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Summary. Five women and three men, all obese and weighing 95 to 140 kg, were studied by routine pulmonary function tests and by a radioactive xenon technique, while seated upright at rest, to measure the regional ventilation and perfusion distribution in the lung.

In four subjects in whom the expiratory reserve volume averaged 49% of predicted normal, the ventilation distribution as measured with $^{133}$xenon was normal. In the remaining four subjects, in whom the expiratory reserve volume was reduced to less than 0.4 L and averaged only 21% of predicted values, the distribution of a normal tidal breath was predominantly to the upper zones.

In all subjects the perfusion distribution was predominantly to the lower lung zones but was slightly more uniform than in normal nonobese subjects. During tidal-volume breathing, therefore, in four subjects the ventilation and perfusion distribution was substantially normal, whereas in the remaining four perfusion was maximal in the lower zones, to which ventilation was significantly reduced.

These findings show that there may be significant ventilation/perfusion abnormality on a regional basis in obese subjects, this abnormality bearing a close relationship to the reduction in expiratory reserve volume, a finding predictable from recently published data on normal nonobese subjects (1). The abnormalities of ventilation/perfusion relationships that were demonstrated in four of the eight obese subjects could cause a reduction in arterial oxygen tension during resting tidal ventilation.

Introduction

The term "Pickwickian syndrome" was introduced by Burwell, Robin, Whaley, and Bickelmann (2) to describe a patient with alveolar hypoventilation, polycythemia, and right heart failure. As the patient lost weight, his pulmonary and cardiac status returned to normal, suggesting that the alveolar hypoventilation was not attributable to any structural pulmonary disease but rather was a functional consequence of excessive weight. On the assumption that the fully developed syndrome represented the end result of such functional abnormalities operating over a period of time, Said (3) set out to elucidate the sequence of events in obesity that leads to the development of the Pickwickian syndrome. In a study of patients selected solely because they were overweight, he noted that although hypoxemia (as evidenced by a reduced arterial $O_2$ tension) was present in most subjects, it was not always accompanied by hypoventilation (as evidenced by an increase in arterial $CO_2$ tension). He concluded that disturb-
### TABLE I

Physical characteristics and results of pulmonary function studies of eight obese patients (nine studies)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Height</th>
<th>Weight</th>
<th>TLC</th>
<th>VC</th>
<th>RV</th>
<th>ERV</th>
<th>FEV&lt;sub&gt;0.75&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>MMFR</th>
<th>Pao&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>Sao&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>Paco&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>Dl&lt;sub&gt;co&lt;/sub&gt; (steady state)</th>
<th>Xenon ventilation distribution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ST</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>4.49</td>
<td>3.48</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MD</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>4.25</td>
<td>2.19</td>
<td>2.06</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>Not measured</td>
<td>Not measured</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Not measured</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JN</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>6.64</td>
<td>4.44</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>Not measured</td>
<td>Not measured</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Not measured</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HW</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>6.63</td>
<td>3.88</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>Not measured</td>
<td>Not measured</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>32.6</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EV</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>3.99</td>
<td>2.42</td>
<td>Not measured</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>Not measured</td>
<td>Not measured</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>Reversal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FZ</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>4.34</td>
<td>2.59</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>Not measured</td>
<td>Not measured</td>
<td>19.4</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>Reversal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PD</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>5.34</td>
<td>3.02</td>
<td>2.32</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td>Reversal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BF-1963</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>4.21</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>Not measured</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td>Reversal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BF-1966</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>4.16</td>
<td>2.58</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Abbreviations: TLC = total lung capacity; VC = vital capacity; RV = residual volume; ERV = expiratory reserve volume; FEV<sub>0.75</sub> = indirect estimate of maximal breathing capacity obtained by multiplying the 0.75-second forced expiratory volume by 40; MMFR = maximal midexpiratory flow rate; Pao<sub>2</sub> and Paco<sub>2</sub> = arterial O<sub>2</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> tension; Sao<sub>2</sub> = arterial O<sub>2</sub> saturation; Dl<sub>co</sub> = CO diffusing capacity of the lungs. The predicted normal values of pulmonary function tests (58) and upper limit of "desirable" body weight (10) are in parentheses beneath the measured values. The final column indicates results of xenon studies of ventilation distribution; distribution was normal in the first four patients and abnormal in the second group of four [although it returned to normal after weight loss in the last patient, BF, who has been described elsewhere (52)].
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ance of regional ventilation/perfusion relationships in the lung must be a common underlying feature.

