diarrhoeal Disease Research, Bangladesh (ICDDR,B), this article seeks to recount the Centre’s demonstration of how high-quality research on important global health issues, including cholera, can be accomplished in conditions that may be considered by many as unsuitable for scientific research.


Cholera kills by swiftly draining away body fluids. It is an ancient scourge, yet research on this disease has yielded insights into fundamental biological processes and established effective treatment that is within reach of everyone, even those who live in resource-poor, underserved areas of the planet. A more complete understanding of cholera began when physician-scientists, versed in basic science and its technologies, undertook clinical studies in South and Southeast Asia where cholera was endemic. This “bringing science to where the diarrhea is” (1) dissipated the dogma of Rudolph Virchow — often considered the father of modern pathology — which insisted that Vibrio cholerae irreversibly damaged intestinal epithelium, causing the loss of protein-rich body fluids, just as one sees when the epithelium of other tissues is destroyed. This theory was based on poorly collected clinical specimens from patients in India suffering cholera-induced shock for prolonged periods with resulting ischemia and mucosal autolysis. Virchow’s ideas took nearly a century to disprove (2). His method, which depended on sample collection by poorly informed and supervised workers in Calcutta, who then shipped them to his home laboratory in Europe, proved to be seriously flawed. From 1960 to 1970, research by clinician-scientists on site in Dhaka, Bangladesh (previously East Pakistan) and Calcutta, India demonstrated that the digestive system was not damaged during cholera infection and was rapidly exchanging fluids and electrolytes with net secretion preeminent. The accurate measurement of the composition of intestinal secretions and the clear demonstration that net fluid and electrolyte absorption could be achieved in cholera patients when glucose was added to perfusing electrolyte solutions formed the foundation not only for highly effective intravenous rehydration but also for oral rehydration therapy (ORT). The beauty of ORT is that it does not require medical skills to administer and it is inexpensive. Both of these features make it accessible to nearly everyone, regardless of location or financial resources (3). Today, over 100 countries have programs to deliver this life-saving treatment, and ORT is used in over 50% of all cases of diarrhea. The development and global application of ORT has decreased the death rates from diarrheal diseases by more than half in the last 30 years.

In the beginning

It was the agricultural sciences that first broke ground in establishing facilities where scientists from many different countries could do research with advanced technologies on crops in their natural settings. In 1958, the International Rice Research Institute (IRRI) was established in Los Baños, Philippines. Dedicated to aiding farmers in developing countries in producing more food on limited land while using less labor and water and fewer chemical additives, this institute was the first step in what is now called “the Green Revolution” (4), which has allowed the rapid and increased production of specific foodstuffs in order to meet the needs of a rapidly expanding world population. The IRRI and its successors have taken science and advanced technologies to centers in remote areas and staffed them with top researchers, and through extension activities have put their laboratory findings into practice, first locally, then globally. This network of agricul-
tural research centers has been coordi-
nated and supported by the Consulta-
tive Group for Agricultural Research
under the auspices of the World Bank.

The adaptation of the agricultural
sciences model to basic health science
practice in developing countries has
been partially realized. In 1960, Fred
Soper used the charter of the Institute
of Nutrition of Central America and
Panama as a model for establishing
the Cholera Research Laboratory
(CRL) in Dhaka, and in doing so
became the first CRL Director. In
1978, the CRL became the Interna-
tional Centre for Diarrhoeal Disease
Research, Bangladesh (ICDDR,B). Its
charter was negotiated with the gov-
ernment of Bangladesh and was
accepted by the United Nations Devel-
opment Program at a meeting hosted
by the WHO in Geneva in 1979. It
incorporated features of the Interna-
tional Agricultural Research Centers,
as well as features from previous inter-
national health research efforts in
developing countries, which ensured
that scientists from all countries
developed and developing) could be
recruited to work without lengthy or
obstructive visa processing and that
supplies and technologies could be
imported without duties or customs
delays. The sovereignty of the host
country was protected by the ex officio
presence on the governing boards of
trustees by positions designated by the
host country, which included the Sec-
retary of Health, the Secretary of the
External Resources Department, and a
third respected member from the local
scientific and/or public health fields.
Thus, the charter of the ICDDR,B is a
conceptual blend of the charters of the
agricultural and health sciences. In
December 2003, the ICDDR,B cele-
brated its 25th anniversary, marking
its contributions to science and global
health (Figure 1).

