Mechanisms for pituitary tumorigenesis: the plastic pituitary
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The anterior pituitary gland integrates the repertoire of hormonal signals controlling thyroid, adrenal, reproductive, and growth functions. The gland responds to complex central and peripheral signals by trophic hormone secretion and by undergoing reversible plastic changes in cell growth leading to hypoplasia, involution, or benign adenomas arising from functional pituitary cells. Discussed herein are the mechanisms underlying hereditary pituitary hypoplasia, reversible pituitary hyperplasia, excess hormone production, and tumor initiation and promotion associated with normal and abnormal pituitary differentiation in health and disease.


**Introduction**

The pituitary gland responds to complex central and peripheral signals by two mechanisms. First, trophic hormone secretion is exquisitely controlled to regulate homeostasis. Second, developmental or acquired pituitary signals may elicit plastic pituitary growth responses, consisting of either hypoplasia, hyperplasia, or adenoma formation. These clinically apparent plastic changes of pituitary mass are indicative of physiologic or pathologic responses to extrapituitary or intrapituitary signals. Pituitary proliferative changes are usually accompanied by functional disorders of hormone secretion, leading to syndromes of hormone deficiency or excess. Mutations of early developmental genes (including *Rpx, Lhx3, Lhx4*, and *Pitx2*) pleiotropically affect adjacent midline structures, resulting in pituitary hypoplasia and pituitary hormone deficits, while mutations in genes determining specific pituitary lineages (including *Proopiomelanocortin*, *PRL*, and *Tpit*) are involved in pituitary hormone deficiencies with hypoplasia. Excess pituitary hormone secretion is usually associated with invariably benign monoclonal adenomas arising from a specific cell type, and although pituitary chromosome instability is an early hallmark of pituitary adenoma development and growth, pituitary carcinoma is very rare, further supporting the concept that pituitary adenomas have the capacity for reversible plasticity.

The hypothalamic–anterior pituitary unit integrates stimulatory and inhibitory central and peripheral signals to synthesize and secrete hormones by five highly differentiated cell types: somatotrophs, gonadotrophs, lactotrophs, thyrotrophs, and corticotrophs (Figure 1). Each of these cell types expresses unique G protein–coupled receptors (GPCRs), which are specific for hypothalamic releasing and inhibiting hormones. These peptides traverse the hypophyseal portal system and impinge upon their cognate pituicytes to regulate the synthesis and secretion of anterior pituitary trophic hormones that regulate growth (including growth hormone [GH]), sexual development and function (including luteinizing hormone [LH] and follicle-stimulating hormone [FSH]), lactation (including prolactin [PRL]), metabolism (including thyroid-stimulating hormone [TSH]), and stress responses (including adrenocorticotropic hormone [ACTH]). The gland itself responds to central and peripheral signals by undergoing reversible changes in cell growth leading to hyperplasia, involution or true adenoma formation. Using double-labeling with both BrdU and specific anterior pituitary hormone markers, it is apparent that even after their differentiation, pituitary cells continue mitosis, which may be augmented under certain conditions in the adult (e.g., pregnancy). About 30% of rat pituitary cells...
arise from “self-mitosis” of already differentiated cells, while others are produced by differentiation of hitherto undifferentiated cells, or possibly from pituitary stem cells. Thus, proliferative and apoptotic changes in the pituitary are observed during the first year of rodent life (1), and likely also occur in the human pituitary (2). Tumors may arise from any of these cells, and their secretory products depend upon the cell of origin. Functional classification of pituitary tumors is facilitated by immunocytochemical or in situ mRNA detection of cell gene products, as well as by measurement of circulating trophic and target hormone concentrations (Figure 2). ACTH oversecretion results in Cushing disease, with features of hypercortisolism; GH hypersecretion leads to acral overgrowth and metabolic dysfunction associated with acromegaly; and PRL hypersecretion leads to gonadal failure, secondary infertility, and galactorrhea. More rarely, TSH hypersecretion leads to hyperthyroxinemia and goiter, and hypersecreted gonadotropins (or their respective subunits) lead to gonadal dysfunction. Mixed tumors cosecreting GH with PRL, TSH, or ACTH may also arise from single cells. In contrast, tumors arising from gonadotroph cells do not efficiently secrete their gene products, and they are usually clinically silent (3–5).

Several characteristic hallmarks of pituitary neoplasia point to a unique growth behavior distinct from that of other endocrine and nonendocrine malignancies. Pituitary tumors are invariably benign, and although aggressive local growth may occur, their general failure to proceed to true malignancy with demonstrable extracranial metastases is intriguing. These adenomas grow slowly, and are discovered in up to 25% of unselected autopsy specimens. Although the natural history of pituitary microadenoma growth is difficult to ascertain because of the intrinsic inaccessibility of the pituitary tissue for study, it is clear that microadenomas do not invariably progress to macroadenomas; furthermore, macroadenomas are stable or exhibit very slow growth, and may in fact resolve spontaneously (6). Oncogene mutations commonly encountered in nonendocrine neoplasms (e.g., ras and p53) are not generally present in pituitary adenomas, yet disturbed intrapituitary paracrine growth factor expression and action have been extensively documented (3–5). Study of human pituitary tissue is challenging due to several limitations, including the anatomic inaccessibility of the pituitary gland, the lack of functional human cell lines in culture, the paucity of faithful animal models, and unique differentiated tumor behaviors. Although mouse models may differ from human counterparts, their study provides important insights into human pituitary tumor pathogenesis. Animal studies of pituitary proliferative changes have largely followed two approaches. First, disruption of known tumor suppressor genes tested in transgenic animal models has revealed unexpected pituitary hyperplasia and tumor phenotypes (e.g., Rb and p27). Second, transgenic animals have been used to test genes known for their pituitary-regulatory functions (e.g., GH-releasing hormone [GHRH], nerve growth factor [NGF], and cytokines). These lines of investigation have also made it possible to understand the role of pituitary cell cycle proteins (Table 1).

In light of these observations, the approach to understanding pituitary adenoma pathogenesis requires insights into factors regulating pituitary growth, from hypoplasia through hyperplasia, and ultimately true adenoma development (Table 2).

**Pituitary hypoplasia: transcription factor regulation of pituitary development.** Hormone-specific anterior pituitary cells are embryologically derived from a pluripotent precursor, and arise as a consequence of concerted tem-
poral and anatomic control of homeodomain repressor and activator transcription factor expression. Figure 1 depicts the developmental lineages of anterior pituitary subtypes (7). Functional disruption of this cellular cascade by transcription factor mutations may lead to hormone deficiencies due to disordered pituitary cell development and differentiation and resultant pituitary hypoplasia.

