Nonsuppressible Insulin-Like Activity of Human Serum
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ABSTRACT Nonsuppressible insulin-like activity soluble in acid ethanol (NSILA-s) is a well-characterized peptide derived from human serum which has previously been shown to have insulin-like bioactivity and react with both insulin and NSILA-s receptor sites in liver plasma membranes. In the present study we find that NSILA-s is also a potent competitive inhibitor of the insulin-degrading system of the liver plasma membrane. The most purified NSILA-s preparation tested was 20-fold more potent than insulin itself, and significant inhibition of insulin degradation occurred at concentrations of NSILA-s similar to those found in plasma.

INTRODUCTION

Serum contains multiple components that have insulin-like biological activity. Aside from insulin and proinsulin, one of the best characterized of these components is the nonsuppressible insulin-like activity soluble in acid ethanol (NSILA-s)1 (1, 2). Although the exact structure of NSILA-s is unknown, it appears to be considerably different from that of insulin (2). Despite these differences, the spectrum of biological activities of insulin and NSILA-s are remarkably similar, although insulin is more potent in stimulating acute metabolic effects (2), while NSILA-s is more potent in stimulating growth-promoting effects (3). In previous studies using liver plasma membranes, we demonstrated that these different effects probably arose through the interaction of these two peptides with two separate membrane receptors, one which has a higher affinity for NSILA-s and one which has a higher affinity for insulin (4-6).

Liver plasma membranes possess an insulin-degrading enzyme(s) which shows a high degree of specificity for insulin as opposed to other polypeptide hormones but is independent of the insulin receptor as judged by its affinity for insulin, specificity for insulin analogues, and pH and temperature optima (7). In the present study we find that NSILA-s is a potent competitive inhibitor of this insulin-degrading system; this suggests yet one more insulin-like property of this molecule.

METHODS

NSILA-s, generously provided by Doctors E. R. Froesch and R. E. Humbel, had been extracted from pooled human plasma into acid-ethanol and partially purified by gel filtration (2, 8). Six preparations, which varied in insulin-like potency measured by stimulation of glucose oxidation in rat adipose tissue from 1 to 70 mU/mg, were used. The most purified preparation used in these experiments (70 mU/mg) is about 15-20% as potent on a weight basis as the most highly purified NSILA-s (2). All of the NSILA-s preparations inhibited 125I-insulin binding to liver membranes and cultured lymphocytes in rank order of their potency in the fat pad glucose oxidation assay (Table I) (6). Radioimmunoassay revealed that on a weight basis less than 0.0001% of the most purified preparation (70 mU/mg) could be accounted for by immunoreactive insulin or proinsulin; this count account for, at most, 0.03% of the biological activity (6). The least purified preparation contained less than 0.1% immunoreactive insulin or proinsulin (6); while this could account for much of the bioactivity of this preparation, this would not account for its effect on insulin degradation (see below).

Porcine insulin (25 U/mg) was purchased from Eli Lilly and Co. (Indianapolis, Ind.) and 125I-insulin (100-200 μCi/μg) was prepared using a modification of the chloramine-T oxidation method (7). Highly purified rat liver plasma membranes, a generous gift of Dr. D. M. Neville, Jr., was prepared as previously described (9).

Degradation experiments were conducted in duplicate in 400-μl microfuge tubes in a Krebs-Ringer phosphate buffer, pH 7.5, with 1% bovine serum albumin. The total incubation volume was 150 μl. In most of these experiments, 125I-insulin (100 pg/ml) was incubated with liver membranes (0.2-0.4 mg/membrane protein per ml) for 2-3 h at 30°C in the presence of unlabeled insulin or NSILA-s as indi-
TABLE I
Potencies of Insulin and NSILA-s in Inhibiting Three Membrane Recognition Sites