Many diseases have highly specific
relationships with a particular geo-
graphic location and ecology. Under-
standing interactions between humans
and the agents that cause specific dis-
ases requires sustained research at sites
where disease is prevalent. Only years of
sustained observation and hypothesis
testing have clarified the mechanisms
by which cholera is maintained in the
surface waters of rural and urban areas
of Bangladesh and how it causes sea-
sonal epidemics in human populations.
A precise model of the interaction of
*V. cholerae* with phytoplankton could only
have been determined at a location
where cholera was endemic (5). In order
to effectively study the epidemiology
and pathophysiology of cholera in
countries with limited or low resources,
a stable institution in situ with excel-
ent advanced laboratories and a dedi-
cated staff of high-quality scientists and
technicians was necessary. The rapid,
efficient sharing of patient cultures and
serum samples with collaborating lab-
oratories throughout the world has
been of critical importance. Such spec-
imens were usually hand carried by the

**Figure 1**
Chronological landmarks during the development of current cholera therapies, including important achievements of the ICDDR,B.
responsible investigators, historically the surest and safest means for rapid sharing of valuable specimens and accompanying documentation between laboratories (6).

Intravenous rehydration therapy: a legacy of cholera
Perceptive clinical observations by physicians caring for patients during the 1832 cholera epidemic in London led to the first recorded use of intravenous rehydration therapy (7). However, it was not until 1958, when the U.S. Naval Medical Research Unit 2 in Bangkok, Thailand recorded precise measurements of the volume and composition of stool samples from cholera patients, that a basis was established for an accurately constituted replacement solution (8). From 1962 to 1964, supported by an NIH-sponsored International Centers for Medical Research and Training (ICMRT) grant, Johns Hopkins University researchers at the Infectious Diseases Hospital of Calcutta and the Calcutta School of Tropical Medicine modified and simplified intravenous replacement methods, as did the CRL in Dhaka. The studies in Dhaka and Calcutta demonstrated that cholera patients, even those in deep shock, could be saved by the rapid and sustained infusion of appropriately constituted intravenous replacement solutions. Adequate intravenous rehydration of cholera patients in Dhaka and Calcutta reduced their mortality rate from approximately 30–40% to less than 0.5%. By 1963 it was clear that any individual suffering from cholera who received timely, pyrogen-free, appropriately constituted intravenous solutions in sufficient quantity would survive. Clinician-scientists at the University of Baghdad, Iraq utilized the published reports of the

Figure 2
A three-month-old Egyptian boy in a rehydration treatment and training center is rescued with oral rehydration solution. By clinical estimate he had lost between five and ten percent of body weight in fluid. Normally in such centers the mother would give the fluid under a nurse’s supervision, but in this instance a physician is gaining direct experience. (a and b) Initially the infant must be coaxed to take the drink, which is given at an average rate of five cc (one teaspoon) a minute. (c) Within an hour, having absorbed needed electrolytes and water, he accepted the spoonfuls eagerly. (d) He lost interest at noon, once he had taken what he needed. Already the signs of dehydration — limpness, sunken eyes and flattening of the fontanelle — were gone. (e) Soon after, he was hungry for breast milk, which provided additional water and whose protein and carbohydrate nutrients promote movement of fluid from the intestine to the bloodstream, thus reducing the loss of diarrheal fluid. Figure kindly provided by Norbert Hirschhorn and reproduced with permission from Scientific American (33).
intravenous rehydration therapy research performed in Dhaka and independently confirmed the efficacy of the therapy under epidemic conditions (9). However, intravenous fluid therapy is costly, requires specialized equipment, and must be administered by highly trained doctors and technicians. It remains inaccessible to individuals in resource-poor locales where cholera and other dehydrating diarrheas take the greatest toll. Physicians working in Dhaka and Calcutta, who had experienced mass cholera epidemics, quickly realized that an alternative way to replace the water and salt lost by cholera patients needed to be found if many more lives were to be saved.

The advent of ORT
Fortunately, investigators in both Dhaka and Calcutta were aware of reports of carrier-mediated sodium-glucose transport (10) and took this knowledge to the bedside of cholera patients between 1964 and 1967. Initial observations of electrical potential changes across intestinal epithelium (11) followed by perfusion balance studies showed that even during cholera, the most severe of the watery diarrheas, the right amount of glucose incorporated into an electrolyte replacement solution matching intestinal fluid losses could stimulate substantial absorption (12, 13). Thus, ORT was born. In 1971, during the India-Pakistan War, East Pakistan proclaimed its independence as the new state of Bangladesh, and nine million refugees poured into India. This led to cholera and other dehydrating diarrheas, the right amount of glucose incorporated into an electrolyte replacement solution matching intestinal fluid losses could stimulate absorption of solute and water was potentially the most important medical advance this century” (15). Rarely has science so swiftly transmuted an arcane biophysical observation into a practical, low-cost treatment that continues to save millions of lives each year without the need for hospitals, trained staffs, or advanced technologies.

