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In recent years a number of tests have been
designed for the purpose of detection of accelerated
blood coagulation. Among these are the lusteroid tube
coaagulation test (1–4), the silicone tube coa-
agulation test (5), the modified clot retraction test
(6), the modified Lee-White clotting test, employ-
ing three test tubes instead of one (7), the heparin
retarded coagulation test of Waugh and Ruddick
(8, 9), the heparin tolerance test (10, 11), and the
prothrombin time determination, using both whole
dilute plasma (12–14).

The study reported herewith was undertaken
for the following purposes. First, it was desired to
ascertain whether or not there is any correlation
among the various tests themselves. Second, it was
decided to determine whether any of these tests
can be used to predict thrombophlebitis in patients.
Finally, the incidence and duration of hypercoagu-
lability in the postoperative state was studied, as
well as the incidence of hypercoagulability in con-
gestive heart failure. Incident to doing these stud-
ies, observations were made on the effect of vari-
ation of tube size and temperature upon the clot-
ting time of blood in the Lee-White test. Further,
in doing the heparin tolerance test, blood was
withdrawn almost simultaneously from the vein
into which the heparin was injected and from a
vein of the opposite arm. Clotting times were run
on each sample and the results were compared.

MATERIAL

For the study on postoperative patients, a group of 29
patients suffering from cardiac insufficiency on the med-
ical wards of the Cincinnati General Hospital. A group
of house officers, nurses, technicians, and ambulatory
psychiatric patients were used as normal controls. Per-
sons from both the control group and from the post-
operative group were used to study the effect of variation
in temperature and tube size upon the Lee-White clotting
test. Persons from both groups were also used to study
the effect of the veins chosen in performing the heparin
tolerance test.

METHODS

The 29 postoperative patients and the three patients
having acute thrombophlebitis were each studied by means
of six tests which were run simultaneously. The same
series of six tests was repeated on seven of the post-
 operative group later in their postoperative courses. The
six tests employed were the modified Lee-White clotting
test, the lusteroid tube coagulation test, the prothrombin
time on whole and on 12.5% plasma, the Waugh-Ruddick
heparin retarded coagulation test, and the heparin toler-
ance test. The 27 patients suffering from congestive
heart failure were studied by means of the lusteroid tube
coaagulation test and the modified Lee-White test using
three dry test tubes and an oiled syringe. Fifty of the
control group were studied with the lusteroid tube coag-
ulation test and the modified Lee-White test, using three
test tubes and an oiled syringe. Twenty of the control
group, all ambulatory psychiatric patients, were studied
with the heparin tolerance test and the modified Lee-
White test, using three saline-rinsed test tubes and a
saline-rinsed syringe. The whole and dilute plasma
prothrombin times were studied in 28 ambulatory psy-
chiatric patients. The Waugh-Ruddick test was studied
by means of 25 tests on a series of 15 persons in the
control group.

PROCEDURE

1. Modified Lee-White test

This test was run essentially as described by Lee and
White (15), with the exception that three test tubes were
used instead of one. The second tube was not tilted until
clotting had occurred in the first, and the third tube was
not tilted until clotting had occurred in the second. The
time required for clotting in the third tube was taken as
the clotting time. This test was run in a constant tem-
perature water bath at 37° C as suggested by Quick (16). This test was also run on each patient using three dry test tubes and an oiled syringe.

2. Prothrombin time on whole and 12.5% plasma

The method described by Quick (17) was employed, using Squibb thromboplastin.

3. Lusteroid tube coagulation test

The method used was similar to that of Kadish (1, 2), except that three tubes were used instead of one, the reading being taken from the third tube. The tests were run in a constant temperature water bath at 37° C. Blood was used only if obtained at the first attempt at venipuncture. Specimens were discarded if bubbling or frothing occurred in the syringe. The lusteroid tubes were 12.5 mm. in diameter. They were cleaned with sodium lauryl sulfonate, as recommended by Kadish (1, 2).