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>¹²⁵I-insulin binding*</th>
<th>¹²⁵I-insulin degradation‡</th>
<th>¹²⁵I-NSILA-s binding*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ED₅₀ Relative potency</td>
<td>ED₅₀ Relative potency</td>
<td>ED₅₀ Relative potency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin</td>
<td>0.03 µg/ml 1.000</td>
<td>3.6 µg/ml 1.000</td>
<td>&gt;1,000 µg/ml 1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSILA-s preparation, mU/mg</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>1.2 0.025</td>
<td>0.17 21.2 0.003</td>
<td>333,000.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>2.4 0.012</td>
<td>1.3 2.77 0.008</td>
<td>125,000.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>11 0.0027</td>
<td>2.2 1.62 0.12</td>
<td>8,300.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>33 0.0009</td>
<td>30 0.12 0.30</td>
<td>3,300.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>33 0.0009</td>
<td>30 0.12 0.20</td>
<td>5,500.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>500 0.00006</td>
<td>136 0.025 0.40</td>
<td>2,500.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REA-31</td>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;1,000 0.00003</td>
<td>100 0.036 &gt;100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Adapted from Megyesi et al. (6). The data presented are from experiments employing cultured human lymphocytes, but virtually identical data were obtained when ¹²⁵I-insulin binding to liver membranes was studied.
‡ From Fig. 1.
§ Concentration required to produce a 50% inhibition of the effect.
|| REA-31 is a reduced and aminoethylated preparation prepared from the 31-mU/mg preparation.

cated in the legend to the figures. At the end of the incubation, the membrane was sedimented in a Beckman microfuge (Beckman Instruments, Inc., Spinco Div., Palo Alto, Calif.) for 1 min and the supernatant removed. Intact ¹²⁵I-insulin in the supernate was determined by precipitation with 5% TCA (7). The values of apparent $K_a$ obtained by this method are in good agreement with the more sensitive methods and can be obtained over a wider range of hormone concentrations (7). In each experiment, controls were prepared which were identical with respect to ¹²⁵I-insulin, insulin, and NSILA-s concentrations except that membranes were omitted. The percent of ¹²⁵I-insulin degraded was then calculated as follows:

$$\% \text{ Degraded} = \left( \frac{\% \text{ intact in experimental tube}}{\% \text{ intact in control tube}} \right) \times 100$$

In all experiments, there was less than 3% degradation of hormone in the control tube.

RESULTS

After 3 h of incubation with liver plasma membranes (0.3 mg/ml) at 30°C about 40% of the ¹²⁵I-insulin present in the incubation tube (100 µg/ml) was degraded to TCA-soluble material. Unlabeled insulin significantly inhibited degradation of the ¹²⁵I-insulin (Fig. 1) in concentrations as low as 500 ng/ml and, under these conditions, produced a 50% reduction in degradation at a concentration of about 3.6 µg/ml (0.6 µM). We have previously demonstrated (7) that this inhibition of degradation is quite specific for intact insulin and its analogues; growth hormone, glucagon, oxytocin, and isolated A and B chains of insulin have no effect on inhibiting degradation.

NSILA-s is a potent inhibitor of the insulin degradation and, in general, this inhibitory activity is proportional to the insulin-like potency of the preparation (Fig. 1 and Table I). The most purified preparation tested (70 mU/mg) produced a 50% inhibition of degradation at a concentration of 170 ng/ml. The 31- and 21-mU/mg preparations were also more active in in-
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hibiting degradation than was insulin, despite the fact
that the preparations are only 1/1,000th as potent as
insulin in bioactivity. A 31-mU/mg preparation which
had been reduced and aminoethylated (2) was about
1% as active as the native preparation in inhibiting
degradation, but this residual inhibitory activity still
exceeded the residual insulin-like bioactivity and re-
ceptor activity by over 10-fold.

To determine the nature of this inhibition, the effect
of NSILA-s on insulin degradation was studied over
a wide range of insulin and NSILA-s concentrations.
When the velocity of degradation was determined in
the presence and absence of two different preparations
of NSILA-s and the results were plotted by the method
of Lineweaver and Burk (Fig. 2) (10), three straight
lines were obtained which intersected at the ordinate,
indicating that NSILA-s behaves as a competitive in-
hibitor of the insulin-degrading system in the liver
membranes. In these experiments, the calculated $K_a$
for insulin degradation was 0.6 $\mu M$, a value similar
to that previously reported for liver membranes (7).
The $K_i$ calculated for “pure” NSILA-s, if one assumes
a 10% purity for the 31-mU/mg preparation (since
the most purified preparation has an insulin-like activity
of about 350 mU/mg) and a molecular weight of 7,400,
is 30 nM.