Early pituitary differentiation requires Rpx and Ptx expression. Ptx2 is mutated in patients with Rieger syndrome (8), which comprises maldevelopment of the anterior eye, teeth, and umbilicus, and GH deficiency. Lim homeobox (Lhx3) is defective in hypopituitary patients with features of a rigid cervical spine (9), and Lhx4 mutations are associated with combined pituitary hormone deficits in patients with Chiari-type cerebellar malformations (10). Rpx (Hesx1) is critical for the development of a committed Rathke’s pouch, and rare Rpx mutations are found in subjects with septo-optic dysplasia (midline forebrain abnormalities, optic nerve hypoplasia, and pituitary dysplasia) (11). A T-box factor (12), Tbx19/Tpit, interacts cooperatively with PitX1 in corticotrophs and loss of T-pit function has been reported in patients with isolated ACTH deficiency (13). T-pit disruption in transgenic mice also leads to hypoplasia of the proopiomelanocortin (POMC)-expressing intermediate lobe (14). Developmental PROP-1 defects produce variable phenotypes and include defects in all five anterior pituitary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tumor phenotype</th>
<th>Hypertrophic</th>
<th>Hyperthyroinemia</th>
<th>Soft tissue swelling</th>
<th>Cardiac hypertrophy</th>
<th>Hypertension</th>
<th>Hypothyroidism</th>
<th>Sleep apnea</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Central obesity</td>
<td>Thyroid goiter</td>
<td>Acraniac gynecomastia</td>
<td>Cardiac hypertrophy</td>
<td>Hypothyroidism</td>
<td>Sleep apnea</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Striae</td>
<td>Stigmata</td>
<td>Soft tissue swelling</td>
<td>Cardiac hypertrophy</td>
<td>Hypothyroidism</td>
<td>Sleep apnea</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperglycemia</td>
<td>Stigmata</td>
<td>Soft tissue swelling</td>
<td>Cardiac hypertrophy</td>
<td>Hypothyroidism</td>
<td>Sleep apnea</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osteoporosis</td>
<td>Stigmata</td>
<td>Soft tissue swelling</td>
<td>Cardiac hypertrophy</td>
<td>Hypothyroidism</td>
<td>Sleep apnea</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hirsutism</td>
<td>Stigmata</td>
<td>Soft tissue swelling</td>
<td>Cardiac hypertrophy</td>
<td>Hypothyroidism</td>
<td>Sleep apnea</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1**

Anterior pituitary hormone secretion and action

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fetal appearance</th>
<th>Gonadotroph</th>
<th>Thyrotroph</th>
<th>Lactotroph</th>
<th>Somatotroph</th>
<th>Corticotroph</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hormone</td>
<td>FSH, LH</td>
<td>TSH</td>
<td>PRL</td>
<td>GH</td>
<td>POMC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromosomal gene locus</td>
<td>β-11p; β-19q</td>
<td>α-6q; β-1p</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein</td>
<td>Glycoprotein α, β subunits</td>
<td>Glycoprotein α, β subunits</td>
<td>Polypeptide</td>
<td>Polypeptide</td>
<td>Polypeptide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA</td>
<td>210; 204</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>266 (ACTH 1–39)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stimulators</td>
<td>GnRH, estrogen</td>
<td>TRH</td>
<td>Estrogen, TRH</td>
<td>GHRH, GHS</td>
<td>CRH, AVP, CNT, GH, GH secretagogues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inhibitors</td>
<td>Sex steroids, Inhibin</td>
<td>T3, T4, dopamine, somatostatin, glucocorticoids</td>
<td>Dopamine</td>
<td>Somatostatin, IGF, activins</td>
<td>Glucocorticoids</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target gland</td>
<td>Ovary, testis</td>
<td>Thyroid</td>
<td>Breast, other tissues</td>
<td>Liver, bones, other tissues</td>
<td>Adrenal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trophic effect</td>
<td>Sex steroid, follicle growth, germ cell maturation; M: 5–20 IU/l, F (basal): 5–20 IU/l</td>
<td>T4 synthesis and secretion</td>
<td>Milk production</td>
<td>IFG-I production, growth induction, insulin antagonism</td>
<td>Steroid production</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal range</td>
<td>M: 5–20 IU/l, F (basal): 5–20 IU/l</td>
<td>0.1–5 mIU/l</td>
<td>M &lt;15 µg/l, F &lt;20 µg/l</td>
<td>&lt;0.5 µg/l</td>
<td>ACTH: 4–22 pg/l</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

T3, triiodothyronine; T4, thyroxine; M, male; F, female; AVP, vasopressin. GHS, GH secretagogues.
The pathological diagnosis of hyperplasia is difficult and is best made by demonstrating intact acinar structures utilizing a reticulin stain. Specifically, corticotroph hyperplasia may be associated with Crooke’s hyaline changes, and thyrotroph hyperplasia, with periodic acid Schiff–positive lysosomes. Rarely, pituitary hyperplasia may be of primary origin, and is best distinguished from a pituitary adenoma (21). This discordant pituitary growth may reflect enhanced sensitivity to a pituitary growth signal unmasked by PROP-1 disruption.

Although Pit-1 mRNA is increased up to fivefold in pituitary adenomas expressing GH and or PRL, the cell type distribution, size, and sequence of Pit-1 transcripts are unaltered from normal pituitary tissue (22). Ratios of adenoma Pit-1 and Pit-1α isoforms are also normal, further suggesting that pituitary tumorigenesis is not associated with altered Pit-1 expression. Human PROP-1 is persistently expressed beyond embryonic development, although prolonged expression is tumorigenic in transgenic mice (18). Persistent PROP-1 expression in both normal and adenomatous human pituitary tissue and the absence of PROP-1 coding mutations (23) from pituitary tumors suggest that this factor may be required to maintain mature pituitary cell types. Recently, a murine model of pituitary hypoplasia has been developed by the disruption of the cyclin-dependent kinase 4 (CDK4), leading to profound selective lactotroph hypoplasia (24).

**Pituitary hyperplasia.** The pituitary gland responds to inducing signals, as well as their withdrawal, by regulating both trophic hormone secretion and mitotic and apoptotic growth changes. Pituitary hyperplasia is characterized by increased proliferation of a single cell type, which may be focal, nodular, or diffuse. There is an absolute increase in numbers of specific cells, with pituitary enlargement visible on MRI. Pituitary hyperplasia may range from modest cell type increases to large glandular expansion with grossly altered tissue architecture and morphology (25). The pathological diagnosis of hyperplasia is difficult and is best made by demonstrating intact acinar structures utilizing a reticulin stain. Specifically, corticotroph hyperplasia may be associated with Crooke’s hyaline changes, and thyrotroph hyperplasia, with periodic acid Schiff–positive lysosomes. Rarely, pituitary hyperplasia may be of primary origin, and is assessed by MRI, was diminished in over two thirds of 76 patients with both idiopathic and genetic GH deficiencies due to GH, GHRH receptor, or PROP-1 deficiency (20). Interestingly, subjects with idiopathic GH deficiency, likely due to perinatal damage (hypoxia), exhibited pituitary stalk thinning with an ectopic posterior lobe, presumably reflecting functional hypothalamic disruption. Paradoxically, some patients with inherited multiple pituitary hormone deficiencies may in fact develop nonhomogenous cystic pituitary hyperplasia, which must be distinguished from a pituitary adenoma (21). This discordant pituitary growth may reflect enhanced sensitivity to a pituitary growth signal unmasked by PROP-1 disruption.