Recent studies in our laboratory have shown that in normal subjects seated upright, ventilation to the lower zones may be seriously impaired when the subject breathes at low lung volumes (i.e., near residual volume), since these zones begin to fill preferentially only when functional residual capacity (FRC) is approached (1, 4). Since the expiratory reserve volume (ERV) is commonly reduced in obesity (5c), it follows that the fat person breathes closer to residual volume (RV) than does the nonobese person; thus, together with the fact that the obese subject's tidal volume often is small (2, 3), might result in reduced ventilation to the lower lung zones. Perfusion, on the other hand, presumably would remain gravity oriented (6-9). Thus, lower lung zones of obese subjects might have considerably reduced ventilation in relation to their perfusion.

To test this hypothesis, we measured the regional distribution of ventilation and perfusion in eight subjects selected on the basis of their being overweight.

Methods

The physical characteristics and pulmonary function data for the eight subjects are shown in Table I. Their ages ranged from 19 to 62 years; five were women. In every case body weight was more than 20 kg above the desirable weight predicted on the basis of sex and height, and in several (MD, HW, EV, and FZ) it was more than 40 kg above predicted. The vital capacity (VC) was reduced in most of the patients, and the ERV was markedly decreased in all (both measurements were made with the patients in the sitting position). Considerable reduction in the ventilatory flow rates occurred in one subject (HW), who had a history of asthma. Some degree of arterial unsaturation was recorded in three of the six subjects in whom arterial blood samples were analyzed. The arterial PCO₂ was elevated in one patient who was considered to have some degree of hypoventilation (MD), and considerably elevated in another (BF-1963) who had been studied over a 5-year period and was known to be a case of the Pickwickian syndrome (5c). The steady state CO-diffusing capacity was normal in all six subjects in whom it was measured. None of the patients had any clinical evidence of congestive heart failure at the time of investigation, and none was thought to have any significant degree of pulmonary infection when the xenon studies were performed.

The equipment used during the 133Xe studies has been described previously (1, 6). In this study, eight or ten scintillation counters, fitted with cylindrical lead collimators 17.6 cm long, were positioned behind both lungs at various levels in relation to individual chest radiographs. The regional distribution of ventilation was studied in two ways. a) Starting from FRC, the subject inspired a tidal–volume breath of a mixture of 133Xe and air and at the end of the inspiration held it for a few seconds with glottis open until the scintillation counters recorded stable count rates. b) Starting from various lung volumes ranging from 0 to 80% VC, the subject inspired the same mixture to total lung capacity (TLC) and held his breath until stable rates had been recorded. Each subject made eight to ten such maximal inspirations initiated from various lung volumes. After each maneuver, the subject hyperventilated for a few minutes to clear 133Xe rapidly from the lungs.

Regional count rates after each single inspiration of 133Xe depend on the relative amounts of the gas that have reached the various lung regions in relation to lung volume and on the absorption characteristics of tissues underlying each counter. To allow for these latter differences in geometry, each subject rebreathed from the closed–circuit spirometer system containing a known concentration of 133Xe in air, as previously described (6). At equilibration, the ratio of lung concentration to external count rate was obtained for each counter at the appropriate level of lung volume studied. From these measurements the regional concentrations of 133Xe could be computed, as described fully elsewhere (1). In brief, it has been shown that the gas dilution ratio at the end of maximal inspirations initiated from various overall lung volumes can be used to compute the corresponding regional preinspiratory volumes. In this way, the proportionate expansion of various parts of the lung may be computed.