**DISCUSSION**

Insulin and NSILA-s, while markedly distinguished by
immunoreactivity and chemical structure, have many
functional properties which are quite similar. Both
peptides have insulin-like biological activity and occupy
the insulin receptor in liver, fat, and cultured human
lymphocytes in direct proportion to their bioactivity
(4, 6). In addition, both insulin and NSILA-s interact
with the “cooperative-site” of these insulin receptors,
and induce negative cooperative interactions among
insulin receptors. Liver plasma membranes also pos-
sess NSILA-s receptors which are separate from the
insulin receptors, and again both peptides can occupy
this receptor which presumably initiates their growth-
promoting effects (4, 6).

The present study demonstrates yet another simi-
arity between NSILA-s and insulin; recognition by
the insulin-degrading enzyme(s) in liver. NSILA-s is
a very potent inhibitor of $^{125}$I-insulin degradation with
the most purified preparation tested being about 20
times as potent as insulin on a weight basis, or 16
times as potent on a molar basis in this function. Since
this preparation itself is at most 20% pure (2, 8),
“pure” NSILA-s, if available, would be about 100 times
as potent as insulin in this action. It is possible that
the inhibitor is a contaminant of the NSILA-s prepara-
tions, but this seems unlikely since the inhibitory ac-
tivity co-purifies with the insulin-like bioactivity.
Furthermore, the fact that the inhibition is competitive in
nature argues strongly that the inhibitory activity is
not the nonspecific effect of a peptide. This effect is
similar to that observed by proinsulin which is also a
competitive inhibitor of insulin degradation (7), but
NSILA-s appears to be almost 10-fold more potent
than proinsulin in this action. Like proinsulin, NSILA-s
itself appears to be very slowly degraded by the in-
sulin-degrading system of the liver cell membrane (6).
If one considers the recognition of insulin and NSILA-s
by the three types of membrane recognition sites thus
far studied, insulin has the highest affinity for the

---

$^{a}$ De Meyts, P. Personal communication.
insulin receptor with a lower affinity for the insulin-degrading enzyme and an even lower affinity for the NSILA-s receptor; with NSILA-s the order of apparent affinity is reversed (Table I).

Three points regarding the possible significance of this finding deserve comment. Firstly, NSILA-s in plasma circulates at relatively high concentrations (equivalent to 1.5 μg/ml of our 70 mU/mg standard); of this about 90–95% is bound to larger molecules and about 5–10% is free (5). At these concentrations, NSILA-s (or free NSILA-s) reduced insulin degradation by 50–80% in vitro and may significantly inhibit insulin degradation in vivo. This may play a role in maintenance of normal insulin levels, making more intact insulin available for binding to the receptors. This may also affect insulin half-life in states in which NSILA-s levels are altered. Unfortunately, at the present time, NSILA-p has not been obtained in a sufficiently pure state to test for its effect on insulin degradation; thus, whether it has an additive effect with NSILA-s remains unknown. Second, while there is some debate about the nature of the insulin-degrading enzymes in liver (7, 11), it is clear that NSILA-s is yet another insulin “analogue” which has a different affinity for the insulin-degrading enzyme and the insulin receptor, again suggesting a difference in these closely linked functions (7). Finally, an additional point should be made regarding the measurement of plasma proinsulin utilizing the “insulin-specific protease” (12), a soluble enzyme from muscle which is very similar to the membrane-bound enzyme in liver we have studied. This enzyme has also been shown to be inhibited by the plasma fractions which contain NSILA-s (13), and since the concentration of NSILA-s in plasma is relatively high, additional caution must be taken in measuring plasma proinsulin by this method.
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