

Correction

J Clin Invest. 1998;102(1):271-271. <https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI1204C1>.

Correction

Find the latest version:

<https://jci.me/1204C1/pdf>



Correction

Udani, M., Q. Zen, M. Cottman, N. Leonard, S. Jefferson, C. Daymont, G. Truskey, and M.J. Telen.
The Journal of Clinical Investigation. Volume 101, No. 11, June 1998.

Pages 2550–2558.

Page 2556, first paragraph: “In multiple experiments, MEL cells expressing rB-CAM adhered to immobilized laminin with critical shear stresses > 15 dyne/cm², while MEL cells expressing vector DNA only adhered with an average critical shear stress of 5.25 dyne/cm².”

The previous sentence should have read: “In multiple experiments, MEL cells expressing rB-CAM adhered to immobilized laminin with critical shear stresses > 15 dyne/cm², while MEL cells expressing vector DNA only adhered with an average critical shear stress of **0.688** dyne/cm².”

Page 2557, Figure 8 legend: “MEL cells expressing rB-CAM adhered to immobilized laminin with a critical shear stress of > 15 dyne/cm², while MEL cells expressing vector only adhered with an average critical shear stress of 5.25 dyne/cm².”

The previous sentence should have read: “MEL cells expressing rB-CAM adhered to immobilized laminin with a critical shear stress of > 15 dyne/cm², while MEL cells expressing vector only adhered with an average critical shear stress of **0.688** dyne/cm².”