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ABSTRACT A comparison study of several vasoconstrictor and vasodilator agents was conducted measuring changes in intestinal blood flow and oxygen consumption during 10-min periods of intra-arterial infusion. Blood flow was measured in a branch of the superior mesenteric artery of anesthetized dogs with an electromagnetic blood flow meter, and the arteriovenous oxygen content difference across the gut segment was determined photometrically. Vasopressin (4 × 10^{-4} and 7 × 10^{-4} U/kg-min) diminished blood flow 60 and 28% and reduced oxygen consumption 54 and 22%, respectively (all P < 0.001). In a dose which did not lower blood flow, vasopressin still caused a decline in oxygen consumption (P < 0.01). Epinephrine (5 × 10^{-4} μg/kg-min) decreased blood flow 19% (P < 0.001) but did not reduce oxygen consumption. After β-adrenergic blockade, however, the same dose of epinephrine decreased blood flow 41% and oxygen consumption 33% (both P < 0.001). Responses to angiotensin II, calcium chloride, and prostaglandin F₃ resembled effects of vasopressin rather than those of epinephrine, namely decreased blood flow and decreased oxygen consumption. The vasodilator agents, prostaglandin E₃, isoproterenol, and histamine, increased (P < 0.001) both blood flow (130, 80, and 98%, respectively) and oxygen consumption (98, 64, and 70%, respectively). Vasopressin, angiotensin II, calcium chloride, and prostaglandin F₃ appear to contract arteriolar and precapillary sphincteric smooth muscle indiscriminately to evoke both intestinal ischemia and hypoxia. Epinephrine is the exceptional constrictor in this case, producing diminished blood flow without a reduction in oxygen uptake.

INTRODUCTION

Recently, vasoconstrictor drugs, most notably intra-arterial vasopressin, have been employed in the management of massive gastrointestinal hemorrhage, especially from esophageal varices (1). The objective of such therapy is to reduce blood flow through the splanchnic vessels to minimize or stop bleeding. Such therapy in hemorrhagic states is associated with high mortality rates and with intestinal infarction (2). One possible explanation for the deleterious effects of vasopressin is that it could reduce the delivery of oxygen to intestinal tissues. If intestinal blood flow is reduced by mechanical means rather than by vasoconstrictors (e.g., by reducing perfusion pressure), a concomitant increase in oxygen extraction occurs so that oxygen uptake (the product of arteriovenous oxygen difference and blood flow) is unaltered except at critically low blood flow rates (3). Reducing blood flow with vasoconstrictors is not equivalent to reducing blood flow mechanically. Vasoconstrictors produce other microvascular effects besides a greater resistance to blood flow.

Exogenous vasoconstrictors and sympathetic stimulation constrict all three of the functionally defined series-coupled elements within the intestinal microvasculature: the resistance vessels (4, 5), the capacitance vessels (4, 6), and the precapillary sphincters which determine the number of capillaries or exchange vessels perfused at a given moment (4–7). However, the response of one of these functionally defined “effectors” to an exogenous vasoconstrictor may be antagonized by local blood flow-controlling mechanisms such as vasodilator metabolites or tissue hypoxia (7). Thus, the resistance-exchange-capacitance response pattern produced by exogenous vasoconstrictors depends upon the balance reached between the vasoconstrictor and the local vasodilator factors at each vascular effector.

By means of a computer model of the intestinal microcirculation (7), we recently predicted that nor...
epinephrine and sympathetic stimulation would constrict precapillary sphincters to such an extent that the blood-to-tissue flux of oxygen would be limited by the diffusion parameters, e.g., capillary surface area and capillary-to-cell diffusion distance (7, 8). We tested this prediction in animal experiments by perfusing isolated loops of canine small bowel at a constant rate of blood flow (9). Sympathetic stimulation and intra-arterial norepinephrine deprived oxygen extraction in a frequency- or dose-dependent manner. When the extraction of the nonmetabolizable tracer, ⁸⁷Rb, was determined, rubidium extraction and oxygen extraction were synchronously depressed by these adrenergic constrictors (9, 10). Since rubidium extraction is a valid index of the relative number of perfused capillaries (11), we concluded that norepinephrine and sympathetic stimulation reduced the density of the perfused capillary bed to such an extent that intestinal extractions of oxygen and rubidium were depressed despite constant flow perfusion.

