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β-Agonists and asthma: too much of a good thing?
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In an unusual paradox, asthmatics who are chronically treated with bronchodilating β-agonists sometimes experience a worsening of their condition. A new study (see the related article beginning on page 619) describes one possible mechanism and reveals a potential new therapeutic target in the treatment of asthma.

Inhaled selective β2-agonists are the most widely used treatment for the acute relief of asthma symptoms. In patients with asthma, these agents cause bronchodilation (improvement in lung mechanics) and bronchoprotection (reduced responsiveness to nonspecific contractile stimuli). These actions result from binding to the β2-adrenergic receptor (β2AR), a heptahelical receptor that couples predominantly to the stimulatory G protein, Gs (Figure 1a).

Once activated by receptor-ligand binding, the α subunit of Gs activates adenylyl cyclase (AC), resulting in cAMP formation. cAMP phosphorylates protein kinase A (PKA), which phosphorylates multiple proteins, leading to reductions in intracellular calcium, smooth muscle relaxation, and bronchodilation.

It is possible to have too much of a good thing. Despite the ability of β-agonists to effect immediate reversal of airway obstruction, there has been continuing concern that regular use of these drugs may be associated with adverse outcomes. In some, but not all, studies, regularly scheduled use (e.g., multiple times per day, every day) of inhaled β-agonists has resulted in loss of asthma control, declines in morning peak flow, longer durations of asthma exacerbations, and rebound airway hyperresponsiveness (1–6). These adverse effects appear to be particularly important with β-agonists of high intrinsic efficacy, like fenoterol, and in patients with certain β2AR genotypes.

The common explanation for these observations is that the adverse effects of regular β-agonist therapy are related to desensitization of the β2AR (2, 3, 5, 7). The data reported by McGraw et al. in this issue of the JCI (8) suggest an alternative explanation, namely that persistent high-level activation of the β2AR leads to increased expression of phospholipase C-β (PLCβ) in airway smooth muscle. Since agonists such as acetylcholine, histamine, and leukotrienes that cause airway smooth muscle contraction do so by

Address correspondence to: Stephanie Shore, Physiology Program, Harvard School of Public Health, 665 Huntington Avenue, Boston, Massachusetts 02115, USA. Phone: (617) 432-0199; Fax: (617) 432-3468; E-mail: sshore@hsph.harvard.edu.

Conflict of interest: The authors have declared that no conflict of interest exists.

Nonstandard abbreviations used:
β2-adrenergic receptor (β2AR); protein kinase A (PKA); phospholipase C-β (PLCβ); inositol 1,4,5 trisphosphate (IP3); extracellular signal-regulated kinase (ERK); G protein receptor kinase (GRK).

Figure 1
(a) Mechanism of β-agonist-induced airway smooth muscle relaxation. Ligand binding to the β2AR activates Gs, leading to adenylyl cyclase (AC) activation, cAMP formation, and subsequent protein kinase A (PKA) activation. PKA phosphorylation of target proteins leads to smooth muscle relaxation and may also inhibit ERK activation. PKA also phosphorylates the β2AR, leading to increased Gi coupling. In addition, ligand binding causes G protein receptor kinase (GRK) phosphorylation of the β2AR, recruiting β-arrestin (ARR).
(b) Inflammatory events in the asthmatic airway or regular β-agonist use may augment Gi coupling and/or increase β-arrestin binding. Under these circumstances, β-agonists may result in ERK activation, potentially amplifying production of inflammatory cytokines and leading to airway remodeling. Persistent activation of the β2AR may also lead to phospholipase C-β (PLCβ) expression and consequent airway hyperresponsiveness (8).
acting on receptors that couple to Gα and activate PLCβ, chronic β-agonists might augment the effects of these bronchoconstrictors. How could this occur? PLCβ hydrolyzes phosphatidylinositol 4,5 bisphosphate, resulting in inositol 1,4,5 trisphosphate (IP3) production. IP3 increases intracellular calcium, leading to activation of myosin light chain kinase, myosin phosphorylation, and consequent muscle contraction. If the observations of McGraw et al. are borne out, this mechanism could explain the adverse effects of chronic stimulation of the β2AR. However, before we embrace this hypothesis, which is based on data from mouse airways, it will be important to confirm the observations of McGraw et al. in human airway smooth muscle stimulated with β-agonists rather than by β2AR overexpression.