### Table 2

Factors regulating human pituitary growth and tumor formation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pituitary defect</th>
<th>Factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Transcription factor mutation</td>
<td>Pit-1, PROP-1, T-pit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Structural defect</td>
<td>Hesx1, Pitx2, Lhx3, Lhx4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypothalamic hormone disruption</td>
<td>GHRH receptor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idiopathic</td>
<td>GH deficiency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pituitary hyperplasia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactotroph</td>
<td>Pregnancy, Estrogen, Excessive estrogen exposure, D2R disruption</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Stalk-section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somatotroph</td>
<td>Eutopic or ectopic GHRH production, Hypothalamic tumor, chest and abdominal carcinoid, phaeochromocytoma, McCune-Albright syndrome, Mammosomatotroph hyperplasia (gigantism)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corticotroph</td>
<td>Eutopic or ectopic CRH production, Hypothalamic tumor, Thyroid hormone defect, Untreated adrenal failure, Cushing disease (−10%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nelson syndrome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Thyrotroph</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Untreated thyroid failure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gonadotroph</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Untreated gonadal failure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Klinefelter syndrome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pituitary adenoma</td>
<td>Hereditary, MEN-1, CNC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signal transduction mutations</td>
<td>gp, CREB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loss of tumor suppressor gene function</td>
<td>Rb, p16, p27, GADD46α</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disrupted paracrine growth factor or cytokine action</td>
<td>FGFs, EGF, NGF, cytokines, CAMS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromosomal instability</td>
<td>PTTG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epigenetic events</td>
<td>Methylation, deacetylation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CAMS, cell adhesion molecules.

hormones (15). GH-, PRL-, and TSH-expressing cells share a common developmental pathway, and Pit-1 mutations can affect all three cell types. Ames dwarf (Prop1<sup>−/−</sup>) and Pit-1<sup>Δex/Δex</sup> mice display growth deficiency, hypothyroidism, and infertility (16, 17). Inappropriate timing of pituitary transcription factor expression may also lead to developmental consequences. Persistent transgenic murine pituitary Prop-1 expression results in delayed murine gonadotrope differentiation, persistent Rathke’s cleft cysts, pituitary enlargement, and null cell nonsecreting pituitary adenomas (18). Pituitary growth is altered dramatically by transcription factor mutations (19). In a study of 52 patients with PROP-1 mutations assessed by MRI, the pituitary was found to be hypoplastic in 34, hyperplastic in 14, and normal in 4 subjects (19). Pituitary height, as
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usually secondary to extrinsic signals. Normal pituitary height as assessed by MRI is up to 9 mm in healthy subjects, while adolescent females tend to have larger pituitary glands. Clearly, hormonal and clinical evaluations are required for all enlarged pituitary images, as “primary” pituitary enlargement is rarely encountered, especially in male subjects. Invariably, an enlarged pituitary discovered incidentally on MRI can be ascribed to a pituitary adenoma (26).

Target hormones (sex and adrenal steroids, and thyroid hormones) exert powerful negative feedback inhibition of their respective trophic hormone gene transcription and hormone secretion, as well as suppression of pituicyte growth. Failure of target glands (thyroid, adrenal, and gonads) leads to loss of negative feedback inhibition and resultant compensatory hyperplasia of the respective pituitary trophic hormone cells (Figure 2). Thus, longstanding primary hypothyroidism, hypogonadism, or hypoadrenalism may be associated with a clinically enlarged pituitary gland visible on MRI, with involution of the gland occurring after appropriate target hormone replacement and restoration of negative feedback (Figure 3).

The pituitary gland enlarges approximately twofold during pregnancy with most growth accounted for by diffuse lactotroph hyperplasia. Pre-existing lactotrophs proliferate, and somatotrophs are also recruited to switch from GH to PRL production (27). After birth or cessation of lactation, the pituitary size involutes and hyperactive “pregnancy cells” regress, and the number of lactotrophs reverts to almost normal. Nevertheless, both pituitary weight and cell numbers are higher in nonpregnant multiparous women with no demonstrable increased incidence of prolactinoma formation (28). Interestingly, in post-menopausal women, despite enhanced gonadotropin (FSH and LH) production due to loss of ovarian function, the pituitary gland is usually small.

Since the original observations of absent or minimal true mitotic activity in hyperplastic pituitary glands (29), the origin of hyperplastic cells has been debated. Although the origin of most such entities has been ascribed to expanded clones arising from a stem cell, several lines of evidence support the concept of “reversible transdifferentiation” whereby cells are recruited from heterologous cell types (30). Early in development, GH-secreting cells have the capacity to transdifferentiate to gonadotrophs (31). Reversible phenotypic switching of GH and PRL gene expression has long been reported in experimental rat pituitary tumor cells (32), and is reflective of a common acidophilic stem cell precursor for both PRL and GH cells. Several rodent models of pituitary hyperplasia exist, including pregnancy and administered antithyroid medication; these exhibit plastic interchange of PRL and GH, as well as TSH- and GH-secreting cell populations, respectively. In humans, during pregnancy, lactotroph cells are recruited from GH-secreting cells, and the hyperplastic cell population may be bishormonal, secreting both hormones. Similarly, hypothyroid patients exhibit thy-
Lactotroph hyperplasia with recruitment of GH-secreting cells, leading to bihormonal TSH- and GH-cell hyperplasia (33). It is unclear whether these bihormonal, hypertrophic cells arise as a consequence of transdifferentiation of already committed cells, or whether earlier more primitive stem cells undergo expansion.

Several lines of evidence corroborate the concept of a hypothalamic role for pituitary tumorigenesis. True pituitary adenomas often retain the capacity to respond to hypothalamic trophic stimuli. Furthermore, pituitary adenomas, especially prolactinomas, may resolve spontaneously, demonstrating the plasticity of adenomatous pituitary cell growth (6). Finally, the clear demonstration of pituitary tumor mass shrinkage in patients receiving somatostatin analogs for acromegaly or dopaminergic therapy for prolactinomas (34, 35) supports the notion that some of the transformed pituitary cells retain a measure of hypothalamic control, with the capacity to reverse adenoma growth.

