Myelin/oligodendrocyte glycoprotein–deficient (MOG-deficient) mice reveal lack of immune tolerance to MOG in wild-type mice
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We studied the immunological basis for the very potent encephalitogenicity of myelin/oligodendrocyte glycoprotein (MOG), a minor component of myelin in the CNS that is widely used to induce experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis (EAE). For this purpose, we generated a mutant mouse lacking a functional mog gene. This MOG-deficient mouse presents no clinical or histological abnormalities, permitting us to directly assess the role of MOG as a target autoantigen in EAE. In contrast to WT mice, which developed severe EAE following immunization with whole myelin, MOG-deficient mice had a mild phenotype, demonstrating that the anti-MOG response is a major pathogenic component of the autoimmune response directed against myelin. Moreover, while MOG transcripts are expressed in lymphoid organs in minute amounts, both MOG-deficient and WT mice show similar T and B cell responses against the extracellular domain of MOG, including the immunodominant MOG 35–55 T cell epitope. Furthermore, no differences in the fine specificity of the T cell responses to overlapping peptides covering the complete mouse MOG sequence were observed between MOG+/+ and MOG–/– mice. In addition, upon adoptive transfer, MOG-specific T cells from WT mice and those from MOG-deficient mice are equally pathogenic. This total lack of immune tolerance to MOG in WT C57BL/6 mice may be responsible for the high pathogenicity of the anti-MOG immune response as well as the high susceptibility of most animal strains to MOG-induced EAE.

escape thymic deletion and can, upon activation, induce autoimmune diseases. T cell reactivity toward myelin proteins was initially thought to result from selective expression of these antigens beyond the blood-brain barrier. However, recent findings have shown that some isoforms of organ-specific antigens such as myelin basic protein (MBP) and proteolipid protein (PLP) are unexpectedly expressed in the thymus (4–6), a phenomenon called “ectopic” or “promiscuous” gene expression, resulting in profound T cell tolerance toward these self-antigens (5–8).

Myelin/oligodendrocyte glycoprotein (MOG), a 26- to 28-kDa glycoprotein, was first described as a target antigen for the autoimmune demyelination response observed in animals immunized with CNS homogenates (9, 10). MOG accounts for about 0.1% of total CNS myelin protein. The mog gene belongs to the immunoglobulin gene superfamily and is located within the MHC (11). The physiological function of MOG is unknown, but its presence on the outermost lamellae of mature CNS myelin and its late appearance during myelination suggest that it contributes to myelin maturation or maintenance. MOG differs from other myelin autoantigens because of its immunodominance (12, 13) and its highly encephalitogenic properties upon immunization of susceptible animals (14–17). Nevertheless, the relative contribution of MOG as a target antigen with respect to other myelin self-antigens in EAE remains to be defined. In addition, whereas immune tolerance to other myelin antigens has been found to develop both in the thymus and in the periphery, the issue of whether tolerance to MOG develops naturally has not been investigated. To directly address these questions, we generated MOG-null mice and analyzed their clinical and histological severity of EAE, as well as their T and B cell responses to MOG, in comparison to those of WT mice.

**Methods**

*Generation of MOG knockout mice.* Genomic DNA fragments of mog, isolated from a recombinant λ phage (18), were subcloned into the pBluescript KS vector (Stratagene, La Jolla, California, USA). The nlacZ sequences were inserted into a vector containing a 4-kb fragment of 5′ mog sequences at the unique BstEII site in exon 1, seven nucleotides upstream of the initiation codon. A neoR gene under the control of the HSVtk promoter and a 6-kb fragment of 3′ mog sequences were then subcloned in the BstEII site. The final targeting vector was linearized at the unique HindIII site in the pKS polylinker and used for electroporation.

Growth, transfection, and selection of D3 ES cells (originating from 129S2/SVPas mice, H-2Kb) and the generation of chimeric mice were performed as previously described (19). One positive clone was identified by Southern blot analysis and injected into C57BL/6 blastocysts.

**DNA and RNA analyses.** Probes for Southern blot analyses were labeled by random priming of the 1.25-kb SacI-HindIII DNA fragment. Mouse genotypes were determined by PCR amplification of tail DNA. The WT MOG allele was characterized by amplification of a 162-bp fragment with a sense primer in exon 1 (5′-AGGAAGGGACATGCGACCGGAG-3′) and an anti-sense primer in exon 1 (5′-CTGATAGCTGATGACAACTG-3′). A 470-bp fragment of mutated MOG was amplified with the same sense primer, and an antisense primer in the nlacZ sequence (5′-GATGGGC-GCATCGTAAACGGTG-3′).