The regional distribution of perfusion was studied by injecting 1 mc 133Xe dissolved in 5 ml saline into an antecubital vein. Approximately 95% of the amount injected diffuses into the alveolar gas during its first passage through the lung; hence the resulting concentration in each region is proportional to the regional pulmonary blood flow per unit gas volume. As the amount of 133Xe inhaled or injected may vary, it is difficult to compare regional concentrations of 133Xe obtained in different studies. Accordingly, the results obtained during tidal–volume inspiration and during injection have been expressed as distribution indexes as described by Ball, Stewart, Newsham, and Bates (6). In these indexes, the regional concentration of 133Xe is expressed as a percentage of the concentration that would have existed had the xenon administered been distributed evenly throughout the lung.

All of the xenon studies were performed with the subject seated comfortably upright, fully supported in a chair, after 15 to 20 minutes' rest. All restrictive garments were removed before the test.

Results

Figure 1 illustrates the distribution of ventilation and perfusion in the eight subjects studied.
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the subjects (ST, MD, JN, and HW) the distribution of ventilation above FRC was normal (i.e., preferentially distributed to the lower zones). In the other four (EV, FZ, PD, BF-1963) the distribution of ventilation became preferential to the lower lung zones only some several hundred milliliters above FRC, and indeed it is in these four subjects that we observed a reversal of ventilation distribution (Figure 1). It may be noted that in these four subjects the ERV averaged only 21% of predicted value (range, 14 to 31%), whereas in the other four, who had normal ventilation distribution, ERV averaged 49% of predicted value (range, 39 to 58%), as indicated by the data in the Table.

In a lung not disorganized by disease, it is possible to express the ratio of ventilation/perfusion distribution within the different lung zones as an approximate guide to the probable V/Q ratio, recognizing that when ventilation and perfusion are measured as separate functions, as in the present studies, there is bound to be uncertainty as to the resulting ratio. From such data, it is possible to compute regional partial pressures for O₂ and CO₂, provided that an assumption is made concerning the composition of mixed venous blood and that the influence of the respiratory dead space can be ignored (8). Figure 3 shows such computations made in the present study. In all cases the over-all V/Q ratio was assumed to be 0.8. In the four subjects with normal ventilation distribution (upper four panels), V/Q distribution was more uniform than in normal nonobese seated man (9), mainly as a consequence of the more uniform distribution of perfusion per unit lung volume that occurs in obese persons. Thus, the regional Po₂ in the lungs of these subjects will be more uniform than normal, and the decreased arterial O₂ saturation that was observed in two (HW and MD) cannot be directly attributed to any disturbance in regional V/Q. Most likely it is attributable to hypoventilation, which indeed was present in MD. By contrast, in the four subjects with a reversed distribution of ventilation (lower four panels), the regional differences in V/Q were considerably greater than in normal nonobese man. In three cases (EV, PD, and BF-1963) the computed V/Q was lower than 0.5 in the lower lung regions, and the regional Po₂ values may have approached those of mixed venous blood. In these
subjects, therefore, the disturbance of the V/Q ratio, a consequence of regional hypoventilation of the lower lung zone during tidal-volume breathing, may well be responsible for arterial hypoxia. In one of these subjects (BF-1963) alveolar hypoventilation was known to be present and was probably an additional factor in the production of the low arterial saturation.

In BF, xenon studies were repeated 3 years later, in 1966. Small-bowel bypass had been constructed in September 1965 to induce weight loss. When restudied, after she had lost 36 kg body weight, the xenon distribution of ventilation was normal. It is of considerable interest that the measured ERV had increased from 0.15 L in 1963 to 0.83 L in 1966 (Table I). This finding lends support to the view that ventilatory changes in the lung are a consequence of reduction in the ERV, and that if, due to weight loss, the ERV is restored to normal, the ventilation distribution pattern will improve.

