Antimicrobial polypeptides in host defense of the respiratory tract
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The large surfaces of the respiratory tract are often the initial site of contact between microbes and their human hosts. Respiratory epithelia are coated with a thin layer of airway and alveolar secretions whose composition varies by anatomical location and in response to mechanical, chemical, and microbial stimulation. In the nose, trachea, and bronchi, the secretions are generated by airway epithelial cells, especially the goblet cells; by the submucosal glands; by transudation and transport of proteins from plasma; and by resident and recruited phagocytes — neutrophils, eosinophils, monocytes, and macrophages. In the distal airways and alveoli, Clara cells and type 2 alveolar cells are, respectively, the predominant secretory epithelial cells. As first described by Alexander Fleming nearly 80 years ago (1), respiratory secretions have microbicidal and microbicostatic properties mediated by their constituent antimicrobial polypeptides. These intrinsic antimicrobial properties of respiratory secretions act in concert with the mechanical and phagocytic clearance mechanisms, described elsewhere in this Perspective series, to defend the respiratory tract against colonization or invasion by environmental microbes.

Antimicrobial activity of respiratory secretions

Several recent studies have confirmed and greatly extended Fleming’s original observations on the antimicrobial properties of respiratory secretions collected from human donors. Travis et al. (2) found that respiratory secretions harvested by nasal or bronchoalveolar lavage, or as cystic fibrosis sputum, showed antimicrobial activity against a test strain of *Escherichia coli*, with a clear dependence on the ionic strength of the medium. Under low ionic strength conditions, both nasal and lung secretions were antimicrobial, as were their cationic polypeptide constituents — lysozyme, lactoferrin, secretory leukoprotease inhibitor (SLPI), and neutrophil and epithelial defensins. However, the antimicrobial activity of lavage fluids and their polypeptide constituents could be inhibited by increasing ionic strength and was especially sensitive to divalent cations. These findings were consistent with the well-established ability of ionic constituents to inhibit the electrostatic attraction of cationic polypeptides to anionic microbial surfaces. In addition, binding of divalent cations to outer membranes of Gram-negative bacteria is known to stabilize the outer membrane against penetration by cationic polypeptides. Mucin, an abundant polyanionic component of respiratory secretions, and small, uncharged solutes that increase osmolarity but not ionic strength, had no effect on the antimicrobial activity of lavage fluids, lysozyme, or lactoferrin.

Cole et al. (3) in our group employed a complementary approach, examining minimally manipulated nasal fluid collected with a suction catheter that stimulated the nasal mucosa of normal donors. In this study, the ionic concentrations or the concentrations of the polypeptide constituents of nasal fluid were maintained in their natural state. Nasal fluid from most donors killed or inhibited the growth of *Staphylococcus aureus*, *E. coli*, and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, but fluids from nasal carriers of *S. aureus* permitted the growth of this bacterium. Moreover, at least in some experiments, *P. aeruginosa* recovered its ability to grow after 24 hours of incubation, indicating that surviving bacteria could adapt to the injurious effects of nasal fluid.

The inhibition or killing of bacteria by nasal fluid is not due to its intrinsic inability to provide nutrients for bacterial growth, since brief heat treatment of the fluid at 100°C renders it permissive for the growth of a cystic fibrosis isolate of *P. aeruginosa*. Nasal secretions from which cationic polypeptides are removed by treatment with cation exchangers likewise become permissive for the growth of *P. aeruginosa*, and the activity can be restored by adding back the cationic fraction (A. Cole and T. Ganz, unpublished observations). Yet another study, this one testing the effects of bronchoalveolar lavage fluid (BALF) on the sensitive test bacterium *Bacillus subtilis*, identified a series of antimicrobial polypeptides — lysozyme, neutrophil defensins, SLPI, and the cathelicidin LL-37 among them — in both sarcoidosis patients and normal donors (4).

These reports confirm the concept that respiratory secretions are broadly antimicrobial and that their activity is largely due to their cationic polypeptide components. They also indicate that the antimicrobial
activity of respiratory fluid is rather precarious, in that it can be abolished by altering the ionic milieu, by bacterial adaptation, and by as-yet uncharacterized abnormalities in nasal carriers of *S. aureus*.

Studies of human airway epithelia maintained either in vitro or in nude mice demonstrate that respiratory epithelial cells generate antimicrobial activity even in the absence of glandular structures (5, 6). However, it has become clear that, compared with respiratory secretions collected in vivo, the antimicrobial activity of cultured airway epithelia is much less potent, perhaps because these cell culture models secrete a low-protein fluid that contains scant amounts of the major substances found in airway secretions (lysozyme, lactoferrin, SLPI, and defensins). In murine models, differentiation of airway epithelia into mucus-producing cells is modulated locally by inflammation. Inflammation or depends on pathologic processes that increase and unavoidably modify secretions. Moreover, the commonly used bronchoalveolar lavage method admixes secretions from anatomically diverse locations and dilutes them to an unknown extent. Because of these obstacles, the ionic and polypeptide composition of resting respiratory fluid in specific anatomical locations is subject to substantial uncertainty. However, mixed samples of respiratory fluid obtained from the nose by mechanical or chemical stimulation (3, 8), or as sputum from patients with chronic bronchitis (9–11), indicate that lysozyme and lactoferrin are the most abundant antimicrobial proteins of airway secretions, at about 0.1–1 mg/ml. These estimates agree with bronchoalveolar lavage (12), and its concentration correlates poorly with neutrophil concentrations, suggesting that, on the average, airway epithelium and its glands are the major sources of lysozyme in airway secretions. Mice overexpressing lysozyme under the control of the human *Surfactant protein C* promoter show increased resistance to lung infection with group B *Streptococcus* or *P. aeruginosa* (22).