**Estrogen.** Lactotroph hyperplasia is encountered in rodents and humans receiving high estrogen doses, and several models of estrogen action provide insight into the role of hyperplasia as a precursor for pituitary adenoma formation. Estrogen is mitogenic for lactotrophs and gonadotrophs, and is a ligand for the estrogen receptor (ER) encoded by two genes: \( ER\alpha \), expressed in 70–100% of prolactinomas, and \( ER\beta \), detectable in 60% of these tumors (36). Estradiol ligand binding leads to activation of estrogen-responsive genes, with a stronger estrogen response due to \( ER\alpha \) than to \( ER\beta \). High doses of estrogen induce rat lactotroph hyperplasia and adenoma formation (37), and prenatal murine exposure to diethylstilbestrol markedly enhances prolactinoma development in female offspring (38). The female preponderance of prolactinomas and their increased size during pregnancy may be ascribed to high estradiol levels, especially since prolactinomas express estrogen receptors most abundantly. In addition to cell trophic effects, estrogen induces the prolactin promoter, and activates the pituitary tumor transforming gene (PTTG), \( FGF\beta \), \( FGF\beta \) receptors, and \( TGF\beta \) and \( \alpha \) expression, all of which are implicated in pituitary tumorigenesis. Alternatively spliced \( ER\alpha \) mRNAs encode isoforms with altered responsiveness to estrogens and antiestrogens, suggesting a mechanism for tumorigenesis. ER transcripts with deletions of exons 2 and 5, which behave as stimulatory or ligand-independent isoforms, have been detected in nearly all prolactinomas. In contrast, deletions that encode dominant negative \( ER\alpha \) isoforms lacking DNA binding or transactivation functions, respectively (exon 7), are less commonly encountered in prolactinomas (36, 39).

PTTG is expressed in the female rat pituitary in an estrous cycle-dependent fashion, suggesting PTTG involvement in pituicyte proliferation after proestrous (40). Rat pituitary expression of PTTG is induced early by estrogen and precedes estrogen-induced pituitary hyperplasia and adenoma formation (Figure 4). Antiestrogens attenuate pituitary expression of PTTG concomitantly with their blocking of the cellular effects of estrogen (41), and these agents also block pituitary tumor cell proliferation in vitro and in vivo (41). Although animal models depict estrogen-induced pitu-

---

**Figure 4**
In vivo estrogen induction of PTTG and rat lactotroph tumors. (a) Representative normal rat pituitary (NI) and rat pituitary tumor (E2). (b and c) Serum PRL and pituitary wet weight (b) and Northern blot analysis (c) of pituitary tissue extracts derived from estrogen-treated rats. \( \beta \)-Actin was utilized as the internal control. Ovx, ovariectomized controls. M, marker lane. \( *P < 0.001; **P < 0.01 \). (d and e) Reticulin fiber staining (broken circle) of rat anterior pituitary tissue at 24 hours (d) and 1 week (e) after commencement of estrogen infusion. (f and g) Reticulin stain (arrows) (f) and hematoxylin and eosin stain (g) of rat anterior pituitary tissue 4 weeks after estrogen infusion began. Widespread vacuolation, vascular lakes (g, arrow), nuclear pleomorphism and frequent mitosis (g, arrowhead) are visible. (h) pituitary bFGF immunoreactivity after 4 weeks of estrogen treatment. Original magnification, \( \times 200 \). Reproduced with permission from *Nature Medicine* (37).
itary hyperplasia as preceding adenoma formation, only rare cases of prolactinoma formation have been reported in patients receiving high estrogen doses. A transsexual patient exposed to excess estrogen (42) and a young female with lactotroph hyperplasia (43) were reported to have developed coexistent prolactinomas.

**Hypothalamic signaling.** Generalized pituitary hyperplasia would be expected if the adenomatous growth were induced in response to hypothalamic hormone overstimulation. The hypothalamus secretes hormones through the portal vein; these factors impinge upon anterior pituitary cells to regulate anterior pituitary hormone synthesis and secretion (Figure 2). Disrupted stimulatory hypothalamic signals or defects in their cognate anterior pituitary receptors leads to pituitary hormone deficiencies. Mice with disrupted corticotropin-releasing hormone (CRH) (44) have impaired ACTH synthesis. In transgenic rodents, pituitary hyperplasia together with adenoma formation is observed in conjunction with overexpressed hypothalamic growth factors, including CRH or GHRH (45, 46), or those pituitaries deprived of dopamine inhibition, as observed in mice with a disrupted dopamine D2 receptor (DRD2) transgene (47). In contrast, human pituitary hyperplasia and hormone hypersecretion associated with rare hypothalamic or ectopic GHRH- or CRH-producing tumors (especially carcinoids) or Nelson syndrome are very rarely associated with adenoma development, suggesting that hyperplasia is not a prerequisite for adenoma development (47–51). In contrast, sporadic nonfunctioning pituitary tumors (α subunit positive) or prolactinomas are commonly well circumscribed, and the surrounding pituitary tissue is usually normal or even hypoplastic.

Hypothalamic dopamine exerts tonic inhibition of PRL synthesis and secretion as well as lactotroph proliferation, and DRD2 mediates these actions. Focal lactotroph hyperplasia is encountered when the pituitary is deprived of hypothalamic dopamine, as seen with compressive stalk disruption by a parasellar mass or pituitary hypoplasia, GH deficiency, and short stature in humans. Although activating GHRH mutations with constitutive activation of the cAMP signaling pathway have been excluded in somatotropinomas (58, 62), mutations of several key GHRH signaling pathways have been associated with pituitary hyperplasia and tumor pathogenesis.

**Gsp mutations.** The McCune-Albright syndrome comprises asymmetric defects in bony skeleton and skin (precocious puberty, thyrotoxicosis, acromegaly, gigantism, or Cushing syndrome). Pituitary lesions are usually hyperplastic and are less commonly adenomatous. The molecular defect in McCune-Albright syndrome is the activating gsp mutation in the GNAS1 gene (guanine nucleotide–activating α subunit) identified on chromosome 20q13.2, which bypasses ligand-dependent signaling (63), resulting in constitutive hormone gene activation. The gsp mutation identified in McCune-Albright syndrome also occurs in approximately 30% of sporadic GH-secreting tumors with constitutively activated Gα protein–stimulating adenylate cyclase (64). Reduced GTPase activity leads to stabilization of the active form of the Gα protein and increased adenyl cyclase activity. Increased CAMP caused by gsp enhances GH secretion independently of hypothalamic GHRH ligand. The oncogenic gsp mutation correlates with constitutively increased cAMP response element–binding protein (CREB) phosphorylation and activity (65) leading to increased Pit-1 transcription, and enhanced GH synthesis. Targeted CREB deletion in transgenic mice leads to somatotroph cell depletion and dwarfism (66). However, additional mechanisms inducing CREB phosphorylation appear to be required, as one study demonstrated that 6 of 15 GH-secreting tumors had altered Gα gene sequences or expression levels, while all GH-secreting tumors stud-
ied had increased phosphorylated CREB, compared with nonfunctioning pituitary adenomas (65).