For Northern blot analyses, RNA isolated from tissues with the RNeasy Midi Kit (QIAGEN Inc., Valencia, California, USA) was denatured with glyoxal and DMSO, run on a 1% agarose gel, and blotted onto Hybond N+ positively charged nylon membrane (Amersham Biosciences Corp., Piscataway, New Jersey, USA). The blot was probed with the 32P-labeled random-prime mouse MOG cDNA, and exposed with an intensifying screen at –80°C. RT-PCR analysis of MOG expression in lymphoid organs was performed using one whole thymus and one whole spleen for total RNA preparations. Total RNA was reverse transcribed into cDNA using random hexamers (Promega Corp., Madison, Wisconsin, USA) and Omniscript Reverse Transcriptase kit (QIAGEN Inc.).

**PCR analysis.** PCR amplification was performed using the Q-Solution (QIAGEN Inc.). The amplified sequences were normalized with respect to β-actin expression. PCR conditions comprised a single denaturing step at 94°C for 5 minutes, 30 cycles (to amplify actin cDNA) or 40 cycles (for PLP and MOG cDNA) at 95°C for 1 minute, 64°C (actin and PLP) or 66°C (MOG) for 1 minute, and 72°C for 3 minutes, and a final elongation step at 72°C for 5 minutes. PLP/DM20 primers were 5′-TGGAGTCAGAGTTGGCAAAAGCAC-3′ and 5′-AGCAATACACAGGTTGAGGCT-3′; MOG primers were 5′-GACCTACGCTTGCGCCACC-3′ and 5′-TGCTGGCCTCCTGGCCTG-3′; and β-actin primers were 5′-GGTTCCGAGTGCAGGCTC-3′ and 5′-ACTTGCGGTGACGATGGG-3′.

**Western blot analysis.** Total protein extracts (100 µg) of mouse brain were run on a SDS polyacrylamide gel, then electroblotted onto a nitrocellulose membrane (Amersham Biosciences Corp.). The membrane was incubated with anti-MOG antibodies directed against the carboxy-terminal or the Ig-like extracellular domain of MOG (1:1,000 dilution) (20), then with secondary peroxidase-conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, Missouri, USA) and then processed according to ECL protocol (Amer sham Biosciences Corp.).

**Histology, immunohistochemistry, and electron microscopy.** β-Gal activity was revealed as previously described (19) in mice perfused through the heart with cold 4% paraformaldehyde.

MOG protein was detected by immunohistochemistry on frontal cryostat brain sections. The lacZ gene...
product was detected simultaneously with a mouse anti-β-gal mAb (Sigma-Aldrich) added to the incubation medium. MOG and β-gal–specific antibodies were revealed with fluorescein-conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG and TRITC-labeled goat anti-mouse IgG antibodies, respectively.

The brain and spinal cord of EAE mice were removed and embedded in paraffin. The extent of inflammation and demyelination was evaluated on 3-µm spinal cord cross sections stained with H&E, anti-CD3 mAb, and Klüver-Barrera myelin stain. The inflammatory index represents the average number of inflammatory infiltrates per spinal cord section, with 10–15 sections studied per mouse.

For electron microscopy, ultrathin sections were stained with lead citrate and observed with an EM420 Philips electron microscope. The negatives were scanned, and the myelin sheaths were analyzed quantitatively with Image Tools software.

Induction of active and passive EAE. Mice were housed under conventional conditions. Eight- to 12-week-old littermates, backcrossed for eight generations on a C57BL/6 background (H-2b/b), were included in EAE and immunological experiments.

For active EAE, MOG+/+ and MOG−/− littermates were immunized subcutaneously with 200 µg of rat recombinant MOG (rat rMOG, amino acids 1–125) (12), or 1.3 mg of mouse CNS myelin purified on a sucrose density gradient associated with osmotic shock steps (21), in CFA containing 600 µg of Mycobacterium tuberculosis H37RA (Difco Laboratories, Detroit, Michigan, USA) according to a previously described protocol (22). For EAE induction, animals received additional intravenous injections of pertussis toxin (List Biological Laboratories Inc., Walkersville, Maryland, USA) on day 0 (200 ng) and day 2 (400 ng).