Lactoferrin, a close relative of the serum protein transferrin, is an 80-kDa iron-binding protein highly abundant in the specific granules of human neutrophils and in epithelial secretions. Lactoferrin inhibits microbial growth by sequestering iron essential for microbial respiration (23). It can also be directly microbicidal (24), an activity that is concentrated in its N-terminal cationic fragment “lactoferrin.”

SLPI is a 12-kDa nonglycosylated protein consisting of two similar domains. The N-terminal domain has modest antimicrobial activity in vitro against both Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacteria (25). The C-terminal domain acts as an effective inhibitor of neutrophil elastase and may also be involved in intracellular regulation of responses to LPS (26).

Human defensins (27) are 3- to 5-kDa peptides, members of a widely distributed family of microbicidal peptides with a characteristic three-dimensional fold and six-cysteine/three-disulfide pattern. Although the human genome project indicates that the family contains more than 20 potentially expressed genes, relatively few human defensin peptides have been characterized to date. Three closely related defensins, human neutrophil peptides HNP-1, -2, and -3, are major components of the dense azurophil granules of neutrophils, and a fourth, HNP-4, is found in the same location but is much less abundant. Two other human defensins (28, 29), HD-5 and -6, are
located in the lysozyme-rich secretory granules of Paneth cells, an epithelial cell type positioned at the bottom of small intestinal crypts, and are thought to be involved in local host defense. The three most recently characterized defensins, HBD-1 (30, 31), HBD-2 (32), and HBD-3 (33, 34), differ slightly from the classical α-defensins in the spacing and connectivity of their cysteines. Their mRNAs are expressed in epithelia, with HBD-1 being most abundant in the kidney, and HBD-2 and -3 in inflamed skin, but all three are detectable in the respiratory tract as well (18, 33, 35, 36). As with the bovine tracheal antimicrobial peptide (37), the prototypic airway defensin, the synthesis and secretion of HBD-2 (and presumably HBD-3) are regulated in a dual fashion, first by direct epithelial responses to LPS and other microbial stimuli and second by cytokines. The former, higher-threshold effect is most likely mediated by epithelial CD14, Toll-like receptors, and the transcription factor NF-κB (19). The lower-threshold, cytokine-mediated response is triggered primarily by the encounter of microbes with local macrophages. These cells then produce IL-1α and IL-1β and other cytokines (18), which in turn act on epithelial cytokine receptors to increase epithelial defensin synthesis. This scheme avoids promiscuous activation by low concentrations of inhaled noninvasive microbes while retaining the ability to activate in response to large boluses of microbes or epithelial penetration by fewer invasive microbes.

In vitro, under low-salt conditions (e.g., 10 mM sodium phosphate), defensins are microbicidal at micromolar (1–10 μg/ml) concentrations against many Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria, yeast and fungi, and certain enveloped viruses. Increasing salt concentrations competitively inhibit defensin activity, with the inhibitory effect modulated by the properties of the target microbe. Defensins act preferentially on microbes by permeabilizing microbial membranes rich in anionic phospholipids, with relative sparing of the cholesterol- and neutral phospholipid–rich cell membranes of the host.

Estimates of defensin concentrations in the phagocytes vacuoles of neutrophils are in the milligrams-per-milliliter range, a concentration that should be sufficient to overcome inhibition by extracellular ion concentrations (see Knowles and Boucher, this Perspective series, ref. 38). Similar considerations also apply to the activity of defensins in the narrow intestinal crypts (5–10 μm in diameter) into which Paneth cells secrete their defensin-containing granules (39). The concentration of HBD-2 in desquamated inflamed skin is in the range of 10 μg/ml (32), again sufficient to inhibit or kill many microbes. In the respiratory tract, the concentrations of neutrophil defensins HNP-1 through -4 and epithelial HBD-2 are greatly increased by inflammation (16, 18) and could reach antimicrobial concentrations, but their specific role in antimicrobial events in the lung has not yet been documented. It should be noted that some defensins manifest additional in vitro activities that may contribute to inflammation and repair, including inhibition of adenocorticotropic hormone–stimulated cortisol production, inhibition of fibrinolysis, mitogenic effects on fibroblasts (40), and induction of IL-8 and SLPI secretion by bronchial epithelial cells (41, 42). Their recently described chemoattractant effects on immature dendritic cells and memory T cells (43, 44) are likely to be important in linking the initial innate response to infection to the later development of acquired immunity.