As phosphodiesterase activity is also elevated approximately sevenfold in \textit{gsp}-positive pituitary adenomas, the effects of elevated intracellular cAMP levels appear to be counteracted by additional intracellular mechanisms (67). Intriguingly, there are few in vivo phenotypic features distinguishing GH-cell adenomas bearing the \textit{gsp} mutation. \textit{Gsp}-positive tumors show enhanced responsiveness to octreotide, a somatostatin analog, and can be biochemically controlled even when a tumor remnant is present after surgery.

\textbf{Carney complex.} The Carney complex (CNC) syndrome comprises cardiac myxomas, spotty skin pigmentation, and tumors of the adrenal gland and anterior pituitary. Pituitary lesions are hyperplastic, with multifocal microadenomas usually arising from GH-cells. CNC exhibits genetic heterogeneity, mapping to two chromosomal regions. Families with a putative 2p16 defect exhibit tumor chromosome instability. The 17q24 gene, \textit{CNC1}, encodes protein kinase A (PKA) type I-α regulatory subunit (PRKAR1α) (68). Truncated PRKAR1α is detectable in families with CNC linked to 17q24. PRKAR1α defects likely act through haploinsufficiency, with an altered ratio of the PKA regulatory α and β subunits resulting in lower basal PKA activity but increased PKA responses to cAMP stimulation in CNC tumors (69). As with multiple endocrine neoplasia type I (MEN I), some families with CNC exhibit only a subset of tumor types, with defects in PRKAR1α also identified in isolated familial cardiac myxomas (70).

Pituitary adenomas in both McCune-Albright syndrome and CNC tend to be multifocal and are often preceded by hyperplasia, similar to those observed in animal models expressing excess GHRH. The GHRH signaling pathway may therefore be implicated at several levels leading to pituitary tumorigenesis. GH-producing tumors arise in transgenic mice overexpressing GHRH, and GH-cell adenomas express activating \textit{G} protein α-subunit mutations or inactivating mutations of PRKAR1α. Elevated cAMP levels lead to enhanced CREB phosphorylation, with subsequent activation of GH transcription and somatotroph cell transformation. Functional inactivation of \textit{CNC1}-encoded PRKAR1α enhances cAMP sensitivity.

\textbf{Growth factors.} Several pituitary-driven growth factors have been shown to induce pituitary hyperplasia with or without ultimate adenoma development when expressed in transgenic mice.

\textit{bFGF.} FGF-β is abundantly expressed in the pituitary and brain, induces angiogenesis, and is also a potent mitogen for neuroectoderm cells. FGF-β synthesis is induced in NIH3T3 cells overexpressing PTTG. During the hyperplastic phase, prior to the development of prolactinomas (37), pituitary expression of both PTTG and FGF-β is increased in a time- and dose-dependent manner in estrogen-treated rats.

\textit{Hst.} The heparin-binding secretory transforming gene \textit{hst}, encoding FGF-4, was identified from human

---

**Table 3**

Pathologic and clinical characteristics of pituitary adenomas.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adenoma type</th>
<th>Pathological incidence (%)</th>
<th>Prevalence (total per 10^6) mRNA</th>
<th>Gene expression Immunohistochemistry</th>
<th>EM secretary granules (nm)</th>
<th>Clinical syndrome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lactotroph</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sparsely granulated</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>60–100</td>
<td>PRL</td>
<td>PRL</td>
<td>150–500 Hypogonadism, galactorrhea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Densely granulated</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>PRL</td>
<td>PRL</td>
<td>400–1200 Hypogonadism, galactorrhea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somatotroph</td>
<td></td>
<td>40–60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sparsely granulated</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td>GH</td>
<td>GH</td>
<td>100–250 Acromegaly or gigantism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Densely granulated</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td>GH</td>
<td>GH</td>
<td>300–700 galactorrhea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined GH/PRL cells</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed GH/PRL</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td>GH/PRL</td>
<td>GH/PRL</td>
<td>100–600 Hypogonadism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mammosomatotroph</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>GH/PRL</td>
<td>GH/PRL</td>
<td>350–2000 Acromegaly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acidophil stem cell</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>GH/PRL</td>
<td>GH/PRL</td>
<td>50–300 galactorrhea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corticotroph</td>
<td>20–30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cushing</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td>POMC</td>
<td>ACTH</td>
<td>250–700 Cushing disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silent corticotroph</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>POMC</td>
<td>ACTH</td>
<td>Variable None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nelson</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>POMC</td>
<td>ACTH</td>
<td>250–700 Local signs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thyrotroph</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>TSH</td>
<td>TSH</td>
<td>50–250 Hyperthyroidism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plurihormonal</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>70–90</td>
<td>GH/PRL</td>
<td>LH/Glycoprotein</td>
<td>Mixed Silent or pituitary failure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonfunctioning/null cell/gonadotroph</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>5–15</td>
<td>FSH/LHαSU</td>
<td>Glycoprotein</td>
<td>&lt;25% of cells silent or pituitary failure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oncocytic</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td>FSH/LHαSU</td>
<td>Glycoprotein</td>
<td>&lt;25% of cells silent or pituitary failure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gonadotroph</td>
<td>7–15</td>
<td></td>
<td>FSH/LH</td>
<td>LH</td>
<td>50–200 Silent or pituitary failure</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are derived from studying a relatively stable 1 million catchment population surrounding Stoke-on-Trent, United Kingdom (144–148). Modified with permission from W.B. Saunders (139).
sequences derived from prolactinoma DNA and was shown to be transforming in NIH3T3 cells (71). GH4 cells transfected with hst form tumors that are more aggressive when transplanted, and FGF-4 stimulates lactotroph proliferation and prolactin transcription and secretion (72). About 30% of human prolactinomas selectively express strong FGF-4 immunoreactivity (73), and FGF-4 abundance correlates with tumor invasiveness. A truncated FGF receptor isoform has also been shown to result in lactotroph tumors in transgenic mice when driven by the prolactin promoter (74).