Although these studies (9, 10) and more recent ones from our laboratory (12, 13) have shown that vasoconstrictors (sympathetic stimulation, norepinephrine, epinephrine, and vasopressin) reduce intestinal oxygen extraction, our data are not comparable to that from patients receiving vasoconstrictor therapy since our experiments were performed in denervated gut loops perfused at constant flow. We performed previous studies under constant flow to show clearly that these agents can alter intestinal oxygen uptake independently of their effects on blood flow, and because the rubidium technique requires constant flow (11). Constant blood flow, however, obscures the antagonism which local factors offer to vasoconstrictors since the accumulation of vasodilator metabolites or tissue hypoxia is minimized by constant flow. Thus, it is unwise to extrapolate from our data at constant flow to the more physiological condition of constant pressure perfusion.

The purpose of the present work has been to determine if vasoconstrictors reduce the oxygen uptake of intestinal loops perfused at constant pressure, and, if so, to determine to what extent the reduction in blood flow and the alterations in oxygen extraction account for the changes in oxygen uptake. We have investigated in particular the effects of vasopressin on intestinal hemodynamics and oxygen consumption and compared its effects with those of other mesenteric vasoconstrictor drugs (epinephrine, angiotensin II, calcium chloride, and prostaglandin F₂α) and with effects of vasodilator agents (prostaglandin E₁, isoproterenol, and histamine).

METHODS

Subjects of our experiments were 50 fasted mongrel dogs of either sex weighing 15–20 kg each. Animals were anesthe-

ized with intravenous pentobarbital sodium (30 mg/kg). Supplemental doses of the agent were administered to maintain deep levels of anesthesia throughout all experiments.

Both femoral arteries and veins were exposed, one vein for injection of supplemental anesthetic and one artery for cannulation to monitor systemic arterial blood pressure, using a strain gauge transducer (Hewlett-Packard Co., Palo Alto, Calif., model 1280 C). Before the onset of experiments, arterial pressure exceeded 100 mm Hg in all animals.

A terminal trunk of the superior mesenteric artery supplying a segment of distal ileum (50–100 g weight) was exposed via a midline laparotomy. The ends of the gut segment supplied by the vessel were ligated to block intramural collateral vessels. The probe of an electromagnetic blood flow transducer (Micron Instruments Inc., Los Angeles, Calif.) of 1.5–2.0 mm size (1D) was implanted about the exposed artery and connected to an amplifier (Micron Instruments Inc.). A lateral branch of the artery was cannulated proximal to the probe for intra-arterial infusion of the vasoactive agents. No attempt was made to denervate the perfused gut segment.

A vein draining the intestinal segment was cannulated along with a femoral artery. A constant flow pump withdrew blood from each vessel, passed the blood through a venous and arterial cuvettes of an arteriovenous oxygen difference analyzer, and then returned the blood to the circulation via a femoral vein. This preparation has been described previously in detail (13).

Blood flow through the branch of the mesenteric artery was measured with precalibrated transducers. At the beginning and end of each experiment, we occluded the vessel distal to the transducer to provide a transient zero flow (14). At the end of each experiment the gut segment was excised and weighed. In 43 animals, mean flow (±SE) was 34±7 ml/min per 100 g of tissue before the onset of drug infusion. Intestinal oxygen consumption was calculated as the product of the simultaneously measured arteriovenous oxygen content difference and the mean blood flow (10, 13). The mean rate of oxygen consumption was 2.4±0.5 ml oxygen/min per 100 g tissue in these animals. These intestinal blood flow and oxygen consumption values agree with those reported elsewhere (3, 15).

Each drug was infused into the mesenteric artery for 10 min in each animal. The drugs employed, their commercial sources and doses, and the number of separate animal experiments are indicated in Table I. With vasoconstrictor agents a dose was usually selected which would reduce blood flow about 20–25%; with vasopressin a more potent and a less potent dose were also studied. With the vasoconstrictor drugs doses were selected to double blood flow approximately. In experiments with epinephrine and isoproterenol, we used propranolol comparing responses of intestinal oxygen consumption and blood flow with each catecholamine alone and with the same dose of epinephrine or isoproterenol after β-blockade with propranolol (0.1–0.3 mg injected intra-arterially). A total of 88 separate experiments were conducted in 43 dogs. No dogs were used twice for the same dose of a drug, except in experiments with propranolol.