For passive EAE, age- and sex-matched WT or MOG−/− C57BL/6 mice were immunized in the hind-leg footpads with 100 µg mouse rMOG (amino acids 1–116) emulsified in CFA. Ten days later, the draining lymph nodes were collected and single-cell suspensions were cultured for 72 hours at 5 × 10^6 cells/ml in serum-free medium (HL-1; BioWhittaker Inc., Walkersville, Maryland, USA) in the presence of mouse rMOG (10 µg/ml) and IL-12 (5 ng/ml). Cells were washed and diluted at 30 × 10^6 in 0.2 ml PBS before being injected intravenously into naive WT recipients (23). The adoptive transfer of resting T cells was performed as described before (24). Purified T cells (40 × 10^6 cells) were injected intravenously into syngeneic T cell receptor Cα−/− recipients (a kind gift of O. Lantz, INSERM U520, Paris, France) 48 hours before subcutaneous immunization with 200 µg rat rMOG in CFA at the base of the tail and a single intravenous injection of pertussis toxin (200 ng).

The mice were scored daily for clinical signs of EAE as previously described (12). Mean maximal EAE severity was compared using the two-tailed Student t test, mortality was compared using the Fisher’s exact test, and delay in disease onset was assessed using the log-rank test.

T cell proliferation assays. Age- and sex-matched mice were immunized in the footpads of the hind legs with 100 µg mouse rMOG, or a pool of overlapping 15-mer peptides (200 µg/ml each) spanning the whole mouse MOG sequence (12) emulsified in CFA. Ten days later, the popliteal and inguinal lymph node cells were cultured for 72 hours in triplicate at a concentration of 4.5 × 10^6 cells per well in flat-bottomed 96-well plates in serum-free medium (HL-1; BioWhittaker Inc.) in the presence or absence of antigens. Proliferation was measured by incorporation of 3H-thymidine (Amersham Biosciences Corp.) during the last 24 hours of culture at 1 µCi/well. Only animals with comparable control responses to the purified protein derivative of M. tuberculosis H37RA were included in the analyses.

ELISA for serum anti-MOG IgG levels. Serum was obtained after coagulation and centrifugation of peripheral blood from mice before and after footpad immunization with rat rMOG emulsified in CFA. Anti-rat rMOG and anti–MOG peptides IgG were measured by ELISA at an OD of 405 nm, on serum
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**Figure 1** Targeted disruption of the mouse MOG locus. (a) Partial restriction maps of the WT MOG allele (endogenous locus), the targeting vector, and the expected targeted locus. The location of the external screening probe is shown. X, XmnI; B, BstEI; S, SauI; H, HindIII. (b) Southern blot analysis of DNA from the parental ES cell line (+/+ ) and the targeted clone (+/−), digested with XmnI and hybridized with the external screening probe. This probe detects a WT 9.5-kb fragment or a 14.5-kb recombinant fragment. (c) Ethidium bromide–stained PCR products from tail DNA. The 470-bp and 162-bp fragments correspond to the mutated and the WT alleles, respectively. (d) Northern blot analysis of RNA isolated from the brain of MOG+/+, MOG−/−, and MOG+/− mice probed for MOG transcripts. (e) Western blot of brain proteins from 1-month-old MOG+/+, MOG+/−, and MOG−/− mice probed with anti-MOG antibodies.
diluted 1:60, 1:360, and 1:2,160, as previously described (25), by an investigator unaware of the genotype of the mice. Background OD values on wells coated solely with BSA (blocking buffer) ranged from 0.175 to 0.220 (mean 0.202).

**IFN-γ enzyme-linked immunospot.** Fourteen days after immunization with MOG 35–55 peptide, IFN-γ-releasing unfractionated or CD8-depleted splenocytes were quantified by cytokine-specific enzyme-linked immunospot (ELISPOT) analysis as described previously (26). Depletion of CD8+ T cells (>99.5%) from mouse splenocytes was achieved by magnetic cell-sorting (MACS) (Miltenyi Biotech Inc., Auburn, California, USA). Individual, unfractionated, or CD8-depleted spleen cultures were incubated in vitro for 40 hours on IFN-γ ELISPOT plates in quadruplicate in the presence or absence of antigen (50 µg/ml). The percentage of CD3+ T cells was determined by FACS analysis of the preculture splenocyte populations using an anti–mouse CD3ε mAb (Pharmingen, San Diego, California, USA). The CD3 mAb response was saturating in each mouse irrespective of the genotype.