TGFs. Pituitary TGF-α mRNA levels increase before initiation of lactotroph hyperplasia by estrogen administration. Transgenic female mice with pituitary TGF-α transgene expression driven by the PRL promoter develop lactotroph hyperplasia and, ultimately, prolactinomas by 12 months of age (75). TGF effects are likely potentiated in vivo by estrogen, and TGFs do not appear to induce other pituitary cell type tumors. TGF-β is a pituitary growth inhibitor, and the estrogen receptor has been shown to coimmunoprecipitate with Smad4 and Smad1, implicating a TGF-β interaction with estrogen in the pathogenesis of prolactinoma pathogenesis. (76).

NGF. Mammosomatotroph pituitary cells express NGF and its receptors. Mice, in which transgenic NGF is driven by the prolactin promoter, develop lactotroph hyperplasia without adenomas, despite having markedly enlarged pituitary glands (77).

Pituitary adenoma. Pituitary adenomas are common benign monoclonal neoplasms accounting for approximately 15% of intracranial tumors, while occult adenomas are discovered in as many as 25% of unselected autopsies. Pituitary tumors are usually benign, but cause significant morbidity due to their critical location, expanding size, and/or inappropriate pituitary hormone expression. Local compressive effects include headaches, visual disorders, cranial nerve dysfunction and/or altered hormone expression due to pituitary stalk disruption with compromised hypothalamic hormone access, and pituitary failure due to compression of normal pituitary tissue. Factors underlying pituitary tumorigenesis include both intrinsic pituicyte alterations and altered availability of regulatory factors including hypothalamic hormones, peripheral hormones, and paracrine growth factors.

Reflective of the specific cell type origin of the adenoma, unique clinical features are determined by the specific hormone hypersecreted (Table 3). Somatomatotropinomas overexpress GH, causing acromegaly in adults, with bony acral changes in soft tissues and bone, and increased risk of hypertension, cardiac disease, and diabetes. Prolactinomas are the most common of all functional pituitary adenomas, and patients harboring prolactinomas overexpressing PRL usually present with amenorrhea, infertility, and galactorrhea in females, and impotence or infertility in males. Tumors expressing both PRL and GH may originate from a common mammosomatotroph precursor cell. Corticotropinomas lead to ACTH hypersecretion (Cushing disease) and adrenal steroid overstimulation. Features of hypercortisolism include truncal obesity, striae, muscle wasting, hirsutism, cardiovascular complications, osteoporosis, and psychiatric disturbances. Pure gonadotropinomas secreting intact FSH or LH are rarely encountered and may cause sexual dysfunction and hypogonadism. Thyrotropinomas cause a mild increase in thyroxine levels with inappropriate TSH levels.

The etiology of these tumors is unresolved and likely involves multiple initiating and promoting factors. The literature is replete with heterogenous descriptions of overexpressed or underexpressed specific oncogenes or growth factors in these adenomas, and they may not necessarily be the direct cause of the tumor, especially as many of these factors are induced only after cells are transformed (78) and may in fact be epiphenomena rather than etiologic molecules.

Clonality of anterior pituitary tumors. Abundant evidence suggests that pituitary adenomas are derived from clonal expansion of mutated somatic cells (79, 80). Evidence supporting this “intrinsic defect” hypothesis includes the observed well-circumscribed discrete adenomas surrounded by normal nonhyperplastic tissue, as well as results of X-inactivation studies and loss-of-heterozygosity (LOH) analysis. Most pituitary tumors likely ini-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Syndrome</th>
<th>Clinical Features</th>
<th>Chromosome location</th>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Protein</th>
<th>Proposed function/defect</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MEN I</td>
<td>Parathyroid, endocrine pancreas, anterior pituitary (mostly prolactinomas) tumors</td>
<td>11q13</td>
<td>MEN1</td>
<td>MENIN</td>
<td>Nuclear, tumor suppressor protein interacts with junD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Familial acromegaly</td>
<td>GH-cell adenomas, acromegaly/gigantism</td>
<td>11q13 and other loci</td>
<td>Not men1</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McCune-Albright syndrome</td>
<td>Polysomatic fibrous dysplasia, pigmented skin patches; endocrine</td>
<td>20q13.2 (mosaic)</td>
<td>GNAS1</td>
<td>Gα</td>
<td>Signal transduction/inactive GTPase results in constitutive cAMP elevation independent of GHRH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNC syndrome</td>
<td>Skin and cardiac myxomas, Cushing disease, acromegaly</td>
<td>2p16</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>PKA signaling defect for activating GH</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Adapted with permission from (149).
tiate with expansion of a single cell, as they exhibit nonrandom methylation patterns. Occasionally, multifocal polyclonal pituitary adenomas associated with hyperprolactinemia may arise either due to extrinsic changes in hypothalamic factors, or to pituitary stalk compression, blocking lactotroph inhibition by dopamine.

Familial pituitary tumor syndromes. Three specific genes have been identified that predispose to pituitary tumorigenesis, including MEN I, CNC, and McCune-Albright syndrome (Table 4). Four pedigrees in which MEN I was excluded have been reported with isolated prolactinomas (81). Linkage analysis and MEN I mutation screening show that familial hyperprolactinemia is genetically distinct from MEN I. Although isolated familial GH-secreting adenomas are linked to at least two chromosomal regions, 11q13 and a distinct locus unrelated to 11q13, mutations of MEN I have been excluded in these families (82, 83). Interestingly, early onset familial acromegaly has been linked to 11q13 and 2p16, chromosomal loci for both MEN I and CNC genes.

LOH and the role of tumor suppressor genes. Using genome-wide scanning and allelotyping with 122 microsatellite markers in 100 nonfunctioning and GH-secreting adenomas, multiple hot-spots have been detected, suggesting widespread LOH with enhanced susceptibility to epigenetic events (84). Deletions of chromosomal loci, including 11q13, 9p, 10q, and 13q14, occur in 12–30% of invasive pituitary tumors (85). Retention of heterozygosity has also been reported in subsequent tumor tissue whose earlier specimens displayed LOH. However, few of these tumors were examined for X-inactivation, to confirm the clonal populations, and in some, contamination by adjacent normal pituitary tissue was not rigorously excluded.

MEN I. The familial syndrome MEN I affects the parathyroid gland and endocrine pancreas, and, less frequently, the anterior pituitary, with mostly prolactinomas. MEN I behaves as an autosomal dominant trait with reduced penetrance. MEN I encodes a 610-amino-acid nuclear protein, MENIN, which interacts with JunD to repress transactivation. The chromosome 11q13 germine mutation is unmasked by a "second somatic hit" on the remaining allele and is visualized as LOH for polymorphic DNA markers spanning the 11q13 region. 11q13 germline mutation is unmasked by a "second somatic hit" on the remaining allele and is visualized as LOH for polymorphic DNA markers spanning the 11q13 region. As LOH for 11q13 is observed in up to 30% of sporadic pituitary tumors (especially invasive), a role for MEN I in the progression but not the initiation of sporadic pituitary tumors has been suggested. However, sequence analysis shows that MEN I mutations occur in less than 2% of sporadic pituitary adenomas. Although reduced MEN I expression has been observed with decreased copy number, the overwhelming majority of sporadic pituitary tumors express both MEN I alleles equally, excluding genomic imprinting as a general mechanism to silence this chromosomal region (87). Taken together, evidence from studies of sporadic pituitary tumors predicts the putative presence of a distinct tumor suppressor gene for these adenomas in the 11q13 region.