Since each agent was infused directly into the mesenteric artery in small doses, there was far less effect upon systemic arterial pressure than upon mesenteric artery blood flow. The vasoconstrictor agents either increased systemic arterial pressure slightly (<10 mm Hg) or had no effect, and the vasodilator drugs either decreased blood pressure slightly or had no effect. Therefore, the primary effect of each agent was considered to be a direct one upon the
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agent</th>
<th>Intra-arterial dose (U/kg body)</th>
<th>Number of separate dog experiments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vasopressin (Pitressin tannate, Parke, Davis &amp; Co., Detroit, Mich.)</td>
<td>4 × 10⁻⁵</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vasopressin</td>
<td>7 × 10⁻⁵</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vasopressin</td>
<td>3 × 10⁻⁵</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epinephrine (Adrenalin chloride, Parke, Davis &amp; Co.)</td>
<td>50 ng</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epinephrine after propranolol hydrochloride (Inderal, Ayerst Laboratories, New York)</td>
<td>25 ng</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angiotensin II (Hypertensin, CIBA Pharmaceutical Company, Summit, N. J.)</td>
<td>300 μg</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium chloride</td>
<td>250 μg</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prostaglandin E₁ (The Upjohn Company, Kalamazoo, Mich.)</td>
<td>500 ng</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isoproterenol (Isuprel, Winthrop Laboratories, New York)</td>
<td>500 ng</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isoproterenol after propranolol hydrochloride (RJ Lilly and Company, Indianapolis, Ind.)</td>
<td>500 ng</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Vasodilators

RESULTS

Vasopressin was infused intra arterially at three different dose rates: 4 × 10⁻⁵, 7 × 10⁻⁵, and 3 × 10⁻⁵ U/kg-min. Results from one experiment using the highest dose of vasopressin are reproduced in Fig. 1. The highest dose caused a 60% decrease (P < 0.001) in blood flow and a 54% decrease (P < 0.001) in oxygen consumption. The lowest dose caused no change in blood flow; however, oxygen consumption declined 6% (P < 0.01) with the lowest dose of vasopressin. These results appear in Fig. 2. Values indicated in this and succeeding figures were obtained during the steady-state phase of the infusion.

Intra-arterial epinephrine decreased intestinal blood flow 19% (P < 0.001). Oxygen consumption was unchanged by epinephrine. After β-blockade with propranolol, the same dose of epinephrine decreased blood flow 41% (P < 0.001) and decreased oxygen consumption 33% (P < 0.001). These results appear in Fig. 3.
Angiotensin II reduced blood flow and oxygen consumption 26 and 18%, respectively (both P < 0.001). Calcium chloride diminished both blood flow and oxygen consumption by 12% (P < 0.05 and 0.001, respectively). Prostaglandin F₂α lowered blood flow 27% and oxygen consumption 21% (both <0.001). Values obtained with these constrictor agents are depicted in Fig. 4.

Prostaglandin E₂ increased blood flow 130% and oxygen consumption 98% (P < 0.001 for both). Isoproterenol raised blood flow 80% and oxygen consumption 64% (both P < 0.001). After propranolol blockade, both responses to the same dose of isoproterenol were not significantly different from control. Histamine augmented blood flow 98% and oxygen consumption 70% (P < 0.001 for both). Values obtained with dilator drugs are indicated in Fig. 5.

**DISCUSSION**

The two most important structures of the intestinal microcirculation which regulate total blood flow to the gut and capillary blood flow, respectively, are the arteriolar smooth muscle and the muscle of the precapillary sphincters. More than half of the resistance to the total flow of blood through the gut occurs during passage of the blood through the arterioles (16). Small changes in the diameter of these vessels are translated into large changes in blood flow through the superior mesenteric artery. However, it is also true under "resting" conditions that only one-third to one-fourth of the capillaries are open to the flow of blood at any moment in time (17). Thus, by identifying the diffusion parameters (surface area and diffusion distance), it becomes apparent that the density of the perfused capillary bed is a critical determinant of tissue oxygen extraction. Furthermore, our calculations indicate that changes in the density of the perfused capillary bed
are as significant to oxygen delivery as are the changes in blood flow (7, 8, 10). It also follows that a drug may cause a sizeable decrease in the total flow of blood to the gut without reducing the delivery of oxygen to the tissue, since extraction of oxygen can increase to compensate for the reduced total blood flow. If the agent constricts arteriolar smooth muscle selectively, without affecting the ability of the precapillary sphincters to regulate the diffusion parameters so as to increase oxygen extraction, intestinal oxygen consumption will not suffer until total blood flow is reduced to a critically low level (3, 7, 10). Use of a drug with a selective constrictive action on arterioles would permit production of ischemia without imperiling the viability of the gut with hypoxia.