We think that there may be additional explanations for the bronchoconstrictor effects of chronic β2AR stimulation. For example, in some cell types the β2AR can couple to Gs as well as Gβ, (9–12). The switch from Gβ to Gα coupling appears to be regulated by PKA phosphorylation of the β2AR (13). β2AR-induced Gα activation leads to activation of the extracellular signal–regulated kinase (ERK) MAPKs (Figure 1b) through a pathway dependent on the Gβγ subunits and activation of Ras (12). β2AR activation also leads to G protein receptor kinase–induced (GRK–induced) phosphorylation of the β2AR, resulting in its interaction with β-arrestin. β-arrestin can serve as a scaffolding protein linking the β2AR to both the ERK and JNK MAPK pathways (9, 14). To complicate the matter, Gα-dependent formation of cAMP by β2AR activation can also inhibit ERK activation (10), apparently as a result of Raf-1 phosphorylation by PKA (15). The ultimate effect of β2AR activation on ERK phosphorylation is likely to reflect a balance of these various events. Although these pathways have been described in other cell types, none of these events has been examined in airway smooth muscle cells. Thus it is interesting to consider the potential functional implications of β-agonist–induced ERK activation in these cells. Persistent ERK activation is known to be required for mitogenesis in airway smooth muscle cells (16). Activation of ERK is also required for the full expression by airway smooth muscle of the eosophilic chemotactic factors eotaxin and RANTES, as well as other chemokines (17). β-agonists normally inhibit mitogenesis (18) and inhibit expression of eotaxin (19) in airway smooth muscle. However, there may be conditions in which this is not the case. For example, Gα–to–Gα switching could be exaggerated by inflammatory cytokines, which have been shown to increase Gα expression (20). Inflammatory cytokines have also been shown to increase GRK expression in these cells (20). Increased GRK activation could be expected to enhance ERK activation through effects on β-arrestin binding to the β2AR. It is also possible that the balance of ERK-activating and ERK-inhibiting effects of β2AR activation may be affected by β2AR desensitization under conditions of regular β-agonist use.

It is interesting to note that the adverse effects of regular β-agonist use can be observed even weeks after their withdrawal, at a time when β2AR desensitization should be resolved (2). If regular use of β-agonists negatively impacts the balance of factors contributing to airway smooth muscle mitogenesis or airway remodeling in asthma, it could have long-term consequences. Importantly, studies of regular use of β-agonist have reported adverse effects only in subjects homozygous for the Arg16 variant of the β2AR (2, 3, 5). The impact of β2AR polymorphisms on non–Gα-mediated events such as ERK phosphorylation has not been examined in any cell type.

β-agonists are currently one of the most important forms of therapy for asthma. Little is known about non–Gα-mediated effects of β2AR activation and other events that could negatively impact the function of airway smooth muscle in asthma. In this issue of the JCI, McGraw et al. (8) report one such event, induction of PLCβ, but it is possible that this is just the tip of the iceberg. Understanding the panoply of β2AR–mediated events in airway smooth muscle might lead to the design of new agonists that avoid negative effects of β2AR activation while enhancing events that lead to relaxation.
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Thyroid hormones are critical for differentiation, growth, and metabolism. A new study (see the related article beginning on page 588) investigating the biological role of the TH receptor TR-β has demonstrated that DNA binding is critical for most of its functions, but also suggests that novel mechanisms independent of DNA binding may contribute to regulation of auditory function by TR-β.


Thyroid hormone (TH) plays a critical role in the development and adult functions of many organs and tissues. Many of the effects of TH are mediated by a family of high-affinity receptor proteins, called TH receptors (TRs). Three functional TRs, TR-β1, TR-β2, and TR-α1, are encoded on two mammalian genes (1). The TR-α gene also encodes variant proteins that do not bind TH and whose function may be to inhibit the action of other TRs (2). The TRs are members of a larger family of nuclear receptors (NRs) for lipophilic signaling molecules that includes steroid hormones, vitamin derivatives such as retinoic acid and vitamin D3, fatty acid and cholesterol metabolites, and xenobiotics (3). As the family name suggests, a key aspect of TR function involves nuclear regulation of gene transcription. In this issue of the JCI, Shibusawa and colleagues use mice whose TR-β gene products cannot bind DNA in order to shed light on the mechanisms by which TR functions in development and physiology (4). The TR-β mutant mice have a phenotype that is similar but not identical to that of mice lacking TR-β, suggesting that most but not all TR functions involve direct DNA binding.

Positive regulation of gene expression by TH: a simple model

Like nearly all NRs, the central portion of TR contains a zinc-ordered domain that binds to double-stranded DNA with a well-characterized sequence specificity. TR recognizes the sequence AGGTCA, to which it can bind as a monomer. This sequence also serves as a half-site for TR homodimers as well as heterodimers with the retinoid X receptor (RXR) (5). RXR increases the DNA-binding affinity of TR and also restricts binding to a subset of half-site arrangements whose binding by the TR/RXR heterodimer is energetically favorable. The most stable binding occurs on the classical DR4 thyroid response element (TRE), in which two half-sites are directly repeated with a spacing of 4 bp (6). The structural basis of this binding preference has been determined (7).

On a TRE-containing target gene, TH binding acts as a switch between repressed and activated states (Figure 1) (8). Genes that are bound by TR/RXR heterodimers, and potentially TR homodimers, are actively repressed in the absence of TH. The repression function is located in the C-terminal ligand-binding domain, which binds to the corepressor molecules nuclear receptor corepressor (N-CoR) and silencing mediator of retinoid and thyroid receptors (SMRT) (9), which anchor large multiprotein complexes containing histone deacetylase (HDAC) activity and mediate ligand-independent repression. TH binding induces a conformational change that destabilizes corepressor binding and that favors binding of transcriptional coactiva-

![Figure 1](http://www.jci.org) Paradigm for positive regulation of gene expression by TH. TH binding to TR triggers a switch from corepressor (CoR) to coactivator (CoA) binding on a TRE on DNA.