Rb. The retinoblastoma protein Rb, a key cell cycle regulator, is differentially phosphorylated by cell cycle-dependent kinases and cyclins (88). Activated hypophosphorylated Rb binds E2F, blocking entry into the cell cycle and suppressing proliferation, while phosphorylated Rb releases E2F. Transgenic mice with disrupted Rb do not develop retinoblastoma, but surprisingly exhibit large pituitary tumors arising from pituitary ACTH-producing cells in the intermediate lobe (89). This observation led to a search for Rb as a candidate for involvement in human pituitary tumors. Although LOH for polymorphic markers on chromosome 13q14 is detected in aggressive pituitary tumors and their metastases, immunoreactive Rb protein is still detectable. Several lines of evidence indicate that Rb itself is not implicated in pituitary tumorigenesis. First, the Rb locus was demonstrated to be intact in more than 50 benign pituitary adenomas (90, 91). Wild-type hypophosphorylated (active) Rb has been detected in a large cohort of 24 pituitary tumors, and single-strand conformational polymorphism mobility shifts indicative of sequence changes were not detected for exons 20–24, which encode the pocket domain (92). These results are suggestive of a distinct tumor suppressor gene on 13q14 unmasked by LOH in aggressive sporadic pituitary tumors. Some reports indicate that Rb expression might be decreased by promoter methylation in a subset of invasive pituitary tumors.

p27. Mice disrupted for p27 develop enhanced growth, with multiorgan hyperplasia and increased cell proliferation. Intriguingly, these mice frequently develop tumors of POMC-positive cells of the pituitary intermediate lobe (93). The p27 gene behaves as a tumor suppressor gene both in animal models and also in sporadic human pituitary tumors. Immunodetectable p27 protein is undetected in the majority of sporadic human pituitary tumors, and is undetectable in rare pituitary carcinomas (94). Pituitary adenoma expression of p27 is likely regulated by post-transcriptional and post-translational mechanisms, including ubiquitin-dependent protein degradation (95), and p27 mutations have been excluded in these tumors. Doubly disrupted p27 and Rb mice have enhanced pituitary tumor development, and p27 loss retards apoptosis in Rb+/− tumor cells (96). Galectin-3, which induces p27,
upregulated in neoplastic pituitary tissue derived from p27-null mice, and inhibition of galactin-3 expression decreases pituitary tumor cell proliferation (97).

*p18*. Disruption of the cyclin inhibitor gene *p18Ink4c* results in widespread organomegaly and pituitary hyperplasia, with ACTH-cell intermediate lobe tumors (98). GH levels are normal and IGF-1 is only slightly elevated in these animals, indicating that tissue overgrowth is likely due to an intrinsic p18 defect rather than endocrine hypersecretion. The powerful suppressive effect of CDK inhibitors on pituitary cell growth and tumorigenesis is exemplified by disruption of both p18 and p27, leading to synergistic development of pituitary tumors, with greatly accelerated pituitary tumorigenesis (99).

*p16*. The protein p16 Ink4a, encoded by the *CDKN2A* gene on chromosome 9p21, maintains Rb in an unphosphorylated, active state by blocking CDK4. There was no detection of p16 by Western blot analysis of 25 pituitary tumors (95), and homozygous *p16* gene deletions have been described in pituitary adenomas. Moreover, p16 also appears to be inactivated in pituitary adenomas by methylation, and introduction of inducible *p16* into AtT20 murine ACTH-secreting cells caused reversible growth inhibition and G1 arrest (100).

*p53*. Although *p53* is commonly mutated in human tumors, it does not appear to undergo mutation in pituitary adenomas (101, 102).

*Gadd45γ*. The normal human pituitary expresses GADD45γ, a growth suppressor gene, while less than 10% of pituitary adenomas express the gene. Interestingly, transfected GADD45γ also reduces pituitary tumor cell proliferation in vitro (103).

*Prolactin*. PRL itself exhibits autocrine regulation of pituitary cell growth, and female mice in which the PRL gene is disrupted develop early pituitary hyperplasia, with definitive pituitary adenoma formation by 8 months (104). These tumors appear to arise from authentic lactotroph cells, albeit devoid of PRL, and may be secondary to deficient central dopaminergic tone in the absence of PRL.

**Activating oncogenes and growth factors.** Multiple growth factor and oncogene expression alterations have been described for pituitary tumors, and these changes may all contribute to the disordered intrapituitary growth milieu. Several reports have excluded involvement of oncogenes, including ras, c-myc, c-myb, and c-fos, in most pituitary tumors, although alterations are sometimes associated with local tumor invasiveness or aggression (78). Pituitary tumors do not commonly bear mutations of the *ras* gene family, and oncogenic mutations in codons 12, 13, and 61 were reported in highly invasive pituitary tumors, suggesting that *ras* activation is limited to pituitary tumor aggression or the rare metastatic occurrence (105). Although pituitary PPARγ expression is restricted to corticotroph cells, this receptor is abundantly expressed in most pituitary tumors (40). PPARγ ligands also block growth of experimental pituitary tumors (106). Galanin is induced by estrogen and stimulates lactotroph tumor proliferation, and mice with disrupted galanin expression exhibit lactotroph hypoplasia (107).

**Chromosomal instability.** About half of all pituitary tumors are grossly aneuploid (2), but the reported gain or loss of chromosomes is inconsistent (108). Chromosomal instability occurs as a result of disruption of cell cycle checkpoints, which control mitotic fidelity, resulting in chromosomal instability. These lesions may include mutation of genes responsible for (a) cell cycle regulation, (b) mitotic spindle assembly check-
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Figure 6
Securin function and aneuploidy. Normal mitosis (left): PTTG acts as a mammalian securin that maintains sister chromatid adherence during mitosis. Sister chromatids are bound with cohesins, and PTTG inactivates separin, an enzyme that regulates cohesin degradation. At the end of metaphase, securin degradation by an anaphase-promoting complex releases tonic separin inhibition, which in turn mediates cohesin degradation, thus releasing sister chromatids for equal separation into daughter cells. PTTG overexpression (right) may disrupt equal sister chromatid separation and result in aneuploidy. Adapted with permission from Brain Pathology (143).

Point mutations of several key proline residues in the PTTG C terminus result in a dominant negative PTTG mutant defective in transforming activity, which suppresses PRL gene expression and inhibits growth of experimental rat pituitary adenomas (120).