Improved ORT: the role of digestible polymers
Although extraordinarily successful, the glucose-based ORT solution has been improved. Not only are there other separate and distinct cotransport pathways in intestinal epithelium that include amino acids and peptides that remain functional during cholera and most other dehydrating diarrheas, but methods of delivering more substrate at low osmotic cost are also available. High osmolarity solutions in the gut lumen drain salts and water from the blood stream into the lumen and aggravate diarrhea and dehydration (16). Thus, simply increasing the amount of glucose or other small cotransport molecules in ORT solutions is counterproductive. This problem has been overcome by presenting glucose as a polymer (in the form of starch) at a low osmotic cost to the digestive system. Proteins can also be presented in this way and provide additional cotransporting amino acids and peptides at low osmolarity. Digestive enzymes degrade these food polymers into individual carrier molecules without causing an “osmotic penalty” (17). Rice-based ORT solutions hydrate diarrheal patients and shorten the duration of diarrhea, thereby reducing volume losses (18). By using an optimal amount of protein with a composition designed to provide maximum use of amino acid and peptide cotransport pathways, further benefits can be anticipated. Furthermore, consistent use of ORT to treat acute diarrheal episodes in infants favors a more rapid recovery from the illness and a swifter return to normal childhood growth curves (19, 20). Thus, the simple human act of giving someone a drink is transformed from a basic act of human kindness into a lifesaving therapy founded on basic concepts in physiological ion transport. Furthermore, this task can be performed by anyone — a family member, friend, neighbor, or even a health worker. Thus, ORT blends both the science and humanity of medicine in a way we always desire, but rarely achieve. However, this “drink” (ORT) must be properly constituted and given in sufficient quantities to offset salt and water losses — not “any old drink” will do.

Cholera research has increased basic knowledge as well as saving lives. In Calcutta, at a time when it was not believed that Gram negative enteric bacteria could produce potent exotoxins, S.N. De, working independently, showed that bacteria-free filtrates of V. cholera placed in ligated rabbit ileal loops produced fluid accumulation (21). He postulated correctly that V. cholerae produced a potent exotoxin. Few believed De, but he was right. His observations attracted a young American microbiologist, Richard Finkelstein, who went to Calcutta, worked in De’s laboratory, and ultimately isolat-

### Table 1
Glucose and rice-based oral rehydration solutions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Na mM/l</th>
<th>K mM/l</th>
<th>Base mM/l</th>
<th>Osmolarity mM/l</th>
<th>Carbohydrate kcal/l</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Glucose ORS³</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>311</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rice ORS (CeraLyte)²</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>165</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

³WHO standard formula, Jianas Brothers Inc., Kansas City, Missouri, USA. ²Rice-based ORS, Cera Products Inc., Jessup, Maryland, USA.
ed the toxin. He and others who purified this toxin shared preparations with many investigators as purification proceeded (22), thus facilitating the discovery of the mechanism of cholera toxin action (23, 24). The cholera toxin attaches to a GM1 ganglioside receptor on cell surfaces and stimulates adenylate cyclase (25). Unfortunately, in nature the toxin binds only to gut epithelium and does not enter the bloodstream or cause systemic toxicity; thus replacement of fluid loss without additional therapeutic intervention is sufficient to treat the disease. Using purified cholera toxin, cellular biologists have learned the consequences of sustained increases of adenylate cyclase levels in many cell systems (26, 27). The genes controlling production of cholera toxin are now defined (28), and this knowledge is assisting in the design of more effective vaccines (29).