**Results**

**Generation and characterization of MOG-null mice.** The gene encoding mouse MOG (18) was disrupted in ES cells by homologous recombination using a cassette encoding β-gal with a nuclear localization sequence (nlacZ) inserted into exon 1 of the mog gene (Figure 1, a–c). The inactivation of the mog gene was assessed by Northern blot and Western blot analyses, which demonstrated that MOG transcripts (Figure 1d) and MOG protein (Figure 1e) were indeed absent in MOG–/– mutant brains and were reduced in MOG+/– animals compared with WT controls. MOG–/– animals bred well, had no physical or behavioral abnormalities, and appeared phenotypically indistinguishable from WT or heterozygous MOG+/– mice up to 20 months of age.

β-Gal activity detected histochemically in adult MOG+/– animals was restricted to oligodendrocytes and corresponded well with the known topography of MOG expression (11) (Figure 2a). The reaction product was first detected in the CNS at postnatal day 10 in the brain stem and the ventral spinal cord. Later in postnatal

---

**Figure 2**

Histological analyses of brains from MOG+/+, nlacZ-MOG+/–, and nlacZ-MOG–/– animals. (a) Histochemical detection of β-gal activity on brain sections using X-gal as substrate. Left panel: No reaction product is observed in the brain of a MOG+/+ mouse. Middle panel: X-gal staining of an nlacZ-MOG+/– mouse. Blue reaction product is located in brain areas where mog is known to be transcribed. Right panel: Enlargement of a region (indicated by the rectangle) of the corpus callosum of the nlacZ-MOG+/– mouse. (b) Immunohistofluorescent detection of MOG and β-gal in brain stem of MOG+/+, nlacZ-MOG+/–, or nlacZ-MOG–/– mice with MOG-specific (green) and β-gal–specific (red) antibodies. (c) Electron micrographs of striatum sections of MOG+/+ and MOG–/– mice. The myelin sheaths in both types of mice show normal compaction, characterized by major dense and intraperiodic lines. Top, ×28,100; bottom, ×260,600.
development, the strongest staining was found in highly myelinated brain areas such as the corpus callosum, fimbria, and cerebellar white matter. In double-labeled immunofluorescence experiments performed on brain sections of MOG+/– animals, β-gal immunoreactivity was found only in nuclei of cells with MOG-immunoreactive plasma membranes (Figure 2b). The architecture of myelin sheaths in MOG-null mice was examined by electron microscopy in the corpus callosum, striatum, cerebellum, and optic nerve of 2-, 4-, and 14-month-old animals. There were no differences between MOG–/– and WT mice in myelin sheath structure (Figure 2c), distance between dense lines, distance between axolemma and internal membrane mesaxon, or the ratio of myelin thickness to axon diameter (data not shown). Further, no alterations in the kinetics of myelination were observed. Moreover, by contrast to several other myelin mutants (27), the MOG-deficient mice had no structural abnormalities in axons as assessed by electron microscopy, even in 18-month-old mice (data not shown).

EAE is decreased in MOG–/– mice. Due to the absence of MOG expression in MOG-null mice, the MOG-induced immune response should not induce CNS tissue damage. Indeed, when WT and MOG–/– mice, backcrossed eight times onto C57BL/6 (H-2b/b), were immunized with rMOG, or with the MOG 35–55 peptide sequence, all MOG+/+ mice developed severe EAE, whereas MOG–/– animals exhibited no clinical (Figure 3a) or histological abnormalities (data not shown).

To investigate the contribution of the anti-MOG immune response to the development of EAE, we induced EAE in MOG+/+ and MOG–/– littermates using whole myelin as the immunogen. Whereas WT females (n = 8) rapidly developed symmetrical motor deficits starting at day 10–16 after immunization, the disease in MOG–/– females (n = 13) had a delayed onset (P = 0.008) and the clinical signs were significantly milder (P = 0.004) (Figure 3b). Similar results were obtained in two independent experiments involving MOG+/+ (n = 11) and MOG–/– (n = 13) males, although the overall severity of disease was less than in females (data not shown). Histological analyses of the CNS were performed in additional groups of mice at day 20–24 after immunization, during the progressive phase of EAE (mean clinical score 2.2 in MOG+/+ mice, n = 4; and 0.5 in MOG–/– littermates, n = 8). MOG+/+ mice showed clear signs of inflammation and some mild demyelination. In MOG–/– mice, however, there was little inflammation, and never demyelination (Figure 3c). At day 60 after immunization, meningeal inflammation was still more pronounced in MOG+/+ mice (inflammatory index 2.3 ± 1.0, n = 4)
than in MOG–/– mice (inflammatory index 0.9 ± 0.5, n = 5), in which, even at this late time point, no demyelination was observed.