4. Waugh-Ruddick heparin retarded coagulation test

This test often shows acceleration of coagulation when the Lee-White test is normal. The method employed was that described by the original authors (8, 9), except that all tests were run in a water bath at 22.5° C, as recommended by Whittaker (18). This author found that a rise in temperature caused much more rapid clotting in the Waugh-Ruddick test; we have had a similar experience. Whittaker selected 22.5° as the average winter room temperature. Waugh and Ruddick did their tests at room temperature (8, 9). He found clotting times in normals comparable to those of Waugh and Ruddick when the tubes containing the blood were placed in a water bath at a temperature of 22.5° C (18). The criterion of increased coagulability in this test was that suggested by Ogura (19), namely, a coagulation time of 60 minutes or less in each of the last three test tubes employed.

5. Heparin tolerance test

Hagedorn and Barker’s (11) modification of the test of deTakats (10) was employed. However, in consideration of the marked effect of temperature variation upon the clotting time of blood (16, 18), the tests were done in a constant temperature water bath at 37° C. Three test tubes, each 8 mm. by 75 mm., were employed.

As suggested by Hagedorn and Barker (11), one sample of blood was drawn 10 minutes after the injection of 25 mg. of heparin in 2.5 cc. of solution intravenously. The heparin was injected at a constant rate, requiring 30 seconds for its administration.

RESULTS

The findings in the control group will be given first. These are shown in Table I. In 50 controls, the range for the modified Lee-White test, using an oiled syringe, was from 9 to 23.5 minutes; the range for clotting in lusteroid was from 19 to 40 minutes in this group. In 20 controls, the range for the modified Lee-White test, using saline-rinsed glassware, was from 9 to 21 minutes. The mean for the 20 determinations was 11.58 minutes when saline-rinsed tubes and syringes were employed; the mean for 50 similar tests using an oiled syringe and dry test tubes was 14.77 minutes. The standard deviation for the 50 determinations using oiled syringes was 3.34 minutes. The standard deviation for the 20 determinations using a saline-rinsed syringe was 4.36 minutes. The standard error of the difference between the two means of 14.77 minutes and 11.58 minutes was found to be 1.08 minutes. The observed difference was 3.19 minutes. Since the observed difference in the means was three times the standard error of the difference, this was felt to be a significant finding. This difference would occur by chance only once in 370 tests. Ten minutes after the injection of 25 mg. of heparin intravenously, the modified Lee-White clotting time was increased from two to slightly more than four times that before heparin.

Among 28 control ambulatory psychiatric patients, the range for the prothrombin time run on whole plasma was from 11.5 to 16.00 seconds. The range for the prothrombin time on 12.5% plasma was 26 to 37 seconds; the range for the difference