Cathelicidins (45) are members of a large family of mammalian microbicidal peptides with a conserved N-terminal precursor structure (containing typically about 100 amino acid residues) but highly heterogeneous C-terminal peptides (10–40 amino acid residues). Most cathelicidins undergo extracellular proteolytic cleavage that frees the C-terminal peptide from the precursor and activates it (46). The sole known human cathelicidin has been named hCAP18 and FALL-39/LL-37 by the three groups that described its cDNA, gene, and peptide forms (47–49). Its abundance in neutrophil-specific granules appears to be about a third of that of lactoferrin or lysozyme, the two major proteins of specific granules. Exemplifying the overlap of phagocytic and epithelial host defenses, the mRNA for the human cathelicidin is also found in the testes, in inflamed human keratinocytes (50), in other squamous epithelia (51), and in airway epithelia (52). In vitro, the human peptide LL-37 displays both LPS-binding (47) and broad-spectrum microbicidal activities (52, 53). Overexpression of LL-37 in an airway xenograft model of cystic fibrosis restores the deficient antimicrobial activity (6), indicating that LL-37 retains activity in the complex milieu of the airway.

These various antimicrobial proteins and peptides differ in their molecular specificity, targeting phospholipid membranes, peptidoglycans, or iron. They also vary in their mode of action on that target, acting as hydrolytic enzymes or creating pores in the bacterial cell wall, for example. They might therefore be expected to act cooperatively by attacking multiple essential structures in bacteria or by unmasking structures vulnerable to other components of the mixture. The multiplicity of active ingredients may also broaden the effectiveness of the mixture against the many potential microbial targets and decrease the likelihood of acquired resistance. Indeed, Travis et al. (2) have recently provided experimental evidence for synergistic interactions between lysozyme, lactoferrin, and SLPI, the main polypeptide constituents of airway secretions.

Interventions to enhance antimicrobial polypeptide–mediated host defense mechanisms

The selection of antimicrobial peptides and proteins as therapeutic candidates is governed by considerations of biological activity, toxicity, and the costs of developing, producing, and administering these compounds. Since the antimicrobial activities of these substances usually require at least micromolar concentrations, and the costs of production rise with the size of the polypeptide and its structural complexity, initial efforts have centered on relatively small peptides, or small fragments of larger proteins. The costs and toxicities increase with the volume of distribution in the body, favoring topical over systemic administration for initial trials of these new compounds. Antibiotics based on small peptides of human or animal origin might also be predicted to elicit fewer allergic reactions than many conventional antibiotics of bacterial or fungal origin. For pulmonary applications, use of
antimicrobial peptides as inhaled antibiotics is particularly attractive. Among conventional antibiotics, only tobramycin is currently approved for this application, and microbial resistance to this agent is on the rise. The pathogenic role of \(P. \) aeruginosa and similar bacteria in cystic fibrosis presents a unique opportunity to test the pharmacologic use of antimicrobial peptides that are variants of naturally occurring forms. Initial clinical trials of a protegrin (porcine neutrophil cathelecidin) derivative (54) for this and other topical applications are ongoing.

Alternative strategies, at an earlier stage of development, include the use of gene therapy technology to deliver functional antimicrobial peptide genes to the respiratory epithelium (6) or systemically (55).

Summary and future prospects

The increasing interest in mechanisms of innate immunity has focused attention on the highly effective processes that keep the lower respiratory tract largely free of microbes. The picture emerging is far from the passive system depicted in a rudimentary form in most current immunology texts. Antimicrobial polypeptides, a part of the innate host defense system, are present constitutively and in high concentrations on respiratory epithelia but are also locally supplemented by secretion from recruited phagocytes or from activated epithelial cells. They selectively target vital microbial structures, taking advantage of structural and biochemical differences between the host and the microbes. Some antimicrobial polypeptides also function as signaling molecules, alerting the adaptive immune system to the presence of invaders. Several diseases characterized by persistent mucosal colonization or infection, including cystic fibrosis (5) and nasal colonization with \(S. \) aureus, may turn out to be manifestations of defects on antimicrobial polypeptide–based defenses. For these and other airway infections, the use of inhaled or topical synthetic peptide antimicrobials is an exciting prospect.

Areas of ongoing scientific ferment are likely to include highly selective microsampling and analysis of the composition of respiratory secretions in specific locations in the respiratory system, including alveoli, small airways, and the bronchi. Continued attention will be paid to the possible role of antimicrobial polypeptides and other innate host defense components in the early pathogenesis of cystic fibrosis in the lung. Much will be learned about antimicrobial polypeptides by knocking out genes or clusters of genes that encode antimicrobial polypeptides, especially when this becomes possible in larger animals whose respiratory systems are similar to those of humans. An alternative strategy to define the specific function of antimicrobial polypeptides, selective pharmacological inhibition of their posttranslational proteolytic activation, may be productive in animals where the knockout technology is not yet available (56). Finally, we can also look forward to improved antimicrobial therapies that take advantage of potential synergies with the “natural antibiotics” already present at the site of infection.
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