In WT mice, the weak MOG transcript expression in lymphoid organs correlates with a lack of immune tolerance to MOG. Expression in the thymus and peripheral hematopoietic organs may result in immunological tolerance or influence the function of MOG-specific T cell repertoire, as demonstrated recently for the major myelin proteins MBP (4, 28) and PLP (5, 6). Hence we examined whether the potent immunogenicity of MOG was associated with a lack of MOG expression in hematopoietic tissues. RT-PCR analysis of thymus, spleen, liver, and brain (as a positive control) from 3-month-old WT C57BL/6 mice was performed with MOG- and PLP-specific primers. As expected, both PLP and its alternative transcript DM20 were detected in brain, whereas, as recently reported (5, 6), DM20 was by far the predominant PLP gene transcript expressed in lymphoid organs (Figure 4). Similarly to full-length PLP transcripts, the MOG transcripts were only detected in minute amounts in both thymus and spleen and were absent in the liver (Figure 4).

In view of the high pathogenicity of the anti-MOG immune response and the low level of MOG transcripts in lymphoid organs, we assessed whether immune tolerance to MOG develops in MOG+/+ mice. To this end, we compared T and B cell responses to MOG in MOG+/+ versus MOG–/– mice after conventional immunization with rMOG or MOG peptides. In MOG-deficient mice, no tolerance to MOG should develop, and, as a result, the immune response to MOG following immunization represents the uncensored maximal response. Secondary in vitro T cell–proliferative responses to rMOG (Figure 5b), as well as to the 31 overlapping mouse MOG peptides (Figure 5a), were identical in both genotypes. Incidentally, this analysis revealed the immunogenicity in C57BL/6 mice of three peptides localized in the transmembrane or in the second hydrophobic region of the MOG protein.

Similarly, IFN-γ secretion in response to rMOG was identical in MOG+/+ and MOG–/– mice; no IL-4 was detected in any of the groups (data not shown). The presence of an uncensored T cell response in MOG+/+ mice was further corroborated by the use of a sensitive method to enumerate the number of specific IFN-γ-producing T cells. This ELISPOT approach revealed no significant difference between MOG+/+ and MOG–/– mice in the frequency of CD3+ T cells responding to the dominant MOG 35–55 encephalitogenic peptide (Figure 5c).

The state of tolerance to MOG in the B cell compartment was also compared in both genotypes by measurement of the magnitude of the antibody response at different time points after immunization. Here again, both groups of mice responded similarly (Figure 5d). In addition, when Th1-driven (IgG2a) and Th2-driven (IgG1) anti-MOG IgG isotypes were specifically measured, no differences were found (data not shown). Moreover, sera from MOG-immunized MOG+/+ and MOG–/– littermates recognized the same linear MOG epitopes as detected by ELISA on a panel of 13 overlapping peptides covering the rMOG Ig-like domain sequence (Figure 5e).

Equal encephalitogenic potential of MOG-specific T cells from MOG+/+ and MOG–/– mice. To compare the encephalitogenicity of rMOG-specific T cell responses from MOG+/+ and MOG–/– mice in MOG+/+ mice two complementary approaches were investigated. The first was a classical adoptive transfer experiment in which primed rMOG-specific T cells were transferred into syngeneic recipients following in vitro restimulation (Figure 6a). The second was the transfer of the full resting T cell repertoire derived from MOG+/+ and MOG–/– mice into syngeneic αβ T cell–deficient recipients, followed by immunization with rMOG (Figure 6b). Although the two protocols induced a distinct course of disease, the onset, intensity, and evolution of EAE induced by T cells derived from either MOG+/+ or MOG–/– mice were indistinguishable, irrespective of whether preactivated MOG-specific T cells or undifferentiated resting T cells were transferred.