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of test</th>
<th>Number of persons</th>
<th>Range</th>
<th>Mean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lee-White (oiled syringe)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>9 to 23.5 min.</td>
<td>14.77 min.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee-White (saline-rinsed syringe)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>9 to 21 min.</td>
<td>11.58 min.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heparin tolerance test</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Clotting time increased</td>
<td>2 to 4 times</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lusteroid tube clotting test</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>19 to 40 min.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prothrombin time (whole plasma)</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>11.5 to 16 sec.</td>
<td>13.5 sec.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prothrombin time (12.5% plasma)</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>26 to 37 sec.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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TABLE II
Summary of findings in 29 postoperative cases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operation</th>
<th>P. O. day</th>
<th>Pro. time whole</th>
<th>Pro. time 12.5%</th>
<th>Lee-White saline 37° C</th>
<th>Lust. 37° C</th>
<th>Heparin tol. 37° C</th>
<th>Waugh-Ruddick 22.5° C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Append.</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>acc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Hysterect.</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>acc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Hernia</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>acc</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>acc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Hernia</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>acc</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>acc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Hernia</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>acc</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>acc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Hernia</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>acc</td>
<td>acc</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>acc</td>
<td>acc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Hernia</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>acc</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>acc</td>
<td>acc</td>
<td>acc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Hernia</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>acc</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>acc</td>
<td>acc</td>
<td>acc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Gunshot colon</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>acc</td>
<td>acc</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>acc</td>
<td>acc</td>
<td>acc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Gunshot colon</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>acc</td>
<td>acc</td>
<td>acc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Hernia</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>acc</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>acc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. Stab of abdomen</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>acc</td>
<td>acc</td>
<td>acc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. Append.</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>acc</td>
<td>acc</td>
<td>acc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16. Hysterect.</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>acc</td>
<td>acc</td>
<td>acc</td>
<td>acc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17. Hysterect.</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>acc</td>
<td>acc</td>
<td>acc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18. Append.</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>acc</td>
<td>acc</td>
<td>acc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19. Hernia</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>acc</td>
<td>acc</td>
<td>acc</td>
<td>acc</td>
<td>acc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20. Hernia</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>acc</td>
<td>acc</td>
<td>acc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21. Gunshot bowel</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>acc</td>
<td>acc</td>
<td>acc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22. Gunshot bowel</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>acc</td>
<td>acc</td>
<td>acc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23. Stab of bowel</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>acc</td>
<td>acc</td>
<td>acc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24. Stab of abdomen</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>acc</td>
<td>acc</td>
<td>acc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25. Append.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>acc</td>
<td>acc</td>
<td>acc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26. Append.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>acc</td>
<td>acc</td>
<td>acc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27. Gunshot bowel</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>acc</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>acc</td>
<td>acc</td>
<td>acc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28. Hernia</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>acc</td>
<td>acc</td>
<td>acc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29. Perf. ulcer</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>acc</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>acc</td>
<td>acc</td>
<td>acc</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: acc indicates acceleration
0 indicates no acceleration
— indicates that the test was not run

between the whole and dilute plasma prothrombin times was from 14 to 22 seconds.

Acceleration was considered present in any test when the result obtained was lower (i.e., less time) than was found in any control test of the same method. Of the 29 postoperative patients, acceleration was found as follows: by Waugh-Ruddick, 19 showed acceleration; by lusteroid, 10; by heparin tolerance, six; by whole plasma prothrombin time, five; by 12.5% plasma prothrombin time, three; by modified Lee-White test run in saline-rinsed glass, three. The results are summarized in Table II. As seen in Table II, the correlation among the various tests was poor. Of 10 patients showing abnormal tests in lusteroid, seven showed abnormal Waugh-Ruddick tests. However, of six patients with abnormal heparin tolerance, only two had accelerated Waugh-Ruddick tests, and only two had accelerated clotting in lusteroid. Of five patients having abnormally short whole plasma prothrombin times, four showed abnormal Waugh-Ruddick tests, but only two had accelerated clotting in lusteroid. The only patient among the 29 tested who developed venous thrombosis had normal values for all tests. This patient was a 42 year old colored man, who had had a hernia repaired eight days prior to the thrombosis. The clotting tests were performed five days prior to the occurrence of the thrombosis.

In order to ascertain how long the state of accelerated coagulation may persist after operation, the same series of six tests was repeated on seven of the 29 patients later in their postoperative courses. The results are shown in Table III. As may be seen in the table, evidence of hypercoagulability was found as late as 12 days after operation in some instances.

Our clotting times after 25 mg. of heparin were shorter than those obtained by Hagedorn and Barker (11), probably because our tests were performed at 37° C, whereas their tests were performed at room temperature, which is generally much lower. In order to study the effect of temperature upon the results obtained in this test, we
ran clotting times on samples of blood drawn 10 minutes after giving 25 mg. of heparin intravenously to each of 10 patients. One part of the sample was tested at 22.5°, the average winter room temperature, and the other portion was done at 37°. As may be seen in Table IV, the clotting time was appreciably longer at 22.5° in all instances save two. The average clotting time at 22.5° was 26.5 minutes, and at 37°, 13.4 minutes.

