The relative roles of growth hormone and IGF-1 in controlling insulin sensitivity
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IGF-1 and growth hormone (GH) interact with insulin to modulate its control of carbohydrate metabolism. A new study (see the related article beginning on page 96) shows that blocking the effect of GH in the presence of low serum IGF-1 concentrations enhances insulin sensitivity.
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Understanding the relative roles of peptide hormones in modulating responsiveness to insulin presents a major challenge because of the adaptability of the growth hormone/IGF-1/insulin system. Changes in glucose and insulin secretion result in counter-regulatory responses, and modifications in growth hormone (GH) and IGF-1 function alter insulin’s ability to maintain normal carbohydrate homeostasis. Historically, this problem has been analyzed in both human and rodent hormone-deficiency models (e.g., GH deficiency) in which the hormone of interest is replaced and the metabolic consequences are determined (1). The recent development of tissue-selective knockout animal models has brought new insights to our understanding of the relative roles of these hormones in carbohydrate homeostasis. In this issue of the JCI, Yakar et al. address the relative roles of GH and IGF-1 in regulating insulin sensitivity in mice (2). The authors created an animal model in which IGF-1 synthesis in the liver is eliminated and then crossed these animals with mice that overexpress a mutant form of GH that prevents GH activation of its receptor. The authors conclude that GH is a major determinant of insulin resistance in these IGF-1–deficient animals, since, in the presence of low concentrations of serum IGF-1, blocking...
the action of GH results in a major improvement in insulin sensitivity.

**Food intake and GH regulate insulin-like peptide secretion**

One way to understand the relative roles of IGF-1 in controlling insulin action is from the perspective of primitive organisms. In primitive organisms that do not have a pituitary gland, ligands with structural similarities to both insulin and IGF-1 are synthesized in the olfactory region of the brain and secreted in response to food intake (3). This results in direct linking of food intake, carbohydrate metabolism, and growth, and a single receptor mediates these responses. In vertebrates, although IGF-1 secretion is primarily regulated by nutrient intake (4), GH secretion adds an additional layer of complexity (Figure 1). In higher organisms, GH controls growth by regulating IGF-1 concentrations, but another major function of GH is to provide a mechanism for surviving periods of food deprivation. GH stimulates lipolysis, providing FFAs and glycerol as substrates for energy metabolism, and also inhibits insulin-induced suppression of hepatic gluconeogenesis. These effects counteract insulin action and reduce the need for a dietary source of carbohydrate (5). An important distinction, however, is the relative role of GH in maintaining carbohydrate and lipid homeostasis under normal conditions as compared with conditions in which there is excess GH secretion (6). When GH is secreted in excess, it acts directly to block insulin signaling by inducing resistance to stimulation of downstream signaling molecules such as insulin receptor substrate-1 and PI3K, which are important for glucose transport in muscle and fat and for inhibiting hepatic gluconeogenesis (7). This results in elevation of glucose and insulin concentrations. Thus patients with acromegaly often have impaired glucose intolerance and relative hyperinsulinemia (8). Similarly, in type 1 diabetes, insulin deficiency leads to impaired hepatic IGF-1 synthesis, and this results in decreased feedback suppression of GH secretion, leading to further impairment of insulin action (9). Administration of a GH antagonist to diabetics results in relative restoration of normal carbohydrate metabolism but does not completely ameliorate the defect in insulin sensitivity (10). Similarly, administration of a GH receptor antagonist to acromegals improves insulin sensitivity but does not restore it to the normal range (11).

**Role of IGF-1 in modulating insulin sensitivity**

IGF-1, which has 48% amino acid sequence identity with proinsulin, enhances insulin sensitivity in both experimental animals and human subjects. IGF-1 binds to insulin receptors with very low affinity; therefore its binding to IGF-1 receptors and/or hybrid insulin/IGF-1 receptors has been postulated to be the mediator of enhanced insulin action (12). IGF-1 does not bind to hepatocytes or adipocytes, and therefore its primary insulin-sensitizing action is believed to be mediated through skeletal muscle. Administration of IGF-1 to normal humans results in glucose lowering that is approximately one-twelfth as potent as that induced by insulin (13), and in patients with extreme insulin resistance it improves insulin sensitivity and carbohydrate homeostasis (14).

One problem in interpreting almost all human studies of IGF-1 has been that, in addition to enhancing insulin action, it also suppresses GH secretion; therefore it has been difficult to determine the relative roles of the direct actions of IGF-1 and those that are mediated by suppression of GH. One exception is the group of individuals with GH receptor mutations who develop insulin resistance as adults. Administration of IGF-1 to these patients, who are unresponsive to GH, results in improvement in insulin sensitivity (15, 16). This difficulty in distinguishing between the effects of GH suppression and the direct effects of IGF-1 has been addressed in the studies of Yakar et al. (2). In an earlier report, they showed that animals in which the liver-specific expression of the IGF-1 gene had been deleted had low serum IGF-1 and increased GH levels (17). These mice were hyperinsulinemic and resistant to insulin action in skeletal muscle. The authors extended those findings by overexpressing a dominant negative form of IGF-1 receptor in muscle. This resulted in attenuation of both IGF-1 and hybrid insulin/IGF-1 receptor function. These animals developed severe insulin resistance and diabetes (18). In contrast, deletion of the insulin receptor in muscle does not result in severe insulin resistance or diabetes (19). The authors interpreted the difference in the two models to be due to loss of IGF-1’s sensitizing actions in skeletal muscle, leading to the development of insulin resistance.

Recently this group has further extended our understanding of this problem by creating a genetic model in which serum total IGF-1 concentrations are lowered by 85% but free IGF-1 concentrations are increased (20). In this model, animals that do not express IGF-1 in the liver were crossed with animals that do not express the acid-labile subunit, a component of the IGF-1/
IGF-binding protein-3/acid-labile subunit complex in plasma, which functions to stabilize the half-life of IGF-1. In contrast to the animals with liver IGF-1 deletion, these animals showed enhanced insulin sensitivity in both adipose tissue and muscle but no change in liver. When these results are considered together with the current study (2), they suggest that the major site at which GH blocks insulin action is the liver. Although a secondary role for GH in skeletal muscle cannot be excluded, by this formulation, conditions that lead to increases in GH secretion (whether or not they are associated with lower serum IGF-1) may result in impaired hepatic insulin sensitivity, leading to decreased suppression of gluconeogenesis. Although GH no doubt has an insulin-counter-regulatory role in skeletal muscle, in that tissue the role of IGF-1 may be predominant. An additional issue is that overexpression of the GH antagonist completely eliminates GH action, and therefore this study does not definitively address the role of normal GH secretion and action in mediating insulin resistance. Thus future studies that use tissue-specific gene-deletion animal models and provide different levels of hormone-replacement therapy or that assess the effects of variable doses of the GH antagonist will be necessary to discern the relative roles of IGF-1 and GH in mediating insulin sensitivity in both normal physiologic and pathophysiologic states. Inhibiting GH action to attain a relatively normal physiologic level rather than a GH-deficient level will be necessary to further understand the contribution of IGF-1 in maintenance of normal glucose homeostasis in these models. Nevertheless, the studies of Yakar et al. highlight the importance of GH antagonism of insulin action in the liver and provide an important step in our understanding of the relative roles of each of these three hormones in maintaining this finely balanced mechanism.