In summary, in vitro analyses revealed that both the cellular and the humoral immune responses to MOG are quantitatively and qualitatively similar in MOG+/+ and MOG–/– mice. MOG+/+ and MOG–/– mice respond similarly to rMOG and to its immunogenic peptides. Moreover, the encephalitogenic potential of both naive and primed MOG-specific T cells from both types of mice is identical. Collectively, these data imply that WT MOG+/+ animals do not develop detectable tolerance to this myelin protein.

Discussion

To our knowledge this is the first description of MOG-deficient mice. We have chosen a knock-in strategy using an nlacZ reporter gene to generate a
Figure 5

(a) Proliferative response of lymph node cells MOG\(^{+/+}\) \((n = 6)\) and MOG\(^{-/-}\) \((n = 6)\) mice 10 days after immunization with 31 overlapping mouse MOG peptides. The in vitro recall response was induced using individual MOG peptides \((200 \mu g/ml)\). SI, stimulation index. (b) The proliferative response of lymph node cells of MOG\(^{+/+}\) \((n = 3)\) and MOG\(^{-/-}\) \((n = 3)\) mice 10 days after immunization with mouse rMOG. The recall response was elicited with different doses of rMOG. (c) The MOG 35–55–specific T cell population was enumerated by IFN-\(\gamma\) ELISPOT in splenocyte cultures of individual MOG\(^{+/+}\) \((n = 4)\) and MOG\(^{-/-}\) \((n = 3)\) mice 14 days after MOG 35–55 immunization. Unfractionated or CD8-depleted spleen cultures were stimulated with purified protein derivative (PPD) \((50 \mu g/ml)\), or MOG 35–55 \((50 \mu g/ml)\). (d) MOG-specific antibody response in MOG\(^{+/+}\) \((n = 4)\) and MOG\(^{-/-}\) \((n = 4)\) mice at days 0, 20, and 60 after immunization with rat rMOG. MOG-specific IgG levels were assessed by ELISA on serum diluted 1:60; quantitatively similar results were obtained with 1:360 and 1:2,160 dilutions (data not shown). (e) Analysis of the fine specificity of anti-MOG IgG in MOG\(^{+/+}\) and MOG\(^{-/-}\) mice 40 days after immunization with rat rMOG. Peptide-specific IgGs were assessed by ELISA with a panel of 13 overlapping rat MOG peptides. Comparable results were obtained at days 20 and 60 after immunization.
mouse line in which activation and transcription of the disrupted mog gene can be traced. The homozygous MOG–/– mice appeared indistinguishable from their WT littermates. The lack of clinical, histological, and ultrastructural abnormalities in MOG knockout mice provided us with a unique opportunity to study the contribution of the anti-MOG immune response to the clinical and histological phenotype of EAE. We immunized mice with a whole-myelin preparation containing the full spectrum of myelin antigens. Under the experimental conditions used, the anti-MOG immune response contributed very significantly to the severity of myelin-induced EAE in the MOG+/+ mice, as shown by the significant delay in onset and the reduced severity of EAE in MOG–/– mice, as assessed by the significant delay in onset and the reduced severity of EAE in MOG–/– mice. The non-demyelinating low-grade EAE exhibited by MOG–/– (n = 6) or MOG+/+ (n = 5) mice into syngeneic αβ T cell-deficient recipients followed, 48 hours later, by rMOG immunization. For each protocol, data from two experiments are pooled and represent mean clinical score ± SEM plotted against time.

Figure 6 MOG-specific T cells from MOG+/+ and MOG–/– mice are equally encephalitogenic. (a) EAE induced by the adoptive transfer of activated MOG-specific T cells from either MOG–/– (n = 6) or MOG+/+ (n = 7) mice into WT C57BL/6 mice. (b) EAE induced by the adoptive transfer of purified T cells from either MOG–/– (n = 6) or MOG+/+ (n = 5) mice into syngeneic αβ T cell-deficient recipients followed, 48 hours later, by rMOG immunization. For each protocol, data from two experiments are pooled and represent mean clinical score ± SEM plotted against time.