In order to study the effect of test tube size upon the results obtained in doing the Lee-White clotting time, this test was done in glass tubes of two different sizes, one 8 mm. by 75 mm. and the other, 13 mm. by 100 mm., using identical samples of blood. As shown in Table V, this procedure was performed in 14 instances. It may be seen that the clotting times were longer in the small tubes in nine instances; the clotting times were equal in one case; in the four remaining instances the clotting times were longer in the large tubes. Both Kadish (1) and Quick (16) found clotting times to be longer in large than in small glass tubes.

Whether or not the veins selected in performing the heparin tolerance test affected the result was also studied. In 14 instances, the one tube Lee-
White test was performed 10 minutes after injecting 25 mg. of heparin intravenously. Blood was drawn almost simultaneously from the vein into which the heparin was given, and from the vein of the opposite arm. As seen in Table VI, the results were the same or nearly so in seven instances. In six cases, the clotting times were considerably longer on blood drawn from the vein which had received the heparin. In one instance, the blood drawn from the vein receiving the heparin did not clot after 12 hours. In one case, the clotting time was significantly shorter on blood taken from the vein receiving the heparin. This finding would seem to imply that in some instances there is retained in the vein injected with a foreign substance a larger proportion of substance than is found in the general circulation.

**TABLE VI**

*The effect of veins used upon the results of the heparin tolerance test*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Before heparin</th>
<th>10 min. after heparin same</th>
<th>10 min. after heparin opposite arm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>min.</td>
<td>10 min. after heparin same</td>
<td>10 min. after heparin opposite arm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>39½ min.</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>6½</td>
<td>12 hours plus</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>8½</td>
<td>14 min.</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8½ min.</td>
<td>9*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7½ min.</td>
<td>90†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>13½ min.</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>5½</td>
<td>22½ min.</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>12½ min.</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>21½ min.</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>7* min.</td>
<td>5*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10* min.</td>
<td>16*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>13½ min.</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>20½ min.</td>
<td>12½</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>10½ min.</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Acceleration.
† 50 mg. heparin given instead of 25 mg.

*Note:* Each patient received 25 mg. heparin intravenously. After 10 minutes, one tube Lee-White clotting times were run on blood drawn almost simultaneously from the vein receiving the heparin and from the vein of the opposite arm.

**DISCUSSION**

The clotting mechanism in postoperative patients was studied by Potts and Pearl (20), Dawbarn, Earlham, and Evans (21), and by Shapiro Sherwin, and Gordimer (22). These investigators found that the platelet count tended to fall during the first three days postoperatively, but that it began to rise on the sixth postoperative day, and reached a peak on the tenth to 14th postoperative day. They found little change in the clotting time as determined by the usual methods.

The abnormalities in the clotting mechanism found by us do not parallel the changes in the platelet count found by the above investigators; in general we found abnormalities in clotting earlier in the postoperative course in this study. Not only were the manifestations of derangements in the clotting mechanism found earlier here, but the change seemed to be greater earlier in the postoperative course than in the 10 to 14 day postoperative period when the maximum changes in the platelet count were found. However, as seen in Table III some cases still showed acceleration of clotting as long as 12 days after operation.

The finding of accelerated coagulation as judged by the Waugh-Ruddick test in 19 of the 29 postoperative patients is in keeping with the findings of Waugh and Ruddick (9), whose study of postoperative patients revealed accelerated coagulation 24 hours after operation; this acceleration was present seven days following operation. Our finding of acceleration of coagulation in two-thirds of 29 postoperative patients, a finding which persisted at least 12 days after operation in some cases, may be considered in regards to possible routine postoperative anticoagulant therapy.