Unfortunately, the present study has shown that the most commonly employed vasoconstrictor in cases of severe gastrointestinal hemorrhage, namely vasopressin, may not possess this selectivity. Vasopressin reduced the oxygen uptake of gut loops perfused both at constant flow (12) and, as the present study shows, at constant pressure. In the present study, the lowest dose of vasopressin reduced oxygen uptake without lowering blood flow, whereas higher doses reduced both blood flow and oxygen consumption (Fig. 2). The changes in blood flow and oxygen uptake occurred quite rapidly (Fig. 1) and we interpret them as a vascular effect of vasopressin to lower oxygen delivery. However, an alternative explanation is that vasopressin might reduce the tissue demand for oxygen via a direct metabolic effect since vasopressin is known to depress electrolyte transport in vivo (18). We feel the metabolic explanation is less likely than the vascular one because the changes in oxygen consumption which occur when sugar-electrolyte or dinitrophenol solutions are placed in the lumen are much smaller than are the vasopressin-induced changes in oxygen uptake (unpublished observations from our laboratory). In addition, vasopressin stimulates both the electrolyte absorption (19, 20) and the oxygen consumption (20) rate of isolated epithelia in which the vascular mechanism has been eliminated. Because reports conflict concerning vasopressin’s transport effect in vivo (18, 21), it is not unlikely that vasopressin lowers both blood flow and oxygen delivery. This, in turn, could lead to the diminished electrolyte transport seen in vivo.

In the present study all intestinal vasoconstrictors, except epinephrine, reduced both total blood flow to the gut and intestinal oxygen consumption. Thus, vasopressin, angiotensin II, calcium chloride, and prostaglandin F₂₀ each evoked ischemia and hypoxia, approximately in parallel. In other studies we have found that cardiac glycosides (13), epinephrine, vasopressin (12), noradrenaline, and electrical stimulation of splanchnic sympathetic nerves (9, 10) depress oxygen extraction despite constant flow perfusion. In terms of identifying the responsible structures in the intestinal microcirculation which regulate total blood flow and the density of the perfused capillary bed, the aforementioned vasoconstrictors seemed to act on smooth muscle of both arterioles and precapillary sphincters, since rubidium extraction was also depressed.

Epinephrine is an exceptional vasoconstrictive agent. One explanation for the action of this agent would be that epinephrine constricted mesenteric arteriolar smooth muscle, thereby increasing the vascular re-
istance and reducing total blood flow to the gut, but in the dose used did not constrict the precapillary sphincters. Consequently, the nutrient circulation was preserved, and oxygen consumption was not depressed by epinephrine. In support of this possibility are findings of Zweifach (22) who showed in the rat mesenteric circulation under direct visualization that arteriolar smooth muscle contracted briskly to topical epinephrine but that precapillary sphincters were unresponsive.

An alternative explanation for effects observed with this catecholamine would be that epinephrine constricted precapillary sphincters but also increased tissue metabolism and lowered intracellular Po2, thereby increasing the capillary-to-cell oxygen gradient. This would explain our finding that epinephrine caused no decrease in oxygen consumption. This possibility is consistent with our finding in gut loops perfused at constant flow (12) that small doses of epinephrine caused an increase in both oxygen consumption and in the clearance of 32P. At this time, the mechanism whereby epinephrine maintained normal levels of oxygen consumption in the face of reduced blood flow to the gut is uncertain.

The ability of the β-adrenergic antagonist, propranolol, to abolish the oxygen-sparing properties of epinephrine was not unexpected, since norepinephrine reduced oxygen consumption in a constant-flow preparation (9, 10). In addition, when epinephrine increased oxygen uptake in gut loops at constant flow, propranolol caused the same dose of epinephrine to reduce oxygen consumption (12). One inference from our results is that the precapillary sphincter may contain a greater density of β-adrenergic receptors than does the arteriole. Thus, epinephrine, which can stimulate both α-constrictor and β-dilator receptors, is able in some concentrations to constrict the arterioles without constricting and perhaps even dilating the precapillary sphincters. After propranolol, epinephrine reduced oxygen consumption and depressed total blood flow more intensely (Fig. 3).

The oxygen-sparing capacity of epinephrine sets it apart from all other vasoconstrictors that we have studied in the canine intestine. It alone could reduce blood flow without impairing oxygen delivery. Unfortunately, epinephrine has other undesirable effects in patients including a vasodilatory action in low doses that could intensify the hemorrhage it was intended to check. Therefore, if gastrointestinal hemorrhage is to be managed effectively with vasoconstrictor drugs, a search should be undertaken to identify a safer drug or drug combination which can also lower intestinal blood flow without depressing oxygen consumption by the gut.
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