Securin function of PTTG and aneuploidy. PTTG has been identified as a mammalian securin protein critical in mitosis (121). The process of cell cycle progression is controlled by cell cycle and checkpoint mediators ensuring genomic stability and faithful, diploid daughter cell production during mitosis. Cohesion of sister chromatids and their faithful segregation to ensure a normal daughter cell gene complement is a fundamental step whose disruption leads to chromosome instability and aneuploidy. A complex series of events ensures timely and equal separation of sister chromatids during mitosis, when sister chromatids are synchronously, equally, and irreversibly segregated to daughter cells. Cohesins bind sister chromatids, and are degraded by separins upon completion of metaphase (122). PTTG behaves as a vertebrate securin that binds to and inactivates separase during metaphase (123). Nonvertebrate securin proteins bind separin, and inhibit its function, thus preventing cohesin degradation. Securins share sequence homology for a destruction box, which targets the genes for cell cycle–dependent degradation. Separase activation occurs by phosphorylation or by PTTG degradation at the metaphase-anaphase transition (124).

During anaphase, sister chromatids are separated by separase, which cleaves chromosomal cohesin. Separase is inactivated by securin, which is degraded at the metaphase-anaphase transition by the anaphase-promoting complex (122). This complex behaves as an ubiquitin ligase, ensuring appropriate chromosome segregation. High CDK1 activity also prevents sister chromatid separation by inhibiting separase phosphorylation, even in the absence of binding of securin to separase (124). Dual inhibition of sister chromatid separation at metaphase may therefore control separase activation via two distinct mechanisms: First, cyclin B may be partially proteolysed to reduce CDK1 activity, and second, securin is destroyed. This may explain why securin-deficient human cells are indeed viable with relatively normal anaphase timing (123) and also why the Ptg−/− mouse is viable (125). When separate cleavage sites in human cohesin are mutated (126), similar anaphase defects are observed, as when PTTG loss or overexpression attenuates separase activity (123). Several lines of evidence thus support the concept of the securin function of mammalian PTTG. Consistent with its role in regulating chromosome segregation, PTTG mRNA and protein levels vary with the cell cycle, disappearing at the end of the G2/M phase, and PTTG is also phosphorylated by CDC2 at the serine residue (127). PTTG overexpression in human JEG-3 and MG-63 cells causes a partial G2/M block, suggesting a pause in mitosis, and results in disturbed chromatid separa-
tion and aneuploidy (128, 129). Paradoxically, PTTG also causes p53-dependent and p53-independent apoptosis (130). p53 also interacts with securin, and a recent report indicates p53 inhibition by securin (131). PTTG is downregulated by degradation, and observations in single live cells support the concept that PTTG has to be degraded for a faithful cell cycle to occur (Figure 5). Similar delayed mitosis is reported in cells expressing nondegradable securin (132).

In adult mice, Pttg is required for tissue self-renewal, as Pttg-disrupted mice are viable and fertile, but display testicular, splenic (125), and pituitary hypoplasia (133). Pttg−/− male animals more than 7 months of age develop hyperglycemia, accompanied by hypoinsulinemia, low pancreatic β cell mass, and decreased β cell replication (134). Pttg is directly implicated in chromosome segregation, as abnormal nuclei, increased aneuploidy, and premature centromere division are evident in cultured fibroblasts derived from Pttg-disrupted mouse embryos. Pituitary-directed Pttg transgenic overexpression also results in pituitary focal hyperplasia (133). Thus, both Pttg excess, as observed in tumors, and Pttg loss, as exemplified in the Pttg−/− mouse, lead to cell cycle disruption and aneuploidy.

The mechanisms involved in the oncogenic function for PTTG are still obscure. Genetic instability could therefore underly the transforming and tumorigenic effects of PTTG overexpression as well as its association with tumor aggressiveness. Chromosomal instability of hyperplastic pituitary cells could provide a growth advantage for ultimate progression of tumor growth. In a recent report of genes associated with malignant cell behavior, PTTG was identified as one of nine genes comprising the “expression signature” for metastatic potential of solid tumors (135). Nevertheless, true pituitary malignancy is exceedingly rare.

PTTG thus functions as a securin that regulates chromosome separation (Figure 6), and aberrant PTTG expression leading to chromosome mis-segregation appears to be an early link in the multistep initiation and progression of pituitary tumors.

Clinical implications. Several clinical benefits are now apparent as a consequence of the unraveling of the nature of genetic changes associated with pituitary tumor formation. Ascertainment of carrier status for known pituitary-associated germline mutations (e.g., MEN1 and CNC) should precede the screening of families known to harbor such mutations. Screening protocols for the surveillance of patients identified as being at risk for tumor development should be initiated to more clearly delineate the onset of a pituitary tumor. These include serial pituitary MRI imaging and, if relevant, biochemical screening markers, such as measuring serum PRL (for prolactinoma) or IGF-I levels (for acromegaly). Ultimately, understanding the nature of the genetic lesion will contribute to therapeutic decision-making. For example, patients harboring MEN 1-associated pituitary adenomas appear to have tumors that are more aggressive and are more resistant to treatment than sporadic tumors (136). Molecular profiling of pituitary tumor specimens will allow rational approaches to the timing of and requirement for postoperative therapies (medical or irradiation), especially if clinical outcomes will be correlated with these profiles. The identification of structurally and functionally intact somatostatin receptor subtypes and D2 receptors has resulted in development of selective peptide analogs as effective new therapies for pituitary tumors (137). Finally, unraveling the mechanisms underlying disordered pituitary growth will allow development of subcellular therapies, as has been demonstrated experimentally for direct RB gene therapy (138) and for targeted PTTG inactivation for experimental pituitary tumors (120).

Conclusions. Pituitary cells are highly differentiated and are committed very early to synthesize unique hormone products. Key regulators of pituitary lineage development may sustain mutations resulting in pituitary hormone deficiency and pituitary hypoplasia. Defects in genes that function early in development have pleiotropic effects and result in multiple hormone deficiencies associated with aberrant structural development, whereas defects in genes that act as differentiating factors after lineage specification are associated with selective hormone-deficiency phenotypes. Early changes leading to pituitary tumorigenesis involve both intrinsic pituitary cell alterations and altered availability of paracrine or endocrine regulatory factors regulating both hormone secretion and cell growth (Figure 6). Familial syndromes are associated with at least three genes that predispose to pituitary hyperplasia and tumorigenesis. Factors resulting in pituitary hyperplasia, including hypothalamic hormones, estrogens, and growth factors, likely facilitate a permissive intrapituitary milieu, potentiating genetic instability, cell mutation, and subsequent monoclonal growth expansion.
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