The Waugh-Ruddick test seemed most sensitive of the tests employed in detecting the effect of operative trauma. In this connection, it is of interest to note that Flinn (23), following the administration of digitalis in man, found acceleration of coagulation as shown by the Waugh-Ruddick test, whereas the Lee-White test and the prothrombin time on whole and dilute plasma showed no change. The extreme sensitivity of the Waugh-Ruddick test is shown by its acceleration in response to such procedures as operative trauma and digitalization; this would seem to detract from its value in the prediction or diagnosis of venous thrombosis. The non-specificity of this test is further illustrated by the fact that Waugh and Ruddick found that bed rest alone caused acceleration of coagulation (9).

It is of interest to note the lack of correlation between the Waugh-Ruddick test and the heparin tolerance test, since heparin is used to prolong the coagulation of blood in both instances, in the former *in vitro* and in the latter *in vivo*. In partial explanation of this finding, the statements of
Best and Jaques (24) may be cited. These writers state that if there is a mixture of the same amounts of heparin and blood in vivo and in vitro, the clotting time will be longer in vivo if moderate amounts of heparin are used. Best and Jaques also state that the renal threshold for heparin is quite low, and that there is rapid excretion of heparin into the urine if large doses are given intravenously. Further, even if heparin is not excreted into the urine, it disappears rapidly from the blood. Thus, variation in the rate of heparin destruction and heparin excretion have to be reckoned with in performing the heparin tolerance test. Since these factors are not operative in the Waugh and Ruddick test, the failure of the two tests to correlate may be explained.

The lack of correlation among all the tests might be explained in part by the onset of anxiety during the period of the test. DeTakats (25) has mentioned adrenal stimulation, such as by anxiety or fear, as a cause of increased coagulability of the blood. In a few cases we have found marked acceleration of coagulation in lusteroid tubes and marked increase of heparin tolerance when the patients were on the verge of an anxiety attack or delirium tremens. Other factors, such as type of anesthesia, the presence of an occult infection, or the administration of barbiturates might explain the variations in clotting from patient to patient in the postoperative group. However, since all six tests were done within a space of two hours on each postoperative patient, these last factors could not very well explain the lack of correlation in the individual patient; i.e., these factors do not explain why some tests were normal and others abnormal in the identical patient at virtually the same time.

The prothrombin time of dilute plasma was less often abnormal than that of whole plasma. This was especially notable in the three thrombophlebitic patients, where the dilute plasma prothrombin time was normal in every instance, yet the whole plasma prothrombin time was shortened in all three. This finding is similar to that of Mahoney and Sandrock (26), who found a greater increase in prothrombin activity in their postoperative thrombophlebitis patients as shown by the test on whole plasma as compared to dilute plasma. Hurn, Barker, and Mann (27) also found that the prothrombin time of dilute plasma gave no more information as to hypercoagulability than the test as run on whole plasma. Tuft and Rosenfield (28) concluded that accelerated dilute plasma prothrombin determinations could not be used for prediction or diagnosis of thromboembolic disease. In contrast, Shapiro (13, 14, 22) and Brambel and Loker (12) found the dilute plasma prothrombin time more sensitive to the state of hypercoagulability than the whole plasma prothrombin time.

Mahoney and Sandrock (26) found in their 58 normal postoperative patients a prolongation of the prothrombin time during the first three days following surgery. They found acceleration during this period only in those patients who subsequently developed thrombophlebitis. In our group, of 13 patients studied during the first three days postoperatively, none showed the prolongation of the whole plasma prothrombin time described by Mahoney and Sandrock (26). Further, the mean whole plasma prothrombin time in these 13 postoperative patients was 12.8 seconds, as compared with a mean of 13.5 seconds for the 28 controls. Among the 28 controls, the standard deviation for the whole plasma prothrombin time in these 13 postoperative patients was 0.85 seconds. Among the 13 postoperative patients, the standard deviation for the whole plasma prothrombin time was 1.45 seconds. The standard error of the difference of the two means of 12.8 and 13.5 seconds was found to be 0.471 seconds. The observed difference was 0.70 seconds. This is not a significant difference.