What we have learned

The legacy of cholera demonstrates how basic science — when brought to the bedside — can save lives, reduce costs, and prevent disease. It also illuminates aspects of intestinal transport as well as very basic cellular mechanisms. The promise of effective vaccines and environmental measures can now be realized. Advances in our understanding of the mechanism of action of cholera have revealed common pathways of secretory diarrhea, teaching us that ORT can be used to treat diarrhea regardless of etiology. When young physician-scientists went to Dhaka and Calcutta in the 1960s through the visionary leadership of individuals including A.M. Harvey, Joseph Smadel, Abraham Benenson, Clifford Pease, Robert S. Gordon, Jr., and many others, and with the enthusiastic support of the NIH under the leadership of James Shannon, many skeptics believed that these young doctors should not “fritter away” time and money on a strange, exotic disease like cholera in an area remote from the US; after all, cholera hadn’t been a problem for America in years. This perception turned out to have been spectacularly wrong. We have been greatly enriched by studies on cholera and the cholera toxin, and millions of lives have been saved. Beyond this, a stable institution — the ICDDR,B — has brought the best and most advanced science and technology to bear on the health problems of an area of the world with few resources in the health sector. It has also established a collegial cooperation among scientists from many countries of the world, both developed and developing, who have worked at the Centre. However, to achieve similar success in the treatment of other illnesses prevalent in underdeveloped countries in the 21st century, visionary leaders such as those who fostered the work on cholera will be needed. They must raise the resources to put the best people and technology in the primary settings of high disease prevalence. Such efforts must be sustained over many years. The challenge in resource-poor settings where many of the most exigent health problems occur is that social turbulence is in some cases the rule, and institutions must be structured in order to allow work to proceed despite disruptions inherent in local, regional, and global turmoil. Agricultural science has certainly led the way in creating such stable, high-quality international research centers in relevant ecological settings. The ICDDR,B has successfully established a model for international health research centers. It is perhaps time that a stable, well-staffed, well-equipped international center be established to longitudinally study the flux of retroviruses among humans and primates in the region where HIV arose. In our fight against this disease, short forays by scientists from rich countries to isolate and study samples have not sufficed to control this global epidemic. Another recent impediment to the rapid breakthrough that characterized cholera research stems from our current fear of bioterrorism in the US. Legislation now designed to protect us impedes the rapid and free exchange of laboratory and clinical samples. U.S. microbiologist Thomas C. Butler, one of the leading authorities on plague, has recently been convicted and expects to be sentenced to several years in jail for his handling of plague specimens and grant support for research he performed in Tanzania in the development of antibiotics to treat clinical plague in humans (30). His case is a warning to researchers working with infectious agents that appear on the current “select list” maintained by the Department of Health and Human Services and the United States Department of Agriculture of specifically regulated pathogens and toxins that could be conceived as possible bioterrorism agents. It is likely that these events and restrictions will inhibit research in the US. The replication of results, so essential for rapid advances in science, is founded upon the unencumbered sharing of cultures, toxins, clinical specimens, and patient data by relevant laboratories and investigators throughout the world. That two teams — one in Dhaka and one in Calcutta — were able to rapidly confirm each other’s work by such collaborative means greatly accelerated progress in the treatment of cholera. The subsequent distribution of cholera toxin among many laboratories rapidly fostered our understanding of the mechanisms of diarrheal diseases as well as fundamental cellular processes.

The ICDDR,B has had directors from the US, Belgium, and Ethiopia. Not only have relationships with the Government of Bangladesh been cordial, but Bangladesh has contributed considerable financial and infrastructure support over the years. To an important extent, this stems from an early decision, still currently adhered to, that large numbers of patients would be cared for in all of the areas in which the Centre was carrying out research projects. In turn, the government has implemented in its national programs the most important findings from the Centre’s research. Both local and foreign scientists have undertaken equal collaborations at the Centre, and many local scientists have since traveled to and maintained distinguished research careers at international institutions.

The ICDDR,B has continuously upgraded its scientific and technological infrastructure so that the tools that it utilizes for disease diagnosis are at the forefront of modern technology. At present, under the leadership of David A. Sack, The ICDDR,B has become increasingly productive.
and currently receives support from a growing number of countries and agencies. In 2001, the ICDDR,B received the first Gates Award for Global Health from the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation and continues to have strong support from the host country. It publishes a peer-reviewed quarterly journal titled *Journal of Health, Population, and Nutrition*, which is listed in Index Medicus and is available online (www.icddrb.org/jhpn). In 2002, scientists at the Centre published 80 original scientific articles, as well as 43 reviews or book chapters, which covered a range of topics from fundamental laboratory and clinical science to public health, population, and nutrition issues.

Those interested in global health will need to take courage in hand. It is in our own international, national, and personal interest to investigate the illnesses that afflict the poor in remote, underserved areas. Such efforts are not solely charitable. All individuals receive benefits from such research. Effective new preventive and curative measures will increasingly save lives in both resource-rich and -poor countries. The lessons learned from our experience with cholera need to be extended to the other most urgent health problems faced throughout the world. The ICDDR,B is a beacon, affirming the power of a multilateral collegial scientific effort in resource-limited settings.
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