To evaluate whether the T and B cell anti-MOG repertoires in WT MOG+/+ mice were censored in any detectable way, we immunized MOG+/+ and MOG–/– mice with rMOG and compared the magnitude of both T and B cell responses. T cell reactivity to rMOG or an overlapping set of peptides spanning the entire MOG protein, as well as the kinetics and intensity of the total IgG anti-MOG response, were similar in MOG+/+ and MOG–/– animals. Likewise, the cellular immune response to the encephalitogenic MOG 35–55 peptide was identical in both groups. Indeed, the size of the responding unfracto-nated or CD4+ T cell population, as assessed by IFN-γ ELISPOT, was indistinguishable between MOG+/+ and MOG–/– mice. Moreover, our analysis revealed new immunogenic peptides localized in...
both hydrophobic regions of MOG (20). Strikingly, both MOG+/+ and MOG−/− mice mount a similar T cell in vitro response to these peptides, indicating that the lack of tolerance to the Ig-like domain extends also to the transmembrane and cytoplasmic domains of MOG.

In addition, in both genotypes, the humoral immune response was mainly directed against the 1–25 and 50–79 amino acid sequences, as previously described in C57BL/6 mice (25). These functional studies demonstrate the lack of detectable B cell immunological tolerance toward the extracellular domain of MOG in the MOG+/+ mice.

The lack of tolerance to MOG is corroborated by the fact that the MOG-specific T cell repertoire of MOG+/+ WT mice is equally encephalitogenic to that of MOG−/− mice, as shown in adoptive transfer experiments in which EAE is transferred to MOG+/+ recipients by either resting or primed T cells from MOG−/− and MOG+/+ mice. Interestingly, these data complement the findings in transgenic mice expressing MOG ubiquitously (under the control of MHC class I promoter), which are strongly resistant to both active and passive MOG EAE (A. Iglesias, unpublished data).

These findings imply that, at least in C57BL/6 mice, MOG is a myelin autoantigen to which no systemic immunological tolerance is induced during development or later in life, despite the presence of minute amounts of MOG transcripts in the thymus and spleen. By contrast, tolerance to other myelin proteins, such as MBP and DM20, can be readily detected (4–6, 28). This tolerance has been shown to be induced both in the thymus and in the periphery. MOG is, to our knowledge, the first direct demonstration of a self-antigen that does not promote immunological tolerance or substantially shape the self-repertoire. Triggering of this uncensored MOG-specific immune repertoire by priming of self-reactive lymphocytes in the periphery with either MOG or cross-reactive foreign antigens (33, 34) could well pose a potential threat to susceptible individuals. Such a state of immune ignorance to MOG can also be reversed during the course of inflammatory CNS diseases such as EAE and Thelier’s virus encephalomyelitis, as a result of epitope spreading (35, 36). This is best exemplified in SJL/J mice infected with Thelier’s virus, in which the earlier and stronger delayed-type hypersensitivity responses to myelin antigens involve the largely sequestered PLP 139–151 and MOG 92–106 peptides (36). Once elicited, this secondary anti-MOG immune response may contribute further to myelin destruction (35).

In other experimental systems, thymic expression of a supposedly organ-specific self-antigen correlated very closely with resistance to autoimmune induction by the same self-antigen (37). This obviously applies only to individuals with MHC haplotypes that permit presentation of peptides derived from these self-antigens. In humans, a genetic polymorphism in the insulin-gene promoter, leading to reduced transcription of insulin in the thymus, contributes to genetic susceptibility to IDDM (38, 39), while mutations in the AIRE (autoimmune regulator) gene, which encodes a transcription factor, lead to a monogenic multiorgan autoimmune disease, most likely because of a sharp decrease in promiscuous gene transcription in thymic epithelial cells (8). In myasthenia gravis, however, the major autoantigen is constitutively expressed in the thymus, but autoimmunity still develops (40). This could be related to differences in the thymic cell types presenting the self-antigen to developing thymocytes, the type of antigen-presenting cells being suggested to affect the efficiency of negative selection, and/or the generation of regulatory T cell populations (3). More generally, the cellular distribution and the expression level of autoantigens involved in organ-specific autoimmunity should be thoroughly assessed in the thymus and secondary lymphoid organs of healthy as well as diseased individuals. This would permit the evaluation of the pathogenic or regulatory properties of autoantigen-specific T cells and thus aid the characterization of their roles in the pathophysiology of autoimmune disease.

In conclusion, this study using MOG knockout mice highlights the unique immunological features of MOG as compared with other self antigens. This model should also prove useful to analyze the role of MOG in myelin biology.
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