Only one patient of this group of 29 postoperative patients developed thrombophlebitis after operation. The demonstration of frequent abnormalities in the six tests in so many of the 28 patients who did not subsequently develop thrombophlebitis would seem to indicate that none of these tests could be used to predict which patient is going to develop venous thrombosis following an operation. This statement is given weight by the fact that the only patient who did develop clinical thrombophlebitis showed no abnormality in any of the six tests which had been run five days previously.

It is thought worthy of comment that only one of the 27 patients having congestive heart failure showed an acceleration of coagulation in lusteroid tubes, and only two as measured by the three tube Lee-White test. This finding may be compared with that of Cotlove and Vorzimer (29) who found no shortening of the whole or dilute plasma pro-
thrombin time in 20 patients with congestive heart failure. It is also of interest to note that 25 of our 27 patients were receiving digitalis therapy in maintenance doses, yet little evidence of acceleration of clotting was found. Digitalis has been frequently mentioned as an accelerator of clotting (7, 25, 30). Ogura, Fetter, Blankenhorn, and Glueck (19) found some evidence of acceleration of coagulation in their patients as they were being digitalized, but found that this acceleration later disappeared in the majority, even though maintenance digitalis therapy was continued. Cotlove and Vorzimer (29) found that the administration of digitalis did not shorten the prothrombin time as determined on whole plasma or dilute plasma. Moses (31) found no change in heparin tolerance after the exhibition of digitalis. Flinn (23) found the Waugh-Ruddick test to show acceleration of coagulation after the administration of digitalis, but no acceleration was shown by the Lee-White test or by the prothrombin time on whole or dilute plasma.

**SUMMARY**

Twenty-nine postoperative patients were studied for evidence of accelerated coagulation. Nineteen showed acceleration by the Waugh-Ruddick test; ten showed acceleration in lusteroid tubes; six showed increased heparin tolerance; five showed shortening of the whole plasma prothrombin time; three showed shortening of the 12.5% plasma prothrombin time; three showed an acceleration by the modified Lee-White test.

The same tests were repeated on seven of these patients later in their postoperative courses and some showed persistence of hypercoagulability on the 12th postoperative day. The different tests did not correlate. The only patient who developed subsequent thrombophlebitis was normal to all tests. Three other patients with thrombophlebitis showed acceleration of coagulation in lusteroid tubes and shortening of the whole plasma prothrombin time. They were normal with regard to dilute plasma prothrombin time and the Waugh-Ruddick test. Of 27 patients with congestive heart failure, 25 of whom were receiving digitalis, only one showed acceleration of coagulation in lusteroid tubes, and two showed acceleration in the Lee-White test. Fourteen patients were given 25 mg. of heparin intravenously. Ten minutes later, blood samples were drawn almost simultaneously from the arm vein into which the heparin had been injected, and from a vein in the opposite arm. In six of these 14 patients, the clotting time was significantly longer in the blood sample taken from the vein receiving the heparin when compared to the blood obtained from the opposite arm.

**CONCLUSIONS**

1. Hypercoagulability of the blood is a frequent finding in the postoperative state, and may persist as long as 12 days after operation.

2. The correlation among the Waugh-Ruddick test, prothrombin time on whole plasma, prothrombin time on 12.5% plasma, lusteroid tube clotting time, and heparin tolerance test is poor, suggesting that they are concerned with different factors involved in the clotting process.

3. We could not predict which postoperative patients were going to develop venous thrombosis.

4. When performing the heparin tolerance test and similar tests in which a substance is injected intravenously, and a sample of blood is later drawn for analysis, it is advisable to draw the blood from the vein of the opposite arm. The vein into which the substance is injected probably retains a larger proportion of that substance than is found in the general circulation.

5. Of the tests studied, the Waugh-Ruddick heparin retarded coagulation test appears the most sensitive. Its lack of specificity, however, would seem to indicate that it is of no value in predicting or diagnosing thrombophlebitis.
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