Discussion

This study has validated the hypothesis that in obese subjects, seated upright, ventilation to lower lung zones may be impaired, resulting in abnormally low V/Q ratios in these areas. Only four of the eight subjects studied showed this change, which appeared to be more closely related to reduction in the ERV than to the degree of obesity. In the other four subjects, although this V/Q disturbance was not demonstrated under the conditions of the test (i.e., seated upright), it might well be present in circumstances that are known to reduce the ERV, e.g., in the supine position, or when wearing supportive abdominal garments, as is usual among the obese. No rigorous conclusions can be drawn concerning the effect of the demonstrated disturbances of the V/Q relationships in the lower lung zones on gas exchange and on arterial blood gases: This information could have been obtained only if arterial blood samples had been drawn with the subjects in precisely the same position and at the same time as the xenon studies were made. Indeed, in the obese subject, neither the V/Q relationships that obtain in one specific position nor a single arterial blood sample necessarily represents the situation at all times. It is clear from Figure 2 that when an obese subject takes a full inspiration from FRC the lower zones are better ventilated than the upper. Hence, the abnormal V/Q distribution pattern largely disappears then, and the arterial blood gas tensions should return toward normal. Such abrupt changes in oxygen saturation following a few deep breaths commonly occur in obese subjects (3); the present data suggest that the phenomenon is somewhat more subtle than can be accounted for simply by an increase in total ventilation per se.

The distribution of perfusion was more nearly uniform from top to bottom of the lung than
occurs in normal nonobese subjects. This may be due to increased pulmonary perfusion pressure, which in turn might be the consequence of a higher than normal resting cardiac output, though some change in pulmonary vascular resistance cannot be excluded. It seems unlikely that the findings could be explained either by the somewhat shorter stature of these obese patients compared with normal control subjects, or by the fact that they were more apprehensive (which in itself would occasion a slight increase in cardiac output), though these factors cannot be excluded.

It is of particular interest that the reversal of ventilation in a very obese patient (BF), which was present during the initial studies when she weighed 95 kg, was replaced by a normal pattern when her weight had been reduced to 59 kg. The ERV had increased from 0.15 to 0.83 L over the same period (see Table). A considerable degree of alveolar hypoventilation had been present during the 5 years preceding the surgical operation that led directly to improvement in her condition (5c). Thus, the improvement in the blood gases that had occurred when she was studied 1 year after operation may have been attributable to the improvement in ERV and consequent improvement in ventilation distribution, as well as to a decrease in metabolic demands.

As indicated in Table I, even very large increases in weight are not necessarily accompanied by severe decreases in ERV. The reason for this, possibly, is that in some patients the increase in body mass may occur in such regions as the buttocks, which would not interfere with the ERV, whereas in other patients fat deposition may occur mainly in the abdominal wall and thus cause considerable elevation of intra-abdominal pressure and marked restriction of the ERV. Thus, the impairment of V/Q relationships that may occur in obese persons may be critically dependent upon the location of excess body weight. Considerable ventilation reversal was shown in PD, although the ventilatory tests were normal and weight gain had not been so great in absolute terms as in some of the other subjects. On the other hand, his ERV was reduced to 0.29 L, compared with a predicted normal value of 1.19 L.

In general terms, abnormality of ventilatory distribution was not observed unless the ERV had fallen below 0.40 L. The two groups shown in Table I are separated by this criterion—but only just, since MD showed no ventilation reversal even though ERV was 0.44 L, whereas FZ showed a flattening of ventilation distribution with an ERV of 0.39 L. However, a significant degree of ventilation reversal was noted only in EV, PD, and BF-1963, in all of whom the ERV was less than 0.30 L. Therefore, this ERV value may be taken as an approximate indicator of whether a ventilation distribution defect is likely to be present in any given patient.
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